

Fanciful Terrors: Bomb Plots and Australian Airport Security

By Dr. Binoy Kampmark

Global Research, July 31, 2017

Region: <u>Oceania</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Media Disinformation</u>

In the classroom of international security, Australia remains an infant wanting attention before the older hands. During the Paris Peace talks, Prime Minister William Morris ("Billy") Hughes screamed and hollered Australia's wishes to gain greater concessions after its losses during the Great War, urging, among other things, a more punitive settlement for Germany.

In the post-September 2001 age, recognition comes in different forms, notably in the field of terrorism. Australian authorities want recognition from their international partners; Australian security services demand attention from their peers. The premise of this call is simple if masochistic: Australia is worth torching, bombing and assailing, its values, however obscure, vulnerable before a massive, inchoate threat shrouded in obscurantism.

Over the weekend, the security services again displayed why adding fuel to the fire of recognition remains a burning lust for the Australian security complex. The inner-city suburb of Surry Hills in Sydney, and the south-western suburbs of Lakemba, Wiley and Punchbowl, witnessed raids and seizures of material that could be used to make an improvised explosive device.

What was notable here was the domesticity behind the alleged plot. Focus was specific to Surry Hills in what was supposedly an attempt to create an IED involving a domestic grinder and box containing a multi-mincer. At stages, those with a culinary inclination might have been confused: were Australia's best and brightest in the frontline of security getting excited about the ill-use kitchen appliances might be put to?

The arrest provided yet another occasion Australian audiences are becoming familiar with: individuals arrested and detained, usually with no prior convictions let alone brush with the law, while the celebratory stuffing is sought to file charges under anti-terrorism laws.

But this was not a time for ironic reflection. Australians needed to be frightened and reassured, a necessary dialectic that governments in trouble tend to encourage. First, comes the fear of death, launched by a sinister fundamentalist force; then comes the paternal reassurance of the patria: those in blue, green and grey will protect you.

Without even questioning the likelihood of success in any of these ventures (would this supposed device have ever gotten onto a plane?), such networks as Channel Nine news would insist that this could be the "13th significant conspiracy to be foiled by Australian authorities since the country's terror threat level was raised to 'probable' in 2014."[1]

×

Andrew Colvin (Source: Male

Champions of Change)

The Herald Sun was already dubbing this a Jihadi "meat mincer bomb plot", happy to ignore the obvious point that details were horrendously sketchy. The Prime Minister, Malcolm Turnbull, deemed the conspiracy "elaborate". (The foe must always be elevated to make the effort both worthwhile and free of folly.) The AFP Commissioner, Andrew Colvin, was convinced that this was "Islamic-inspired terrorism. Exactly what is behind this is something we will need to investigate fully."[2]

Depending on what you scoured, reports suggested that this was a "non-traditional" device which was set to be used for an "Islamist inspired" cause. The usual cadre of experts were consulted to simply affirm trends they could neither prove nor verify, with the "lone wolf" theme galloping out in front.

John Coyne of the Australian Strategic Policy Institute's Border Security Program, for instance, plotted a kindergarten evolution for his audience: planes were used in September 2001; then came regionally focused incidents such as the Bali bombings, and now, in classic fatuity, "a new chapter arising or a return chapter almost". "This is much more panned and deliberate, if the allegations are correct."[3]

Rita Panahi, whose writings prefer opinion to the inconvenience incurred by looking at evidence, cheered the weekend efforts and issued a reminder:

"Remember the weekend's terror raids next time you have to surrender a tube of sunscreen as you pass through airport security a second time, this time barefooted and beltless, and fearful you might miss your flight."[4]

For Panahi, this was a case that was done and dusted. These were "wannabe jihadis" (dead cert); they had plotted to inflict "mayhem and destruction on Australian soil" (naturally) and Australians needed to understand that an ungainly super structure of intrusive security measures were indispensable to security. Thank the counter-terrorism forces, luck and distance.

Such occasions also provide chicken feed for pecking journalists, many of whom have ceased the task of even procuring their beaks for the next expose. Indeed, some were crowing, including one on ABC 24, that the "disruption" of an "imminent" attack had taken place at speed; that this "cell" had little chance of ever bringing their device to an aircraft. Evidence and scrutiny are ill-considered, and the political classes are permitted to behave accordingly.



Peter Dutton (Source: Wikimedia Commons)

The Border Protection Minister, Peter Dutton, never happy to part with anything valuable on the subject of security, refused to confirm whether there had been an international dimension, a tip-off from intelligence agencies, or assistance.

"There will be lots of speculation around what the intent was," claimed Dutton, "but obviously all of us have been working hard over recent days and we rely upon the expertise of the Federal Police and ASIO and other agencies."[5]

He observed that there was "a lot of speculation around" which he did not wish to add to.

He need not have bothered, given that the opinion makers have formed a coalition of denial and embellishment so vast and enthusiastic so as to make Australia matter in the supposed global jihadi effort. It would come as a crushing disappointment to the infant in that room of international relations to realise otherwise.

Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email: bkampmark@gmail.com

Notes

[1] http://www.9news.com.au/national/2017/07/29/18/09/terror-related-police-operation-underway-across-s-sydney

[2] http://www.abc.net.au/news/2017-07-30/plot-to-bring-down-plane-disrupted,-pm-says-after-sydney-raids/8757386

[3] http://www.9news.com.au/national/2017/07/29/18/09/terror-related-police-operation-underway-across-s-sydney

[4] http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/opinion/rita-panahi/australia-has-escaped-largescale-highcasualty-terror-attacks-because-of-necessary-evils/news-story/e563d1a2e17abad8d4a2fcb8132d3d10

[5] https://thewest.com.au/news/crime/mincer-bomb-plot-speculation-dutton-ng-s-1754203

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Dr. Binoy Kampmark, Global Research, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Dr. Binoy

Kampmark

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca