

Substitution of “Napalm Bomb” Footage: BBC Upholds Complaint

By [Robert Stuart](#)

Global Research, July 25, 2015

[bbcpanoramasavingsyriaschildren.wordpress.com](#)
22 July 2015

Region: [Europe](#), [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

The below admission from the BBC that its substitution of Syria footage between two 2014 broadcasts breaches its own Editorial Guidelines on accuracy is a modest victory in the battle to attract scrutiny to the wider charges that one of the reports in question (at least) was largely, if not entirely, fabricated.

For almost two years I have [pursued the question](#) of whether scenes of the aftermath of an alleged incendiary attack on an Aleppo school – filmed by BBC staff and first broadcast as UK legislators voted on military intervention in Syria – were staged for the purposes of propaganda.



Many compelling evidence points have arisen: the widely contradictory [accounts](#) of precisely when the alleged attack occurred – including disagreement between the BBC reporter and cameraman concerned; the [testimony](#) of a former Free Syrian Army commander stationed in the vicinity denying that an attack occurred; a fortuitously-grabbed screenshot of one of the alleged teenage victims [grinning broadly](#) into the camera; “victims” [sharing the same “costume”](#), and, most astonishing of all, the [self-identification](#) of a “victim” seen in footage from the day (in reality a 52 year old Netherlands resident) who contacted me on Facebook, anxious that she may be recognised.

The backgrounds of the two doctors featured in the BBC’s report are of considerable interest: [Dr Saleyha Ahsan](#), a former British army captain, has a personal connection with a military officer who runs large-scale [medical simulation exercises](#), employing professional casualty make-up artists; Dr Ahsan’s colleague, [Dr Rola Hallam](#), is the daughter of Dr Mousa al-Kurdi, who is “involved politically with the Syrian National Council”. The co-founder of Hand in Hand for Syria, the “humanitarian” charity for which the doctors are filmed volunteering, has expressed [bloodthirsty promises](#) to bring Assad to justice “NO MATTER WHAT LIVES IT TAKES, NO MATTER HOW MUCH CATASTROPHE IT MAKES”. A Hand in Hand for Syria [nurse](#) seen working alongside Drs Ahsan and Hallam is pictured elsewhere tending

to the wounds of a child opposition fighter.

Very many other discrepancies are noted on my [blog](#). It is hard to escape the conclusion, [voiced](#) by former UK ambassador Craig Murray, that the BBC's 'Saving Syria's Children' "documentary" represents the fruit of a collaboration between BBC personnel and UK state security services and marks a unique and historic breach of trust between the corporation, its UK licence fee-payer funders and its millions of viewers and listeners worldwide.

Robert Stuart

<https://bbcpanoramasavingsyriaschildren.wordpress.com/>

* * *

Ref: CT/1500344

Dear Mr Steel

Thank you for your provisional finding of 20 July (reproduced below) informing me that you propose to uphold [my complaint](#) regarding the substitution of Syria footage in respect of accuracy.

The finding does not address the [points](#) I have raised regarding the journalistic ethics of substituting images without acknowledgement or of the disturbingly vague and seemingly arbitrary categories of "taste of decency". As your colleague Mr Tregear patricianly [put it](#):

You have been given an explanation as to why the footage was changed; there is no reason why the audience should be made aware that any such editing has taken place; and BBC News is under no obligation to tell you the source of the substituted images which were broadcast.

[and](#):

In response to your comment about the paragraph in my email which you found "astonishing", I can only say the point I was making was that there is no formal policy which obliges BBC News to inform viewers that footage has been changed or to confirm when asked the source of material used. It is a matter for BBC News to decide whether to provide that information.

I shall wish to pursue these matters following receipt of your final report.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Stuart

https://bbcpanoramasavingsyriaschildren.wordpress.com

British Broadcasting Corporation White City, 201 Wood Lane, London, W12 7TQ

Telephone: 020 8743 8000 Email: ecu@bbc.co.uk

Editorial Complaints Unit

Mr R Stuart

Email:

Ref: CT/1500344

20 July 2015

Dear Mr Stuart

Syria Vote: One Year On, BBC News Channel, 30 August 2014

I'm writing to let you know the provisional outcome of the Editorial Complaints Unit's investigation into your complaint about a report broadcast on the BBC News Channel at 4.30am BST on 30 August 2015 [sic]. I'm sorry this has taken longer than we initially led you to expect.

We're now in a position to add to the account you were given in the email of 17 May from the BBC Complaints Team. As explained in that email, the report was re-edited in order to replace the footage of the Aleppo attack of August 2013 with less graphic images (of an attack in Sarageb, Northern Syria, on 29 April 2013) for a different audience. We've now established that the editing was carried out by the Newsnight team after the programme came off the air – at about midnight, in fact, and after Laura Kuenssberg had left the studio. I'm told that they didn't check the sound-track, and the fact that the replacement of the pictures rendered the accompanying script line inaccurate simply didn't register with them – and of course the News Channel team would have no reason to suppose there was anything amiss with the report as it reached them. I agree with the view that the change of pictures didn't change the journalistic integrity of the piece, in the sense that it wouldn't have affected viewers' understanding of the matters under discussion. Nevertheless, it would have given them the impression that they were seeing footage of an attack which took place just before MPs voted when the footage actually dated from four months earlier – an impression which could have been avoided if the script had been appropriately edited or if less graphic images from the Aleppo attack had been used. I'm therefore proposing to uphold your complaint in respect of accuracy, though I hope the explanation I've given will reassure you that there was no intention to mislead.

As my colleague, Colin Tregear, explained in his letter of 18 June, this is a provisional finding and so I'll be happy to consider any comments you may wish to make provided that you let me have them by 3 August. Alternatively, if you are content with the finding as it stands, let me know and I'll finalise it without further ado.

Mr Tregear also said he would ask the relevant BBC manager to respond to your concerns about the time it took to [sic] address your complaint at Stage 1 of the process. This is their response:

We have reviewed the delays in replying after Mr Stuart's return complaint was received in November and do apologise again for these on behalf of the BBC Executive. There was already a backlog of complaints being investigated in BBC News which caused some initial

delay when Mr Stuart escalated his complaint in November 2014. This was a consequence of large volumes of complaints following the conflict in the Middle East during the summer and then the Scottish Referendum in September. Although the relevant editor was asked on a number of occasions for a response over many weeks, he had not provided one by March when he moved on to a new role. A response from his successor was consequently delayed and provided over a month later. We apologise for these delays, which do not reflect the level of service we strive for and are normally able to provide.

Yours sincerely

Fraser Steel

Head of Editorial Complaints

The original source of this article is bbcpanoramasavingsyriaschildren.wordpress.com
Copyright © [Robert Stuart](http://bbcpanoramasavingsyriaschildren.wordpress.com), bbcpanoramasavingsyriaschildren.wordpress.com, 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Robert Stuart](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca