

## Don't Equate the Violence of the Oppressor with the Violence of the Oppressed

By Caitlin Johnstone

Global Research, March 19, 2024

Caitlin's Newsletter

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u> In-depth Report: <u>PALESTINE</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Global Research Fundraising: Stop the Pentagon's Ides of March

\*\*\*

Israel has once again <u>stormed Gaza's al-Shifa Hospital</u>. Israel spent the first few weeks of the Gaza assault churning out <u>fake</u> audio <u>clips</u> of Hamas fighters exonerating the IDF from attacking hospitals <u>and healthcare workers</u>, and has spent all the months since just unapologetically attacking hospitals and healthcare workers.

On the morning of October 18th, Israel Defence Forces released this video <a href="https://t.co/0edVN249Nr">https://t.co/0edVN249Nr</a>. <a href="https://t.co/8gAKfOuJj7">https://t.co/8gAKfOuJj7</a> performed an audio analysis and found that this recording was manipulated and cannot be used as a credible source of evidence. <a href="https://t.co/8gAKfOuJj7">1/4</a>

— earshot.ngo (@earshot ngo) October 20, 2023

CNN's Dana Bash just gave an adoring rimjob of an interview to Benjamin Netanyahu, <u>telling</u> <u>him</u> "You're not Hamas. Israel is a democracy, and as a Jewish state supports and believes in every life mattering."

If Netanyahu was interviewed by an actual journalist he'd be forcefully interrogated with extremely uncomfortable questions about his genocidal atrocities in Gaza. When Netanyahu goes on CNN the anchor recites all of his pro-genocide talking points for him so he that doesn't have to.

The underlying assumption behind the claim that Hamas needs to be eliminated is that Israel should be able to inflict nonstop violence on Palestinians day after day, year after year, generation after generation, without ever receiving any violence in return.

You can't equate the violence of the oppressor with the violence of the oppressed. They're not the same, and the oppressor is the ultimate source of the violence from both sides.

\*

Say you've got a group of blue guys and a group of green guys. If the blue guys have power over the green guys and are constantly oppressing them, stealing from them, using violence on them and generally making life intolerable for the people they have power over, then the blue guys have no moral standing to get indignant and outraged when the green guys start responding to this with their own violence. That would be a ridiculous and illogical position for anyone to take.

In fact, if you look at what happened in our hypothetical scenario here, the blue guys are morally responsible for both their own violence AND the violence of the green guys, because they created the dynamics in which both happened. Had the blue guys not been oppressing and abusing the green guys, the green guys would not have responded with violence.

And you can argue "But the green guys aren't making things any better with their violence! It's just making the blue guys madder and more violent!" But that's completely irrelevant to the question of responsibility, and to the fact that if the blue guys stop their violence and abuse there will be a cessation of violence from both sides.

The solution therefore is not to spend any energy whatsoever yelling at the green guys to stop being violent, the solution is to demand the blue guys stop being violent, abusive and oppressive toward the green guys—because that is the source of violence between the two groups. The violence of the blue guys is a cause, while the violence of the green guys is only an effect. You cannot therefore regard them in the same way, either morally or practically.

\*

Niger <u>kicking the French military out</u> of the country was interesting and potentially significant. Niger kicking out both France <u>and</u> the <u>US</u> is a major development. To borrow a line from Django Unchained, gentlemen you had my curiosity, but now you have my attention.

\*

The US is backing a genocide and waging a proxy war against a nuclear superpower while the American people struggle with stagnant wages, a broken healthcare system and soaring costs of living, so naturally Americans are being told they need to be very, very worried about China.

Right wingers who regard themselves as bold anti-establishment freethinkers are currently defending the world's most powerful government supplying bombs to drop on a giant concentration camp with arguments that ultimately boil down to "But the TV would never lie to me!"

If opposition to an active genocide shocks and offends you, that's a character flaw, and you should change that about yourself. If it shocks and offends you because of your religious identity, that too is a character flaw. Supporting mass murder because of your religion is not a legitimate position to have.

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image: Universal Misery - by Mr. Fish

The original source of this article is <u>Caitlin's Newsletter</u> Copyright © <u>Caitlin Johnstone</u>, <u>Caitlin's Newsletter</u>, 2024

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Caitlin Johnstone

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>