

Health Impacts of "Smart Meters". Florida Media Ignores Dangers of Exposure to Non-ionizing Microwave Radiation

By James F. Tracy

Global Research, September 06, 2014

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: Media Disinformation, Science and

Medicine

In a recent editorial, "Smart-meter Phobia Sad, But Don't Cut Power," the Palm Beach Post hyped safety claims of Florida Power and Light / Next Era Energy accompanying the utility's statewide "smart meter" deployment while condemning the roughly 6,000 Florida households that have declined such technology.

The *Post* often weighs in on regional and state affairs with a discriminating editorial voice. In this instance, however, the paper's editorial staff has chosen to ignore hard scientific data that clearly lay out the health-related dangers of exposure to the non-ionizing microwave radiation emitted by smart meters and similar wireless technologies.

Indeed, the *Post*'s editorial reads almost as if it was authored by FPL's own public relations department, for it is replete with most every poorly-conceived and quasi-scientific claim the utility transmits to its broad customer base.

According to the editorial, the fears of "smart meter" technology are rooted in a small segment of the public too easily influenced by internet fear-mongering that has characterized the debate over childhood vaccination and global warming. "One of the latest internet-fueled fears," the editors argue, "is of utility company 'smart meters.' Using a battery, a modem and seconds-long pulses of UHF (ultra-high frequency radio waves) these meters transmit customers' energy-use data for billing accuracy and service reliability … But some people fear them."

The health-related concerns over the electrical meters are in fact well-founded, yet one must look beyond their given utility's promotional paraphernalia to assess safety claims—something that journalists are supposed to do. This author has repeatedly communicated with Florida Power and Light regarding the safety of such devices (e.g. here, and here) and assiduously researched the topic (here, he

Most recently, the writer communicated with and interviewed FPL spokespersons, presenting them with the <u>2012 Bioinitiative Report</u>—a comprehensive set of 1,800 new scientific studies documenting in no uncertain terms the dangers of non-ionizing radiation to human health—the type of radiation emitted by "smart meters" and like technology. "Cell phone users, parents-to-be, young children and pregnant women are at particular risk," the Bioinitiative Working Group <u>observes</u>.

After forwarding the Bioinitiative Report to FPL representatives the author had established a rapport with, they refused to respond and severed further communication.

Ironically, both Florida Power and Light and the *Palm Beach Post* refuse to concede hard scientific findings demonstrated in the immense body of scientific research dating to the 1960s while claiming to occupy the scientific high ground. They can't have it both ways, and by failing to even consider such data the *Post* in particular has chosen a poorly-informed and thus journalistically irresponsible stance.

Most disturbingly, there is little difference between FPL's public relations pronouncements and the *Post*'s. Each entity reasons that because most of the utility's "approximately 4.6 million customers have adopted the new technology without a second thought," the technology is inherently safe.

In fact, FPL deployed such microwave technology on Florida homes and businesses without allowing its customers a second thought, apart from a postcard suggesting that the meter swap was basically a *fait accompli*.

Moreover, the Florida Public Utility Commission that quickly approved the "smart meter" deployment was misled by FPL which, in its zeal to roll out its smart grid and collect federal subsidies supporting the operation, consciously omitted the abundance of published scientific investigations and failed to conduct adequate human health impact studies before implementation. Instead, it relied on health impact guidelines that are close to 20 years old and overseen by an agency that has absolutely no mandate to oversee such concerns—the Federal Communications Commission.

The *Post* concludes that before FPL cuts off the power to what it mockingly deems "refuseniks" and "octagenarians," who remain understandably wary of such technological ultimatums and refuse to pay FPL's monthly "opt out" fees, it should consider the public relations consequences of such heavy-handedness. "Some people's minds won't be changed, no matter what the evidence."

Indeed, given its journalistic role and duty to conscientiously inform public opinion, the *Palm Beach Post* should likewise consider much more than the "evidence" it has been spoon-fed by power industry spinmeisters.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>James F. Tracy</u>, Global Research, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: James F. Tracy https://jamesftracy.wordpress.com/

About the author:

James F. Tracy was a tenured Associate Professor of Journalism and Media Studies at Florida Atlantic

University from 2002 to 2016. He was fired by FAU ostensibly for violating the university's policies imposed on the free speech rights of faculty. Tracy has filed a federal civil rights lawsuit against the university, with trial set to begin November 27, 2017. Tracy received his PhD from University of Iowa. His work on media history, politics and culture has appeared in a wide variety of academic journals, edited volumes, and alternative news and opinion outlets. Additional information is available at MemoryHoleBlog.com, TracyLegalDefense.org, and jamesftracy.wordpress.com.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca