

Donald Trump Foreign Policy Speech - 'Who's Advising This Guy?'

By [Michael T. Bucci](#)

Global Research, April 28, 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

Interrupted thirty times by applause at the stately Mayflower Hotel on April 27, Strongman Donald Trump issued his "America first" foreign policy speech to the nation and world.

Mr. Trump's self-made altered reality that magnetizes white working-class admirers drew immediate reality-based consensus from the Right, Center and Left. (excerpts)

We provide below the full transcript of Donald Trump's April 27 address, the complete video of his presentation followed by a compilation of selected media excerpts pertaining to Trump's Foreign Policy.

1. TRANSCRIPT OF DONALD TRUMP'S ADDRESS ON US FOREIGN POLICY (CENTER FOR NATIONAL INTEREST)

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you, and thank you to the Center for National Interest for honoring me with this invitation. It truly is a great honor. I'd like to talk today about how to develop a new foreign policy direction for our country, one that replaces randomness with purpose, ideology with strategy, and chaos with peace.

TRUMP: It's time to shake the rust off America's foreign policy. It's time to invite new voices and new visions into the fold, something we have to do. The direction I will outline today will also return us to a timeless principle. My foreign policy will always put the interests of the American people and American security above all else. It has to be first. Has to be.

That will be the foundation of every single decision that I will make. America...

(APPLAUSE)

America first will be the major and overriding theme of my administration. But to chart our path forward, we must first briefly take a look back. We have a lot to be proud of.

In the 1940s we saved the world. The greatest generation beat back the Nazis and Japanese imperialists. Then we saved the world again. This time, from totalitarianism and communism. The Cold War lasted for decades but, guess what, we won and we won big. Democrats and Republicans working together got Mr. Gorbachev to heed the words of President Reagan, our great president, when he said, tear down this wall.

(APPLAUSE)

History will not forget what he did. A very special man and president. Unfortunately, after the Cold War our foreign policy veered badly off course. We failed to develop a new vision for a new time. In fact, as time went on, our foreign policy began to make less and less sense. Logic was replaced with foolishness and arrogance, which led to one foreign policy disaster after another.

They just kept coming and coming. We went from mistakes in Iraq to Egypt to Libya, to President Obama's line in the sand in Syria. Each of these actions have helped to throw the region into chaos and gave ISIS the space it needs to grow and prosper. Very bad. It all began with a dangerous idea that we could make western democracies out of countries that had no experience or interests in becoming a western democracy.

We tore up what institutions they had and then were surprised at what we unleashed. Civil war, religious fanaticism, thousands of Americans and just killed be lives, lives, lives wasted. Horribly wasted. Many trillions of dollars were lost as a result. The vacuum was created that ISIS would fill. Iran, too, would rush in and fill that void much to their really unjust enrichment.

They have benefited so much, so sadly, for us. Our foreign policy is a complete and total disaster. No vision. No purpose. No direction. No strategy. Today I want to identify five main weaknesses in our foreign policy.

First, our resources are totally over extended. President Obama has weakened our military by weakening our economy. He's crippled us with wasteful spending, massive debt, low growth, a huge trade deficit and open borders. Our manufacturing trade deficit with the world is now approaching \$1 trillion a year.

We're rebuilding other countries while weakening our own. Ending the theft of American jobs will give us resources we need to rebuild our military, which has to happen and regain our financial independence and strength. I am the only person running for the presidency who understands this and this is a serious problem.

I'm the only one — believe me, I know them all, I'm the only one who knows how to fix it.

(APPLAUSE)

Secondly, our allies are not paying their fair share, and I've been talking about this recently a lot. Our allies must contribute toward their financial, political, and human costs, have to do it, of our tremendous security burden. But many of them are simply not doing so.

TRUMP: They look at the United States as weak and forgiving and feel no obligation to honor their agreements with us. In NATO, for instance, only 4 of 28 other member countries besides America, are spending the minimum required 2 percent of GDP on defense. We have spent trillions of dollars over time on planes, missiles, ships, equipment, building up our military to provide a strong defense for Europe and Asia.

The countries we are defending must pay for the cost of this defense, and if not, the U.S. must be prepared to let these countries defend themselves. We have no choice.

(APPLAUSE)

The whole world will be safer if our allies do their part to support our common

defense and security. A Trump administration will lead a free world that is properly armed and funded, and funded beautifully.

Thirdly, our friends are beginning to think they can't depend on us. We've had a president who dislikes our friends and bows to our enemies, something that we've never seen before in the history of our country. He negotiated a disastrous deal with Iran, and then we watched them ignore its terms even before the ink was dry. Iran cannot be allowed to have a nuclear weapon, cannot be allowed. Remember that, cannot be allowed to have a nuclear weapon.

(APPLAUSE)

And under a Trump administration, will never, ever be allowed to have that nuclear weapon.

(APPLAUSE)

All of this without even mentioning the humiliation of the United States with Iran's treatment of our ten captured sailors — so vividly I remember that day. In negotiation, you must be willing to walk. The Iran deal, like so many of our worst agreements, is the result of not being willing to leave the table.

When the other side knows you're not going to walk, it becomes absolutely impossible to win — you just can't win. At the same time, your friends need to know that you will stick by the agreements that you have with them. You've made that agreement, you have to stand by it and the world will be a better place. President Obama gutted our missile defense program and then abandoned our missile defense plans with Poland and the Czech Republic. He supported the ouster of a friendly regime in Egypt that had a longstanding peace treaty with Israel, and then helped bring the Muslim Brotherhood to power in its place.

Israel, our great friend and the one true democracy in the Middle East has been snubbed and criticized by an administration that lacks moral clarity. Just a few days ago, Vice President Biden again criticized Israel, a force for justice and peace, for acting as an impatient peace area in the region.

President Obama has not been a friend to Israel. He has treated Iran with tender love and care and made it a great power. Iran has, indeed, become a great, great power in just a very short period of time, because of what we've done. All of the expense and all at the expense of Israel, our allies in the region and very importantly, the United States itself.

We've picked fights with our oldest friends, and now they're starting to look elsewhere for help. Remember that. Not good.

Fourth, our rivals no longer respect us. In fact, they're just as confused as our allies, but in an even bigger problem is they don't take us seriously anymore. The truth is they don't respect us. When President Obama landed in Cuba on Air Force One, no leader was there, nobody, to greet him.

Perhaps an incident without precedent in the long and prestigious history of Air Force One. Then amazingly, the same thing happened in Saudi Arabia. It's called no respect. Absolutely no respect.

TRUMP: Do you remember when the president made a long and expensive trip to Copenhagen, Denmark, to get the Olympics for our country, and after this unprecedented effort, it was announced that the United States came in fourth — fourth place? The president of the United States making this trip —

unprecedented — comes in fourth place. He should have known the result before making such an embarrassing commitment. We were laughed at all over the world, as we have been many, many times.

The list of humiliations go on and on and on. President Obama watches helplessly as North Korea increases its aggression and expands further and further with its nuclear reach. Our president has allowed China to continue its economic assault on American jobs and wealth, refusing to enforce trade deals and apply leverage on China necessary to rein in North Korea. We have the leverage. We have the power over China, economic power, and people don't understand it. And with that economic power, we can rein in and we can get them to do what they have to do with North Korea, which is totally out of control.

He has even allowed China to steal government secrets with cyber attacks and engaged in industrial espionage against the United States and its companies. We've let our rivals and challengers think they can get away with anything, and they do. They do at will. It always happens. If President Obama's goal had been to weaken America, he could not have done a better job.

Finally, America no longer has a clear understanding of our foreign policy goals. Since the end of the Cold War and the breakup of the Soviet Union, we've lacked a coherent foreign policy. One day, we're bombing Libya and getting rid of a dictator to foster democracy for civilians. The next day, we're watching the same civilians suffer while that country falls and absolutely falls apart. Lives lost, massive moneys lost. The world is a different place.

We're a humanitarian nation, but the legacy of the Obama-Clinton interventions will be weakness, confusion and disarray, a mess. We've made the Middle East more unstable and chaotic than ever before. We left Christians subject to intense persecution and even genocide.

(APPLAUSE)

We have done nothing to help the Christians, nothing, and we should always be ashamed for that, for that lack of action. Our actions in Iraq, Libya and Syria have helped unleash ISIS, and we're in a war against radical Islam, but President Obama won't even name the enemy, and unless you name the enemy, you will never ever solve the problem.

(APPLAUSE)

Hillary Clinton also refuses to say the words radical Islam, even as she pushes for a massive increase in refugees coming into our country. After Secretary Clinton's failed intervention in Libya, Islamic terrorists in Benghazi took down our consulate and killed our ambassador and three brave Americans. Then, instead of taking charge that night, Hillary Clinton decided to go home and sleep. Incredible.

Clinton blames it all on a video, an excuse that was a total lie, proven to be absolutely a total lie. Our ambassador was murdered and our secretary of state misled the nation. And, by the way, she was not awake to take that call at 3 o'clock in the morning. And now ISIS is making millions and millions of dollars a week selling Libya oil. And you know what? We don't blockade, we don't bomb, we don't do anything about it. It's almost as if our country doesn't even know what's happening, which could be a fact and could be true.

TRUMP: This will all change when I become president.

To our friends and allies, I say America is going to be strong again. America is

going to be reliable again. It's going to be a great and reliable ally again. It's going to be a friend again. We're going to finally have a coherent foreign policy based upon American interests and the shared interests of our allies.

(APPLAUSE)

We're getting out of the nation-building business and instead focusing on creating stability in the world. Our moments of greatest strength came when politics ended at the water's edge. We need a new rational American foreign policy, informed by the best minds and supported by both parties, and it will be by both parties — Democrats, Republicans, independents, everybody, as well as by our close allies.

This is how we won the Cold War and it's how we will win our new future struggles, which may be many, which may be complex, but we will win if I become president.

(APPLAUSE)

First, we need a long-term plan to halt the spread and reach of radical Islam. Containing the spread of radical Islam must be a major foreign policy goal of the United States and indeed the world. Events may require the use of military force, but it's also a philosophical struggle, like our long struggle in the Cold War.

In this, we're going to be working very closely with our allies in the Muslim world, all of which are at risk from radical Islamic violence, attacks and everything else. It is a dangerous world, more dangerous now than it has ever been.

We should work — thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

We should work together with any nation in the region that is threatened by the rise of radical Islam. But this has to be a two-way street. They must also be good to us. Remember that. They have to be good to us, no longer one way. It's now two-way. And remember, us and all we're doing, they have to appreciate what we've done to them. We're going to help, but they have to appreciate what we've done for them. The struggle against radical Islam also takes place in our homeland. There are scores of recent migrants inside our borders charged with terrorism. For every case known to the public, there are dozens and dozens more. We must stop importing extremism through senseless immigration policies. We have no idea where these people are coming from. There's no documentation. There's no paperwork. There's nothing. We have to be smart. We have to be vigilant.

A pause for reassessment will help us to prevent the next San Bernardino or frankly, much worse. All you have to do is look at the World Trade Center and September 11th, one of the great catastrophes, in my opinion, the single greatest military catastrophe in the history of our country; worse than Pearl Harbor because you take a look at what's happened, and citizens were attacked, as opposed to the military being attacked — one of the true great catastrophes.

And then there's ISIS. I have a simple message for them. Their days are numbered. I won't tell them where and I won't tell them how. We must...

(APPLAUSE)

... we must as a nation be more unpredictable. We are totally predictable. We tell everything. We're sending troops. We tell them. We're sending something else. We have a news conference. We have to be unpredictable. And we have to be unpredictable starting now.

But they're going to be gone. ISIS will be gone if I'm elected president. And they'll be gone quickly. They will be gone very, very quickly.

(APPLAUSE)

TRUMP: Secondly, we have to rebuild our military and our economy. The Russians and Chinese have rapidly expanded their military capability, but look at what's happened to us. Our nuclear weapons arsenal, our ultimate deterrent, has been allowed to atrophy and is desperately in need of modernization and renewal. And it has to happen immediately. Our active duty armed forces have shrunk from 2 million in 1991 to about 1.3 million today. The Navy has shrunk from over 500 ships to 272 ships during this same period of time. The Air Force is about one-third smaller than 1991. Pilots flying B-52s in combat missions today. These planes are older than virtually everybody in this room.

And what are we doing about this? President Obama has proposed a 2017 defense budget that in real dollars, cuts nearly 25 percent from what we were spending in 2011. Our military is depleted and we're asking our generals and military leaders to worry about global warming.

We will spend what we need to rebuild our military. It is the cheapest, single investment we can make. We will develop, build and purchase the best equipment known to mankind. Our military dominance must be unquestioned, and I mean unquestioned, by anybody and everybody.

But we will look for savings and spend our money wisely. In this time of mounting debt, right now we have so much debt that nobody even knows how to address the problem. But I do. No one dollar can be wasted. Not one single dollar can we waste. We're also going to have to change our trade, immigration and economic policies to make our economy strong again. And to put Americans first again.

This will ensure that our own workers, right here in America, get the jobs and higher pay that will grow our tax revenues, increase our economic might as a nation, make us strong financially again. So, so important. We need to think smart about areas where our technological superiority, and nobody comes close, gives us an edge.

This includes 3D printing, artificial intelligence and cyber warfare. A great country also takes care of its warriors. Our commitment to them is absolute, and I mean absolute. A trump administration will give our servicemen and women the best equipment and support in the world when they serve and where they serve. And the best care in the world when they return as veterans and they come back home to civilian life. Our veterans...

(APPLAUSE)

Our veterans have not been treated fairly or justly. These are our great people and we must treat them fairly. We must even treat them really, really well and that will happen under the Trump administration.

(APPLAUSE)

Finally, we must develop a foreign policy based on American interests.

Businesses do not succeed when they lose sight of their core interests and neither do countries. Look at what happened in the 1990s. Our embassies in Kenya and Tanzania — and this was a horrible time for us — were attacked. and 17 brave sailors were killed on the USS Cole.

And what did we do? It seemed we put more effort into adding China into the World Trade organization, which has been a total disaster for the United States. Frankly, we spent more time on that than we did in stopping Al Qaida. We even had an opportunity to take out Osama bin Laden and we didn't do it

And then we got hit at the World Trade Center and the Pentagon. Again, the worst attack on our country in its history. Our foreign policy goals must be based on America's core national security interests. And the following will be my priorities.

In the Middle East our goals must be, and I mean must be, to defeat terrorists and promote regional stability, not radical change. We need to be clear sighted about the groups that will never be anything other than enemies. And believe me, we have groups that no matter what you do, they will be the enemy.

TRUMP: We have to be smart enough to recognize who those groups are, who those people are, and not help them. And we must only be generous to those that prove they are indeed our friends.

(APPLAUSE)

We desire to live peacefully and in friendship with Russia and China. We have serious differences with these two nations, and must regard them with open eyes, but we are not bound to be adversaries. We should seek common ground based on shared interests.

Russia, for instance, has also seen the horror of Islamic terrorism. I believe an easing of tensions, and improved relations with Russia from a position of strength only is possible, absolutely possible. Common sense says this cycle, this horrible cycle of hostility must end and ideally will end soon. Good for both countries.

Some say the Russians won't be reasonable. I intend to find out. If we can't make a deal under my administration, a deal that's great — not good, great — for America, but also good for Russia, then we will quickly walk from the table. It's as simple as that. We're going to find out.

Fixing our relations with China is another important step — and really toward creating an even more prosperous period of time. China respects strength and by letting them take advantage of us economically, which they are doing like never before, we have lost all of their respect.

We have a massive trade deficit with China, a deficit that we have to find a way quickly, and I mean quickly, to balance. A strong and smart America is an America that will find a better friend in China, better than we have right now. Look at what China is doing in the South China Sea. They're not supposed to be doing it.

No respect for this country or this president. We can both benefit or we can both go our separate ways. If need be, that's what's going to have to happen.

After I'm elected president, I will also call for a summit with our NATO allies and a separate summit with our Asian allies. In these summits, we will not only discuss a rebalancing of financial commitments, but take a fresh look at how we can adopt new strategies for tackling our common challenges. For instance,

we will discuss how we can upgrade NATO's outdated mission and structure, grown out of the Cold War to confront our shared challenges, including migration and Islamic terrorism.

(APPLAUSE)

I will not hesitate to deploy military force when there is no alternative. But if America fights, it must only fight to win.

(APPLAUSE)

I will never sent our finest into battle unless necessary, and I mean absolutely necessary, and will only do so if we have a plan for victory with a capital V.

(APPLAUSE)

Our goal is peace and prosperity, not war and destruction. The best way to achieve those goals is through a disciplined, deliberate and consistent foreign policy. With President Obama and Secretary Clinton we've had the exact opposite — a reckless, rudderless and aimless foreign policy, one that has blazed the path of destruction in its wake.

After losing thousands of lives and spending trillions of dollars, we are in far worst shape in the Middle East than ever, ever before. I challenge anyone to explain the strategic foreign policy vision of Obama/Clinton. It has been a complete and total disaster.

I will also be prepared to deploy America's economic resources. Financial leverage and sanctions can be very, very persuasive, but we need to use them selectively and with total determination.

TRUMP: Our power will be used if others do not play by the rules. In other words, if they do not treat us fairly. Our friends and enemies must know that if I draw a line in the sand, I will enforce that line in the sand. Believe me.

(APPLAUSE)

However, unlike other candidates for the presidency, war and aggression will not be my first instinct. You cannot have a foreign policy without diplomacy. A superpower understands that caution and restraint are really truly signs of strength. Although not in government service, I was totally against the war in Iraq, very proudly, saying for many years that it would destabilize the Middle East. Sadly, I was correct, and the biggest beneficiary has been has been Iran, who is systematically taking over Iraq and gaining access to their very rich oil reserves, something it has wanted to do for decades.

And now, to top it off, we have ISIS. My goal is to establish a foreign policy that will endure for several generations. That's why I also look and have to look for talented experts with approaches and practical ideas, rather than surrounding myself with those who have perfect resumes but very little to brag about except responsibility for a long history of failed policies and continued losses at war. We have to look to new people.

(APPLAUSE)

We have to look to new people because many of the old people frankly don't know what they're doing, even though they may look awfully good writing in the New York Times or being watched on television.

Finally, I will work with our allies to reinvigorate Western values and

institutions. Instead of trying to spread universal values that not everybody shares or wants, we should understand that strengthening and promoting Western civilization and its accomplishments will do more to inspire positive reforms around the world than military interventions.

(APPLAUSE)

These are my goals as president. I will seek a foreign policy that all Americans, whatever their party, can support, so important, and which our friends and allies will respect and totally welcome. The world must know that we do not go abroad in search of enemies, that we are always happy when old enemies become friends and when old friends become allies, that's what we want. We want them to be our allies.

We want the world to be — we want to bring peace to the world. Too much destruction out there, too many destructive weapons. The power of weaponry is the single biggest problem that we have today in the world.

To achieve these goals, Americans must have confidence in their country and its leadership. Again, many Americans must wonder why we our politicians seem more interested in defending the borders of foreign countries than in defending their own. Americans...

(APPLAUSE)

Americans must know that we're putting the American people first again on trade.

(APPLAUSE)

So true. On trade, on immigration, on foreign policy. The jobs, incomes and security of the American worker will always be my first priority.

(APPLAUSE)

No country has ever prospered that failed to put its own interests first. Both our friends and our enemies put their countries above ours and we, while being fair to them, must start doing the same. We will no longer surrender this country or its people to the false song of globalism. The nation-state remains the true foundation for happiness and harmony. I am skeptical of international unions that tie us up and bring America down and will never enter...

(APPLAUSE)

TRUMP: And under my administration, we will never enter America into any agreement that reduces our ability to control our own affairs.

(APPLAUSE)

NAFTA, as an example, has been a total disaster for the United States and has emptied our states — literally emptied our states of our manufacturing and our jobs. And I've just gotten to see it. I've toured Pennsylvania. I've toured New York. I've toured so many of the states. They have been cleaned out. Their manufacturing is gone.

Never again, only the reverse — and I have to say this strongly — never again; only the reverse will happen. We will keep our jobs and bring in new ones. There will be consequences for the companies that leave the United States only to exploit it later. They fire the people. They take advantage of the United States. There will be consequences for those companies. Never again.

Under a Trump administration, no American citizen will ever again feel that their needs come second to the citizens of a foreign country.

(APPLAUSE)

I will view as president the world through the clear lens of American interests. I will be America's greatest defender and most loyal champion. We will not apologize for becoming successful again, but will instead embrace the unique heritage that makes us who we are.

The world is most peaceful and most prosperous when America is strongest. America will continue and continue forever to play the role of peacemaker. We will always help save lives and indeed humanity itself, but to play the role, we must make America strong again.

(APPLAUSE)

And always — always, always, we must make, and we have to look at it from every angle, and we have no choice, we must make America respected again. We must make America truly wealthy again. And we must — we have to and we will make America great again. And if we do that — and if we do that, perhaps this century can be the most peaceful and prosperous the world has ever, ever known. Thank you very much, everybody. I appreciate it. Thank you.

(APPLAUSE)

Thank you very much.

(APPLAUSE)

Thank you.

..

2. VIDEO OF DONALD TRUMP'S PRESENTATION AT THE CENTER FOR NATIONAL INTEREST

..

3. COMPILATION OF US MEDIA COMMENTARY ON TRUMP'S FOREIGN POLICY PERSPECTIVE

New York Times:

Donald Trump's Strange World View – Editorial Board (April 27, 2016)

When one has a hammer, everything looks like a nail. And when one's experience is limited to real estate deals, everything looks like a lease negotiation. Hearing Mr. Trump describe his approach to foreign relations, one imagines a group of nations sitting at a table with him at its head, rather like a scene from "The Apprentice," with him demanding more money, more troops and policy changes in exchange for American protection, trade and friendship. And if he doesn't get what he wants? "In negotiation, you must be willing to walk," Mr. Trump said.

"Mr. Trump says he knows how to negotiate, and to him that seems to mean putting forward maximal positions that he can then walk back. That won't work in foreign policy. Mr. Trump did not display any willingness to learn or to correct his past errors. For someone who claims he is ready to lead the free world, that is inexcusable.



Washington Post

Trump's crazy attempt not to sound crazy – Dana Milbank (April 27, 2016)

Trump, who routinely mocks President Obama and Hillary Clinton for using a teleprompter and who said that presidential candidates “should not be allowed to use a teleprompter,” used a teleprompter.

He carefully read a speech somebody else had written, demonstrated both by his lack of familiarity with the content — he pronounced Tanzania as “Tan-ZANY-uh” — and by its un-Trumpian phrases such as “the false song of globalism” and “the clear lens of American interests.” This speech was at an eighth-grade comprehension level, five years beyond Trump’s usual.”



Lindsey Graham 
@LindseyGrahamSC

 Follow


Question #1 for Trump: Are we sure the guy running the teleprompter has the pages in the right order? #notmakinganysense

RETWEETS
879

LIKES
1,077



10:05 AM - 27 Apr 2016



Trump’s hosts, a conservative foreign-policy think tank dedicated to Nixonian realism, were only somewhat more hospitable. Jacob Heilbrunn, editor of the center’s publication, the National Interest, has written that “a Trump presidency would likely be

a foreign policy debacle.”

The group’s vice chairman, Dov Zakheim, signed a letter with other GOP foreign-policy leaders calling Trump and his policies “unmoored,” a “recipe for economic disaster,” “inexcusable,” “hateful,” “unacceptable,” “fundamentally dishonest” and “a distinct threat to civil liberty in the United States” and calling him “utterly unfitted” to be president.

Perhaps the most unnerving promise Trump made was his determination to be erratic. “We must as a nation be more unpredictable,” he said. “We have to be unpredictable, and we have to be unpredictable starting now.”

On this vow, Trump has already made good — and that’s just the problem.

USA Today

Trump’s unreal foreign policy: Our view – Editorial Board (April 27, 2016)

As with *The Apprentice*, the show that helped him become a household name, the Republican front-runner sought to provide appealing fare for a certain class of people. In this case, the primary audience was voters opposed to trade, resentful of immigrants, distrustful of foreign governments, and wistful for a time when America wielded more power in a simpler world.

In reaching out to this group, Trump vividly portrayed a world that does not exist: America’s allies would be more respectful after being lectured to, while its enemies would be dealt with by bluster. China would fall into line after enduring unspecified economic pressure. And troubled regions of the world would be stabilized through U.S. disengagement.

Trump’s secondary audience was the Washington establishment, the equivalent of TV critics. And the early reviews were harsh. On CNN, foreign policy experts Fareed Zakaria and David Rothkopf used the same word: incoherent. Fellow Republican Lindsey Graham tweeted, “Not sure who is advising Trump on foreign policy, but I can understand why he’s not revealing their names.

In Trump’s worldview, things happen because he says they will. He made a number of proclamations to this effect. His unspecified plan to defeat the Islamic State terrorist group echoed Richard Nixon’s secret plan in 1968 to win the Vietnam War. ISIL “will be gone if I’m elected president,” Trump vowed, “ And they’ll be gone quickly. They will be gone very, very quickly.

Things might happen that way on TV. But not in reality. Or perhaps we should say real reality.

The Atlantic


Trump’s Incoherent Foreign-Policy Plans – Russell Berman (April 27, 2016)

So *that* is what a “presidential” Donald Trump looks like.

The Republican frontrunner delivered the most scripted, and stilted, speech of his 10-

month presidential campaign on Wednesday. He used a teleprompter. He spoke softly and deliberately. And at different points in the nearly 40-minute address, he pledged as president to develop a foreign policy that would both be “unpredictable” and “consistent.”

Josh Earnest, the White House press secretary, picked up on Trump’s mispronunciation of Tanzania—he called it Tan-ZAY-nia. “Apparently the phonetics are not included with the TelePrompter,” Earnest said.



At times, he ad-libbed a “Not good!” or “Bad!” or “A mess!”—as if to signal to his fans that it was really him speaking, not some low-energy impostor with a battery missing.

Washington Times

Pundits rip, ridicule Trump’s foreign policy speech – Kelly Riddell (April 27, 2016)

There’s a group of conservative pundits – and some in the media – who, no matter what businessman Donald Trump says, will view it with a certain smugness and disdain.

Before Mr. Trump even finishes a speech, they’ll say he’s wrong, didn’t bother to fact check, ignorant or cringe-worthy. What they don’t seem to realize is, it’s their own self-righteousness that the American public despises, and why trust in the media remains at historical lows.

On Wednesday, before Mr. Trump even finished his first foreign policy speech, the pundits reviews were in, and they were bad.

I mean it. I have literally less of an idea what Trump thinks about foreign policy after this speech,” wrote Hayes Brown, the world news editor and reporter at BuzzFeed, who obviously is a foreign policy expert.

Ana Navarro, a conservative pundit on CNN and former Florida Sen. Marco Rubio supporter wrote: “Trump said he wasn’t going to change, act more ‘presidential.’

Judging from incoherent foreign policy speech...yes, he kept his promise."

John Noonan, who worked national security for former presidential contender Jeb Bush and Mitt Romney was equally as dismissing.

"Trump has said one thing I buy. That he will introduce unpredictability to US foreign policy. Based on this speech, my God is that true," Mr. Noonan tweeted.

David Rennie, the Washington Bureau Chief of the Economist, was also unimpressed.

"Trump doctrine-mercantilism, nationalism, Russophilia, distrust of grasping allies-is basically @PatrickBuchananwithout the book-learning," he wrote.

Andrew Kirell, a senior editor at the Daily Beast, questioned Mr. Trump's authenticity - you know, because he's in the position to question.

"Genuinely curious: How does reading a speech someone else wrote for you mean you're suddenly serious on policy?" Mr. Kirell tweeted.

The list goes on, trust me.

Who'd have thought all of these foreign-policy experts and pundits would be absolutely wiggled out at the prospect of making America first? Perhaps they need to get off Twitter and get more in touch with the American public.



Anti-Trump protests erupt in New York and Arizona



Fox News



Subscribe

238,685

8,642



Add to



Share



More



51



120

Because obviously, Mr. Trump's message - and not theirs - is the one that's registering.

It's what was left unsaid in Trump's speech that matters most – Judith Miller (April 27, 2016)

It is unclear whether the speech will reverse the perception abroad of Mr. Trump as a foreign policy amateur, a businessman too ignorant of world affairs and ill-disciplined to learn about them – “Berlusconi with nukes,” as one foreign pundit called him, a reference to Italy's flamboyant, controversial ex-prime minister.

Again and again, he vowed to move toward an “America first” model in domestic and foreign policy, seemingly unaware that “America First” was the slogan of the isolationists who fought to prevent Roosevelt from aiding Britain and other allies threatened with Nazi and Japanese aggression prior to World War II.



Foreign Policy

In Foreign Policy Speech, @realDonaldTrump Comes Through Despite Efforts To Appear Presidential – Molly O'Toole (April 27, 2016)

Gone were most of the characteristic one-liners and mud slinging.

The speech also offered a look at Trump's emerging worldview: That an anti-interventionist, nativist foreign policy that gives rise to economic and military power alike can be wielded as a bludgeon against allies and enemies. On the campaign trail, however, Trump wraps his views in conservative Republican red meat about “radical Islamic terrorism” and hawkish bluster on military force.

Eliot Cohen, a professor at Johns Hopkins University and former State Department official who has helped organize Republican national security experts around a “Never Trump” push, said if Trump's intention was to recast himself as presidential, the speech was “a failure.”

Trump's speech was "the usual mixture of bombast, braggadocio, self-contradiction, and assertions that only he understands, and only he can rescue the United States," Cohen told Foreign Policy.

"When you've got votes, you don't need endorsements," said Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-Ala.), Trump's only supporter in the Senate. His colleague, Sen. Lindsey Graham (R-S.C.), who is reluctantly backing Sen. Ted Cruz, could not have agreed less. Graham tweeted of the speech: "Ronald Reagan must be rolling over in his grave."



National Review

Trump's 'Foreign Policy': Incoherent and Shallow – Andrew C. McCarthy (April 27, 2016)

You see, while conservative Republicans (like your humble correspondent) were pleading that we should stay out of Libya — that we should avoid siding with, arming, and training the “rebel” forces (the popular Washington euphemism for the Libyan mujahideen) — Donald Trump was squarely on the wrong side, demanding that Obama take action to overthrow Qaddafi.

[Libya] fell apart because, just like you, the bipartisan Beltway ruling class — whose pockets you've been lining for decades — is willfully blind to the difference between “civilians” and Islamists, including the violent jihadists they inevitably breed. The country fell apart because Obama did what you demanded: He bombed Libya to get rid of its dictator — aggression that was neither authorized by Congress (which the “oust Qaddafi” crowd refused to consult) nor endorsed by a U.N. mandate (which approved only the protection of civilians, not toppling of the regime).

What is incoherent, though, is populism — Trump's brand. It is knee-jerk demagoguery: Say whatever will get a rise out of the masses; don't fret over whether it is at odds with whatever bromide you've previously spouted; and, when called on the inconsistencies attack the messenger.



The Nation

Trump Attempted a Foreign Policy Makeover Today. Did it Work? (Who's advising this guy?)
– James Carden (April 27, 2016)

[P]erhaps unintentionally evoking Nixon's "madman theory," Trump declared that, as regards foreign relations, "we have to be unpredictable." This, and much else besides, raises the question: Who's advising this guy? It would be hard to believe, given the content of the speech, that the realists of the National Interest (think-tank) are informing Trump's foreign-policy vision.

Trump noted that one of those shared interests [with Russia and China] is fighting terrorism; Russia, he said, "has seen the horror of Islamic terrorism." The idea that the United States and Russia actually have some common interests must have cheered the Russian ambassador, Sergei Kislyak, who was watching from the front row.



Nevertheless, the speech was, on balance, a confused and muddled effort. And yet, what does it say that it was less hawkish than anything the presumptive Democratic nominee [Hillary Clinton] has said on foreign policy thus far?

New Republic

Donald Trump's big foreign policy speech was a little Dangerfield, a little Tan-ZAYN-ia – Ryu Spaeth (April 27, 2016)

Attempting to sum up Trump's foreign policy vision is an impossible task. He declared that America is "finally going to have a coherent foreign policy," but literally nothing could be less coherent than the rambling, uncharacteristically telepromptered speech he gave today.

He said America should resist "the false song of globalism." He referred to Tanzania as Tan-ZAYN-ia. He made sense only in snatches, and even these rare moments of common sense were contradicted. Will his supporters care? They don't seem to...



Breitbart

This Is the Donald Trump That Could Win 40 States – Virgil (April 27, 2016)


In his 38-minute address, Trump got right down to it: "It's time to shake the rust off America's foreign policy. It's time to invite new voices and new visions into the fold, something we have to do."

That is, indeed, the sort of new broom that the voters have been looking for; it has animated not only the Trump campaign but also, we can observe, the Sen. Bernie Sanders (I-VT) campaign.

Yes, we've had quite enough of "experts" who get their "genius" thoughts published in The New York Times or The Wall Street Journal, thereby shaping the failed foreign policies of the last three presidents.

Opinions

The moment of truth: We must stop Trump



By Danielle Allen February 21



As Trump said, under his leadership, “If America fights, it will only fight to win... victory with a capital ‘V.’” In the preceding sentence, we might note, first, the “if” and, second, the “V” for “Victory.” It’s thus easy to see Trump sewing up the military vote, which is generally Republican. So sorry, Huffington Post, your attempt to stir the politico-military pot is not going to succeed.

Huffington Post

Donald Trump’s Frighteningly Erratic Foreign Policy Speech – Jon Soltz (April 27, 2016)

In his first foray into foreign policy and military policy, Trump delivered one clear message – he doesn’t care.

He doesn’t care enough to think up his own thoughts.

He doesn’t even care enough to make sure that the words being pumped onto his teleprompter and out of his mouth make any sense.

I’ve heard him take more interest and command in talking about the tacky Trump Doral resort than anything in today’s speech. That tells me, as a Commander-in-Chief, he really won’t care too much about what orders are delivered down to the men and women in uniform, in his name. It gives me no confidence that he would even care to hear about what the military is doing out in the field.

Donald Trump and the Rise of Loudmouth Politics – Joe Klein (April 27, 2016)

Donald Trump has overturned American politics in ways we can't even imagine yet. His signature policies—building the wall, banning the Muslims, a trade war with the Chinese and Mexicans—are ridiculous, but his signature style has rendered the status quo in American politics obsolete.

I am certain that there are hundreds of young, over-ambitious, would-be politicians out there who are taking lessons from Trump in how to behave, how to make a splash. It will not be hard for them to move on from the relatively staid, marketing-muffled state of our politics: antic egotism is not a quality foreign to most politicians. We seem destined to suffer a loudmouth politics in the years to come...until, at least,

something really serious happens,

as opposed to our current splay of trumped-up crises,

and the absolute need for political sobriety—

April 28, 2016

THE HUFFINGTON POST

INFORM • INSPIRE • ENTERTAIN • EMPOWER

Edition: U.S. ▾

[Like](#) 6.9M [Follow](#) [Follow](#) 4.3M


[FRONT PAGE](#) [POLITICS](#) [ENTERTAINMENT](#) [WHAT'S WORKING](#) [HEALTHY LIVING](#) [WORLDPOST](#) [HIGHLINE](#) [HUFFPOST LIVE](#) [ALL SECTIONS](#)

[Election Results](#) • [Comedy](#) • [Black Voices](#) • [Queer Voices](#) • [Sports](#) • [Style](#) • [Dr. Oz](#) • [OWN](#) • [Dr. Phil](#) • [When To Jump](#) • [GPS For The Soul](#) • [Quiet Revolution](#) • [Talk To Me](#)

Enter email address

[Subscribe](#)

CLEVELAND BRACES FOR RNC CHAOS



—the need to make real tough life and death decisions—reasserts itself once more.

Michael T. Bucci is a retired public relations executive currently residing in New England. He has authored nine books on practical spirituality collectively titled The Cerithous Material.

Notes:

[1] ["Donald Trump's Strange World View". Editorial Board of the New York Times. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.nytimes.com/2016/04/28/opinion/donald-trump-to-world-im-willing-to-walk.html?action=click&pgtype=Homepage&clickSource=story-heading&module=opinion-c-col-left-region®ion=opinion-c-col-left-region&WT.nav=opinion-c-col-left-region>

[2] ["Trump's crazy attempt not to sound crazy". Dana Milbank. Washington Post. April 27, 2016.](#)

https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/trumps-crazy-attempt-not-to-sound-crazy/2016/04/27/bf275902-0cb0-11e6-bfa1-4efa856caf2a_story.html?hpid=hp_no-name_opinion-card-f%3Ahomepage%2Fstory

[3] ["Trump's unreal foreign policy: Our view". Editorial Board of USA Today. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2016/04/27/donald-trump-foreign-policy-isil-china-editorials-debates/83608222/>

[4] ["Pundits rip, ridicule Trump's foreign policy speech". Kelly Riddell. Washington Times. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2016/apr/27/donald-trump-foreign-policy-speech-ridiculed-ripped>

[5] ["It's what was left unsaid in Trump's speech that matters most ". Judith Miller. FOX News. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.foxnews.com/opinion/2016/04/27/judith-miller-it-s-what-was-left-unsaid-in-trump-s-speech-that-matters-most.html>

[6] ["Trump's Incoherent Foreign-Policy Plans". Russell Berman. The Atlantic. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2016/04/the-trump-doctrine-is-america-first/480204>

[7] ["In Foreign Policy Speech, @realDonaldTrump Comes Through Despite Efforts To Appear Presidential". Molly O'Toole. Foreign Policy. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://foreignpolicy.com/2016/04/27/in-foreign-policy-speech-realdonaldtrump-comes-through-despite-efforts-to-appear-presidential/>

[8] ["Trump's 'Foreign Policy': Incoherent and Shallow". Andrew C. McCarthy. National Review. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.nationalreview.com/article/434660/donald-trump-foreign-policy-incoherent-shallow>

[9] ["Trump Attempted a Foreign Policy Makeover Today. Did it Work? \(Who's advising this guy?\). James Carden. The Nation. April 27, 2016](#)

<http://www.thenation.com/article/trump-attempted-a-foreign-policy-makeover-today-did-it-work/>

[10] [“Donald Trump’s big foreign policy speech was a little Dangerfield, a little Tan-ZAYN-ia” – Ryu Spaeth. New Republic. April 27, 2016](#)

<https://newrepublic.com/minutes/133131/donald-trumps-big-foreign-policy-speech-little-dangerfield-little-tan-zayn-ia>

[11] [“This Is the Donald Trump That Could Win 40 States”. Virgil. Breitbart. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://www.breitbart.com/2016-presidential-race/2016/04/27/donald-trump-win-40-states/>

[12] [“Donald Trump’s Frighteningly Erratic Foreign Policy Speech”. Jon Soltz. Huffington Post. April 27, 2016.](#)

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/jon-soltz/donald-trumps-frightening_b_9790058.html

[13] [“Donald Trump and the Rise of Loudmouth Politics”. Joe Klein. TIME. April 27, 2016.](#)

<http://time.com/4309663/donald-trump-hillary-clinton-2/?xid=homepage>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Michael T. Bucci](#), Global Research, 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Michael T. Bucci](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca