

Election Scams in America. Were Iowa Caucuses Rigged?

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, February 03, 2016

America is notorious for electoral rigging, fraud commonplace since at least the 1824 presidential race, the outcome called the "Corrupt Bargain."

No winner emerged. Under 12th Amendment rules, House members decided, choosing John Quincy Adams after weeks of hard bargaining over Andrew Jackson, a future US president.

Historian Robert Caro called Lyndon Johnson's 1948 senatorial primary win "brazen thievery."

Five right-wing Supreme Court justices choosing George Bush over popular and later determined electoral college winner Al Gore in 2000 was arguably the greatest of all US election scams – showing voting is a waste of time.

Back room deal-making decides things. Monied interests control it. Elections are meaningless exercises in theater.

With today's sophisticated technology, electoral fraud is easier than ever. Microsoft software tallied Iowa caucuses votes – a major US corporation easily able to determine the Democrat and Republican winners. Establishment candidates won both contests.

Were enough voter preferences switched to rig things against Trump and Sanders? Were outcomes in both party races predetermined?

Will upcoming primaries be tainted? As long as monied interests control things, electoral fairness is pure fantasy.

Trump so far hasn't questioned his loss to Ted Cruz. Sanders and Clinton finished in a virtual tie, Clinton declared the winner by less than half a percentage point.

He demands a recount, wanting raw vote tallies released, suggesting possible irregularities. At the same time, not knowing what happened. "Did we win the popular vote," he asked?

His campaign director Jeff Weaver doesn't "anticipate...contest(ing)" specific caucus results.

He urged an investigation into Monday's process. For example, six times a coin toss determined a caucus winner. Clinton won each time, possible but unlikely – odds to do so a slim 1.6%. In other words, a near-impossibility.

Was Sanders cheated in Iowa? It wouldn't be the first example of electoral rigging in America – nor the last.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network.

It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca