

# Foreign Affairs Article Against International Justice Forgets To Mention Douglas Feith Was Investigated for Bush-Era Torture

By [Michael Youhana](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

Global Research, August 08, 2013

[Antiwar.com](#) 5 August 2013

Last weekend, one need not have looked further than the *Foreign Affairs* homepage for a little bit of political humor. There readers will find a lengthy, circumspect [article](#) authoritatively titled, “The War of Law: How New International Law Undermines Democratic Sovereignty.”

The piece begins with applause for the Senate’s decision last December to reject the the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons With Disabilities, before critiquing a trend sustained by some legal scholars, called legal transnationalism, which favors enshrining articulated international norms of justice in national judiciaries.

The punchline can be found in the byline. The article was coauthored by Jon Kyl, John Fonte, and — prepare to laugh, those in the know — Douglas Feith. Not simply some sort of detached scholar, devoted professional, or principled activist, the latter author would appear to have a direct stake in the outcome of the global debate on legal transnationalism given the clear threat posed to him by potential criminal charges.

Former undersecretary of defense for policy in the Bush administration, Feith is often remembered for heading a group prior to the Iraq War which “developed, produced, and then disseminated” intelligence assessments contradicting the CIA’s conclusions. The group, called [the Office of Special Plans](#), attempted to allege a nonexistent link between Al-Qaeda and Saddam Hussein’s regime. The Defense Department’s inspector general subsequently issued a report on Feith’s group finding it to have engaged in “inappropriate” intelligence activities, although none were in violation of any DOD directives or [American law](#).

But Feith the multitasker apparently found it difficult to shake an aura of criminality. Relevant to the *Foreign Affairs* article of interest, he also happens to be one of the [Bush Six](#), a group of the aforementioned administration’s legal advisers subject to a criminal investigation initiated in 2009 by a court in Spain for their alleged role in enabling and abetting the torture of terrorism suspects.

The development certainly did not go unnoticed in the U.S., with Feith exclaiming “It’s not a happy thing for the Spanish court to think of prosecuting Americans for advice they gave to the president of the United States!”

Ironically, the Obama administration (in particular Hillary Clinton’s State Department), which the *Foreign Affair* piece’s authors attempt to paint as a mistaken proponent of

transnationalism, [expressed](#) “[deep concern](#)” over the court’s investigation of Feith and the other Bush officials in some WikiLeaks cables. (Ironic, but not too surprising in the age of signature and double-tap drone strikes.)

While anxious about the fate of Spain’s relationship with the U.S., in 2009 acting Spanish Foreign Minister Angel Losada confirmed “that the National Court had broad jurisdiction for universal justice” and though “the government was not supportive of the universal jurisdiction case, it had no authority” in the matter.

And so the case continued until April 13, 2011 when presiding Judge Eloy Velasco “[temporarily stayed](#)” the case in Spain “for it to be continued” by the U.S. Justice department. It remains so to this day.

In the *Foreign Affairs* piece, Feith and his coauthors bemoan “the practice of courts targeting foreign officials for supposed wrongdoing,” reflecting “transnational legal theory’s expansive view of jurisdiction and,” representing “views that run counter to traditional interpretations of international law.” Among their examples:

“In 2006, American and German lawyers filed war crimes charges in Germany against U.S. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, U.S. Attorney General Alberto Gonzales, and U.S. Lieutenant General Ricardo Sanchez. In 2011, a prominent member of the largest party in the Swiss parliament called for the arrest of Henry Kissinger if he visited the annual Bilderberg conference.”

Oh no!

The authors compliment their list of legally-pursued foreign officials with a list of UN criticisms of domestic policy programs before noting, “Fortunately, many of these initiatives have failed to achieve their aims.”

Why exactly is this fortunate? Because unilateral judicial action on laws not subject to sovereign, democratic review was stymied, according to the argument laid out in the piece. But one can’t help but wonder if Feith’s sensation of fortune, brought on by the nonsuccesses of transnationalism, is somehow linked to the movement’s present failure to investigate his alleged association with a policy of torture.

Then, consider this article the disclaimer *Foreign Affairs* forgot.

*Michael Youhana is a recent NYU graduate whose studies were in Politics, Philosophy, and Economics with a focus on US Foreign policy. His writing has been featured in The Nation and The Jerusalem Report and on AntiWar.com, PolicyMic, and The Moderate Voice.*

The original source of this article is [Antiwar.com](#)  
Copyright © [Michael Youhana](#), [Antiwar.com](#), 2013

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Michael Youhana](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)