

Former Ukraine President Yanukovych Proposes Solution to Ukraine's Civil War; It Fits Obama's Plan

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, December 25, 2014

Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

The Ukrainian President whom U.S. President Obama overthrew in a violent coup this past February is now proposing that "people will be able to negotiate and Ukraine will once again be united."

The former Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovych, in a lengthy interview published on Christmas Eve day in Russia's weekly newspaper, "Arguments and Facts," says this after having asserted in the very same statement, "Ordinary Ukrainians from the west and east have nothing to share."

So: he's advising that the people in Donbass in Ukraine's southeast, who, since soon after his overthrow, are being bombed and gunned down by Ukraine's military from Ukraine's northwest, should nonetheless negotiate with and come to agreement with them — a government they played no part in electing and that has been trying to kill them. He says they should be ruled by a Government that's largely elected by people in Ukraine's northwest, with whom they "have nothing to share" (such as this Gallup poll confirmed to be the actual case: the gulf between Ukraine's east and west is, indeed, enormous).

In other words, Yanukovych wants Donbass to be ruled by the Obama-installed gang that are trying to exterminate the residents in Donbass and to control the land in Donbass but with the residents gone from it.

This is like proposing that Germany's Jews should have accepted Hitler's ruling over them, or that Israel's Palestinians should accept zionists ruling over them. Yanukovych's statement is equally insensitive, equally stupid, equally anti-democratic, and equally repugnant, as that.

He modifies this by saying that it should happen only "as soon as the current policies are gone," but he doesn't say how this miracle can even possibly happen. He vaguely asserts that it can occur via "negotiations." The exterminees are supposed to "negotiate" with their exterminators until "the current policies are gone." This idea is morbidly fit for "Saturday Night Live" — to be laughed at — but certainly not for any real political leader. In fact, it's an insult to the people who voted 90% for him, that's to say, to the people who live in Donbass (it's shown there as the purple area on the far-eastern side of that map). He's metaphorically spitting on the graves of his former voters.

This is yet another in a long line of indications that the rulers in Ukraine, at least since the time of the breakup of the Soviet Union, are so profoundly corrupt that they don't even think seriously at all about the welfare of the people they rule over (not even of their former

supporters). They just live in their secure and corruptly gained wealth, with no concern for the people over whom they rule or have ruled.

The Government of Ukraine might be as psychopathic as America's Government is — maybe even more so, if that's possible. The major difference between the two Governments is that America's wasn't always this way; Ukraine's unfortunately has been.

Today's American Government is now so psychopathic as to be seeking to <u>surround Russia</u> <u>with NATO missiles</u>, even for them to be in Ukraine (with a Ukrainian Government that's elected by the people in the <u>Russia-hating northwestern portion of that country</u>), despite the fact that when the Soviet Union in 1962 tried to install nuclear missiles into Cuba off our own shores, our President at that time, John Fitzgerald Kennedy, made clear that if they did it, we would launch a nuclear war against the Soviet Union.

Why isn't Russia's President Vladimir Putin publicly telling Obama and Obama's NATO exactly what JFK publicly told Nikita Khrushchev: Don't do that, or else our nukes will fly against you, because doing that will be a mortal threat against all Russians. You must leave your military away from our borders, just like we left our military away from Cuba. (Of course, he should first privately tell Obama this; then, if Obama refuses to back off, Putin should publicly warn it; but Obama hasn't backed off, and we don't know whether he's yet been privately warned.)

Yanukovych is proposing a final solution in Ukraine that's just as psychopathic as is Obama's final solution to the whole world, which is: either you accept U.S. rule (or "hegemony") over this entire planet, or else we shall crush you.

President Obama's speech at West Point, on 28 May 2014, propagandized for (i.e., rationalized) this conquer-Russia viewpoint, on the part of America's aristocracy, who want to control the aristocracy in every other nationincluding in Russia, and he lied there about such things as "Russia's aggression" (when the world's huge problem is actually America's aggression, against Russia, and against many other countries; not Russia's 'aggression' against Ukraine) while he asserted: "Russia's aggression toward former Soviet states unnerves capitals in Europe, while China's economic rise and military reach worries its neighbors. From Brazil to India, rising middle classes compete with us." He was telling West Pointers that the emerging newly important national economies are "competing" with us, and that this is the U.S. military's business, to deal with by weapons and by force, not really by economic competition at all (which isn't supposed to be the military's business). Obama was also making clear to the graduating West Point cadets that the "BRIC" countries are America's enemy (that Russia, and its leading supporters of international independence, are the enemies against a mono-polar or "hegemonic" world, American Empire), from the standpoint of America's aristocracy, whom the U.S. military now even so blatantly serves to the exclusion of any authentically public-interest at all. Ours want to crush the aristocrats in Brazil, Russia, India, and China. The newly graduating professional U.S. killers at West Point are being given their marching-orders from our 'democratically' elected President, their Commander-in-Chief. He said there:

"So the United States is and remains the one indispensable nation. That has been true for the century passed [properly spelled 'past,' but this is his text] and it will be true for the century to come."

He might as well have been Hitler there, or Stalin, or Attila the Hun, all of whom could have said the same thing to their troops. It makes sense, then, that in the 2013 WIN/Gallup international poll, the nation that's considered the biggest threat to world peace is the United States — not, as Obama said: "Russia's aggression." In fact, this poll found: "Rather surprisingly, in Ukraine, which is often described as being deeply divided between pro-Russian and pro-Western camps, the U.S. did not fare well — 33 percent of respondents choose the U.S. as [presenting] the greatest danger, compared to just five percent who picked Russia." Moreover: "Germans were more ambivalent, with the U.S. selected as the greatest threat (17 percent), just ahead of Iran (16 percent); as were British respondents, who put the U.S. and Iran in joint first place among threats to peace (15 percent each). ... In Spain — while not in the same league as Greece — respondents also had a dim view of the U.S., with 25 percent picking it as the greatest danger, compared to just 11 percent for North Korea and 10 percent for Iran."

So: Obama is scaring-up America's future warriors against Russia, by lying to them about "Russia's aggression," and telling them that "Russia's aggression toward former Soviet states unnerves capitals in Europe," and by *not*telling them that those "capitals" are controlled by America's aristocracy, and that Russia's is not. He is indoctrinating them with lies, not educating them with truths.

Democracy has been stolen from the American people and is now being controlled by the American aristocracy; they're the people who are the greatest threat to world peace — and the world knows it, though the U.S. aristocracy and its agents keep Americans ignorant of it.

In fact, whereas 98% of the U.S. House, and 100% of the U.S. Senate, want a war against Russia, more than two-thirds of the American public still do not. This is supposed to be a 'democracy'? WW III won't be a result of democracy, but of the *lack* of it.

The problem is *aristocracy*: the aristocracy itself, and our Government of the people, for the aristocracy, by the aristocracy's representatives, via *pervasive corruption of the political process in America*.

Just as Ukraine is controlled by its "oligarchs" or billionaires, so too is the U.S. controlled by our billionaires. And, it's now endangering the entire world.

As a <u>propagandist</u> on a Ukrainian TV station that's financed by the U.S. and Netherlands Governments and by George Soros's International Renaissance Fund said to Ukrainians: "Donbass is overpopulated with people nobody has any use for. ... At least 1.5 million of them are superfluous. ... Donbass must be exploited as a resource, which it is. ... No matter how cruel it may sound, there is a certain category of people who must be exterminated."

The U.S. President, 98% of the House, and 100% of the Senate, are using Americans' tax dollars to fund that <u>extermination</u>. But the American people don't even know about it. And no one in the American Government is condemning that propagandist's statement, nor its being carried out by the Ukrainian Government that they're *forcing us taxpayers to finance*.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca