

Google's "Smart Home" Surveillance Plans, or, How Not to be Colonized

By [Josh del Sol](#)

Global Research, February 02, 2014
takebackyourpower.net

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Two weeks ago, the New York Times' truth-humor strip on "The Home of the Future" came on the heels of Google's purchase of 'smart thermostat' manufacturer Nest for \$3.2 Billion.

With power utility commissions such as California already stating their intention to "expand third-party access" to in-home data, the perfect storm is brewing for Google's mission of making you their product - even in your own home.




Image: Copyright NYT, 2014

For context, this is the same Google whose executive chairman, Eric Schmidt, told MSNBC:

"If you have something that you don't want anyone to know, maybe you shouldn't be doing it in the first place."

While pushing to nullify privacy laws, the Big G obviously doesn't care about pesky old-fashioned incumbrances like ethics either, as evidenced by this gem:

"I would argue that [us] implanting things in your brain is beyond the creepy line - at least for the moment, until the technology gets better."

The timing of Google's purchase announcement is interesting, coming only a couple weeks after US federal judge William Pauley ruled that the unwarranted NSA phone surveillance against US citizens is legal. However, federal judge Richard Leon, the European Union, and the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board have all strongly condemned the spy programs. (The credibility of the Justice Department is in serious question, following revelations that last April it had secretly inked a deal with the Obama Administration to help corporations evade federal wiretap laws.)

The Battleground Hits Home

More than 1 million homeowners have refused the corporate push to install a 'smart' meter on their home. So where does a 'smart thermostat' fit in the current corporatist drive for total in-home surveillance?

For the last couple of years, utilities around the globe have all been touting their new metering systems with buzzwords such as 'smart', 'advanced', 'upgraded', or 'modernized'. All rhetoric aside, these devices are intended to integrate with all appliances in your home to form an inescapable wireless data-mining dragnet, dubbed as the "home area network", with your HVAC and likely other in-home systems overseen by spy-giant Google, if they get their way.

As we've seen, even former CIA director David Petraeus was publicly frothing over having the ability to spy through 'smart' appliances, intended to wirelessly report back to the meter continuously, while receiving energy-use dictates from the meter.

According to a US Congressional Research Report:

"With smart meters, police will have access to data that might be used to track residents' daily lives and routines while in their homes, including their eating, sleeping, and showering habits, what appliances they use and when, and whether they prefer the television to the treadmill, among a host of other details."

Smart grid planners and working groups have even laid these aims out in their internal roadmaps, citing goals such as "new tools for mining data and intel" and "data mining and analytics to become core competency".

So what can be done to protect rights?

While people cannot vote to prevent corporations from making products such as data-mining thermostats appliances, they do have a voice as utilities try their best to deploy the home-colonizing meters. Public resistance to 'smart' meter deployments has predictably been considerable, as people are learning about not only surveillance capabilities, but also skyrocketing electricity costs, time-of-use billing, risk of fires, home hackability, electrical quality degradation and functional impairments from pulsed microwave radiation — amazingly, all being linked to the new utility metering system.

In Germany, the world leader in solar energy production and arguably technological progress in general, the government has expressed solid opposition to the EU's 'smart' meter deployment hopes, on the back of major cost and privacy issues. (Curiously, the EU

appears to be against dragnet surveillance, while continuing to push its \$700 Billion 'smart' grid scheme.)

The sheer number of entry-points for concern over this ill-advised corporatist effort is making for an activist's dream, fueling a movement which is bi-partisan to the core.

The Greenwashed Spy State

According to Time Magazine, one of the first actions taken by President Obama after the '08 election was to request \$100 Billion for a 'smart' grid. Despite pilot programs indicating no energy savings and mounting opposition now from several hundred activist groups, federal governments such as the US are continuing with their push to incentivize utilities to push forward 'smart' grid deployment.

Apparently, having a piece of the \$11 Billion taxpayer-funded 'smart' grid pie, pushed through by the Obama Administration immediately following the 2008 election, is sufficient motivation for utility executives to steamroll forward despite the growing resistance.

As an example, PECO, a major utility in Pennsylvania, is slated to receive \$200 Million in stimulus funding if they can deploy 600,000 'smart' meters by April 2014.

Significantly, anyone can choose to protect their in-home rights by saying no to the deployment of a 'smart' meter on their home. There are no legal requirements in any country or region for an energy customer to accept a 'smart' meter.

However, utilities are using tactics of intimidation, propaganda, and tacit acceptance - which means that unless you said a clear "no", they assume a "yes." In some cases even with a homeowner's refusal, utilities are forcibly deploying anyway, apparently assuming the liability for doing so, risking litigation.

So Google has played their hand with the \$3.2 Billion purchase of Nest, desiring to capture the worldwide 'smart' home data-mining market, and praying to the all-spying-eye that people will stay tethered to their 'smart' wireless toys as their rights roll swiftly towards a cliff. But will awareness eventually reach a game-changing crescendo? It seems as though the potential exists.

If we want to experience a future other than being ruled by technocrats, now is the time to speak up - even if facing the situation isn't convenient. People simply need to know the facts.

As stated by former Apple executive Jeffrey Armstrong in our film *Take Back Your Power*, the question of whether homes will remain free of invasive 'smart' metering and appliance technology is "a test case for a technological democracy, if I have ever seen one."

About the film *Take Back Your Power*

Take Back Your Power is *AwareGuide Transformational Film of the Year* nominee.

Vote online & share: <http://dir.awareguide.com/index.php/vote>. Voting ends Monday, February 3 at midnight PST.

Take Back Your Power is available online, on DVD, and for community screenings worldwide.

With an intention to grow global awareness of the major issues surrounding 'smart' meters and grid technology, it has been screened in over 90 cities to date. Viewings have already led to a number of city councils to stop plans to install 'smart' meters. To watch Take Back Your Power or request a local screening, visit <http://www.takebackyourpower.net>.

About the author

Josh del Sol is the director and producer of Take Back Your Power, a revelatory documentary feature film uncovering the worldwide 'smart' metering and grid agenda. Watch the film and subscribe to updates at <http://www.takebackyourpower.net>, and follow him via twitter @TBYPfilm.

The original source of this article is [takebackyourpower.net](http://www.takebackyourpower.net)

Copyright © [Josh del Sol](http://www.takebackyourpower.net), [takebackyourpower.net](http://www.takebackyourpower.net), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Josh del Sol](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca