

“Flirting with War”. Biden and Allies Continue to Put Iran in the Crosshairs

By [Connor Freeman](#)

Global Research, August 09, 2022

[The Libertarian Institute](#) 5 August 2022

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

In addition to escalating brinkmanship with Russia and China, President Joe Biden’s administration is flirting with war against Iran. The clearest evidence of this includes the ever expanding “maximum pressure” sanctions campaign, as well as the development of a U.S.-led, NATO style alliance encircling Iran. There is also ample support for Israel’s [incessant](#) drone strikes, assassinations, and ceaseless bombings of allegedly Iranian targets in Syria.

Last month, Biden traveled to the region to publicly genuflect before the rulers of America’s cherished Gulf tyrannies and apartheid Israel. The aforementioned burgeoning alliance topped his agenda.

In May, Tel Aviv’s U.S. taxpayer subsidized military murdered Shireen Abu Akleh, a world renowned *Al Jazeera* journalist, a Palestinian Christian, and American citizen. During a raid on the occupied West Bank’s Jenin refugee camp, the Israeli Occupation Forces [shot her in the face](#) while she was wearing a press vest. They also [attacked](#) her funeral procession, [attempting](#) to knock her casket to the ground while mourners were carrying it.

But Biden, “[Israel’s man in Washington](#),” got off the plane at Ben Gurion airport and said our bilateral relationship is “[bone-deep](#).” And speaking for the “[vast majority](#)” of Americans, he stated emphatically we are “completely devoted to Israel’s security without any ifs, ands, or buts—without any doubts about it.”

He went on to sign a [joint declaration](#) with acting Israeli Prime Minister Yair Lapid committing the U.S. to use all of its “[national power](#)” to prevent Iran from acquiring a nuclear weapon.

During an interview ahead of his Israel visit, Biden said he would use force, threatening war against Iran “as a last resort.” It is not enough for the Israelis, they [demand](#) an “offensive” and “credible” military threat against Iran. Lapid called it “the real thing.”

Biden refuses to lift the necessary sanctions to return to the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, the Iran nuclear deal, which means at least the brutal economic war on Iran will persist in perpetuity. This week, Biden levied fresh sanctions on Iran. Biden's issuance of sanctions has become more frequent in recent months and ever since the other members of the P5+1 began approaching a finalized deal.

As Dave DeCamp, news editor at Antiwar.com, [reported](#),

The U.S. on Monday [issued fresh sanctions against Iran](#) meant to target the Islamic Republic's oil and petrochemical sales to East Asia.

The new sanctions targeted [three Chinese firms and one UAE firm](#) accused of doing business with the Persian Gulf Petrochemical Industry Commercial Co. (PGPICC), which [the U.S. Treasury Department says](#) is one of Iran's largest petrochemical brokers.

According to the Treasury Department, PGPICC facilitated the "sale of tens of millions of dollars worth of Iranian petroleum and petrochemical products from Iran to East Asia" through the firms that were hit with sanctions.

The sanctions are the latest sign that the Biden administration is not serious about reviving the Iran nuclear deal, known as the JCPOA. On Monday, Secretary of State Antony Blinken was asked if the U.S. was ready to return to JCPOA, [but he sidestepped the questions and put the responsibility on Iran](#).

Donald Trump and Biden's "maximum pressure" campaign has led to [40-50% inflation rates](#) and [medical shortages](#). Washington has deliberately suffocated the Iranian people, almost half of whom live [below the poverty line](#).

Last year, former Prime Minister Naftali Bennett told Biden his "[strategic vision](#)" for Iran was "[death by a thousand cuts](#)," or myriad military and diplomatic attacks, as well as [clandestine attacks](#), "[the gray-area stuff](#)." Bennett went on to demand U.S. troops remain indefinitely in both Syria and Iraq.

But the decades of lies and unsubstantiated assertions by hawks about Iran's non-existent nuclear weapons may remain a useable casus belli. Americans aware of Israel's arsenal, which includes [200 or more](#) nuclear weapons and [makes U.S. aid illegal](#), are considerably [outnumbered](#) statistically by those who falsely believe Iran has the bomb. The Iranians have never sought nuclear arms, and they recently [reiterated](#) twice that they have the technical capability but, despite their encirclement, Tehran has [chosen not to take this course](#). The development of such weapons is haram under Islamic law, [forbidden](#) by the Ayatollah Khamenei's fatwah.

However, this was never about the phony threat of Iran nuking Israel. The Iranians' latent threat is unacceptable for Tel Aviv because it may finally restrict the Israelis' ability to attack their neighbors with impunity, the way they bomb Syria every week.

In the book *Enough Already: Time to End the War on Terrorism*, Scott Horton, the Libertarian Institute's Director, [explains](#),

Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu and his then-defense minister, the former prime minister Ehud Barak, admitted in 2010 that even if Iran were hypothetically to

gain atomic weapons, the Israelis were not afraid the Ayatollah would attack them in a first strike, as they constantly tell the public. Instead they were merely concerned that it would limit their “freedom of action” against other regional adversaries, such as Hezbollah, and could cause a “brain drain” of talented young Israelis to the United States. Netanyahu’s immediate predecessor, Prime Minister Ehud Olmert told the *New York Times* that, “Just as Pakistan had the bomb and nothing happened, Israel could also accept and survive Iran having the bomb.” Former Clinton administration State Department official Jamie Rubin also explained in *Foreign Policy* that the problem was never an Israeli fear of a first strike by Iran, but “Israel’s real fear – losing its nuclear monopoly and therefore the ability to use its conventional forces at will throughout the Middle East – is the unacknowledged factor driving its decision-making toward the Islamic Republic.”

Horton continues quoting Rubin,

[F]or Israeli leaders, the real threat from a nuclear-armed Iran is not the prospect of an insane Iranian leader launching an unprovoked nuclear attack on Israel that would lead to the annihilation of both countries. It’s the fact that Iran doesn’t even need to test a nuclear weapon to undermine Israeli military leverage in Lebanon and Syria.

Earlier this year, the Israelis simulated a massive bombing campaign over Iran, with a series of repeated airstrikes against the Iran’s civilian nuclear energy program. The [drills](#) took place over the Mediterranean Sea, spanned over 10,000 kilometers, and saw more than 100 military aircraft and navy submarines participating.

These simulations capped off a month long military exercise called Chariots of Fire which practiced for war with Iran and other contingencies. The U.S. General overseeing Central Command was in attendance. The IDF’s chief of staff has now announced [the Israeli military’s primary focus is preparing an attack on Iran](#). In the Red Sea, the U.S. and Israel are now [conducting](#) joint war drills.

The Iran situation is another deadly indication that [presidents and administrations may change](#), but the overall foreign policy agenda [does not](#). Apparently, it only gets [worse](#). The U.S. empire is in decline and the American people feel it. But the neocons and their liberal interventionist partners are holding onto their dream of a “[Unipolar Moment](#).”

As the world adjusts to a multipolar world order, the U.S. foreign policy establishment, left and right, has picked fights with [its enemies, not ours](#).

Americans’ only enemy is our own ruling class who would drag us into [wars \(proxy wars or otherwise\)](#), including with [nuclear armed world powers to fulfill their desires to violently dominate the planet](#), funneling trillions of our dollars into the military-industrial complex. This establishment’s geopolitical and monetary schemes are destroying our nation’s future. As the Ron Paul Institute’s Daniel McAdams recently [said](#), “[American] foreign policy is the FED with nukes.”

We have been stuck with a bill for [more than \\$10 trillion](#) after more than 20 years of war and killing in the Middle East, with [millions dead](#) and [tens of thousands of soldiers committing suicide](#). It is long past time Americans put their foot down.

We have a choice. Do we want to continue gambling on the apocalypse, fighting wars with

Iran, Russia, and China in memory of the [disgraced neocon](#) Charles Krauthammer's "Unipolar Moment?"

Or should we [pursue](#) "peace, commerce, and honest friendship with all nations, entangling alliances with none?"

The answer should be obvious.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from TLI

The original source of this article is [The Libertarian Institute](#)
Copyright © [Connor Freeman](#), [The Libertarian Institute](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Connor Freeman](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca