

## Fourth Amendment Freedoms are Being Choked, "Guilty until Proven Innocent"

What Country Is This? Forced Blood Draws, Cavity Searches and Colonoscopies

By John W. Whitehead

Global Research, September 07, 2017

**TruePublica** 

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Police State &</u>

**Civil Rights** 

"The Fourth Amendment was designed to stand between us and arbitrary governmental authority. For all practical purposes, that shield has been shattered, leaving our liberty and personal integrity subject to the whim of every cop on the beat, trooper on the highway and jail official."—Herman Schwartz, The Nation

Our freedoms—especially the Fourth Amendment—are being choked out by a prevailing view among government bureaucrats that they have the right to search, seize, strip, scan, shoot, spy on, probe, pat down, taser, and arrest any individual at any time and for the slightest provocation.

Such is life in America today that Americans are being made to relinquish the most intimate details of who we are—our biological makeup, our genetic blueprints, and our biometrics (facial characteristics and structure, fingerprints, iris scans, etc.)—in order to clear the nearly insurmountable hurdle that increasingly defines life in the United States: we are now guilty until proven innocent.

Forced cavity searches, forced colonoscopies, forced blood draws, forced breath-alcohol tests, forced DNA extractions, forced eye scans, forced inclusion in biometric databases: these are just a few ways in which Americans are being forced to accept that we have no control over our bodies, our lives and our property, especially when it comes to interactions with the government.

Consider, for example, what happened to Utah nurse Alex Wubbels after a police detective demanded to take blood from a badly injured, unconscious patient without a warrant.

Wubbels refused, citing hospital policy that requires police to either have a warrant or permission from the patient in order to draw blood. The detective had neither. Irate, the detective threatened to have Wubbels arrested if she didn't comply. Backed up by her supervisors, Wubbels respectfully stood her ground only to be <u>roughly grabbed</u>, <u>shoved out of the hospital</u>, <u>handcuffed and forced into an unmarked car</u> while hospital police looked on and failed to intervene (take a look at the police <u>body camera footage</u>, which has gone viral, and see for yourself).

Michael Chorosky didn't have an advocate like Wubbels to stand guard over his Fourth Amendment rights. Chorosky was surrounded by police, strapped to a gurney and then <u>had</u> <u>his blood forcibly drawn after refusing to submit to a breathalyzer test</u>.

"What country is this?" cried Chorosky during the forced blood draw.

What country is this indeed?

Unfortunately, forced blood draws are just the tip of the iceberg when it comes to the indignities and abuses being heaped on Americans in the so-called name of "national security."

Forced cavity searches, forced colonoscopies and forced roadside strip searches are also becoming par for the course in an age in which police are taught to have no respect for the citizenry's bodily integrity whether or not a person has done anything wrong.

For example, 21-year-old Charnesia Corley was <u>allegedly being pulled over by Texas police in 2015</u> for "rolling" through a stop sign. Claiming they smelled marijuana, police handcuffed Corley, forced her to strip off her pants, threw her to the ground, <u>forced her legs apart</u> and then probed her vagina. The cavity search lasted 11 minutes. This practice is referred to as "<u>rape by cop</u>."

David Eckert was forced to undergo an anal cavity search, three enemas, and a colonoscopy after allegedly failing to yield to a stop sign at a Wal-Mart parking lot. Cops justified the searches on the grounds that they suspected Eckert was carrying drugs because his "posture [was] erect" and "he kept his legs together." No drugs were found.

During a routine traffic stop, Leila Tarantino was subjected to two roadside strip searches in plain view of passing traffic, while her two children—ages 1 and 4—waited inside her car. During the second strip search, presumably in an effort to ferret out drugs, a female officer "forcibly removed" a tampon from Tarantino. No contraband or anything illegal was found.

Thirty-eight-year-old Angel Dobbs and her 24-year-old niece, Ashley, were <u>pulled over by a Texas state trooper</u> on July 13, 2012, allegedly for flicking cigarette butts out of the car window. Insisting that he smelled marijuana, the trooper proceeded to interrogate them and search the car. Despite the fact that both women denied smoking or possessing any marijuana, the police officer then called in a female trooper, who carried out a roadside cavity search, <u>sticking her fingers into the older woman's anus and vagina, then performing the same procedure on the younger woman</u>, wearing the same pair of gloves. No marijuana was found.

Meanwhile, four Milwaukee police officers were charged with carrying out rectal searches of suspects on the street and in police district stations over the course of several years. One of the officers was accused of conducting searches of men's anal and scrotal areas, often inserting his fingers into their rectums and leaving some of his victims with bleeding rectums.

It's gotten so bad that you don't even have to be suspected of possessing drugs to be subjected to a strip search.

Thanks to the U.S. Supreme Court's ruling in *Florence v. Burlison*, any person who is arrested and processed at a jail house, regardless of the severity of his or her offense (i.e., they can be guilty of nothing more than a minor traffic offense), can be subjected to a strip search by police or jail officials without reasonable suspicion that the arrestee is carrying a

weapon or contraband.

As technology advances, police searches are becoming more invasive on a cellular level, as well, with <u>passive alcohol sensors</u>, <u>DNA collection roadblocks</u>, <u>iris scans</u> and <u>facial recognition software</u>—to name just a few methods—used to assault our bodily integrity.

America's founders could scarcely have imagined a world in which we needed protection against widespread government breaches of our privacy, including on a cellular level.

Yet that's exactly what we so desperately need.

Unfortunately, as I make clear in my book <u>Battlefield America</u>: <u>The War on the American People</u>, the indignities being heaped upon us by the architects and agents of the American police state—whether or not we've done anything wrong—are just a foretaste of what is to come.

Constitutional attorney and author John W. Whitehead is founder and president of <u>The Rutherford Institute</u>. His new book <u>Battlefield America: The War on the American</u> <u>People</u> (SelectBooks, 2015) is available online at www.amazon.com. Whitehead can be contacted at <u>johnw@rutherford.org</u>.

Featured image is from the author.

The original source of this article is <u>TruePublica</u> Copyright © <u>John W. Whitehead</u>, <u>TruePublica</u>, 2017

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: John W. Whitehead

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>