

Djibouti Is Dangerously Becoming a Trigger for Transregional Destabilization

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, March 09, 2018

[Oriental Review](#) 8 March 2018

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [sub-Saharan Africa](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [Intelligence](#)

The delicate balance of power in the Horn of Africa might soon be broken by the developing situation inside of Djibouti surrounding the future ownership of its main port, with the possible American-Emirati reaction to this potentially serving as a trigger for militarily activating the complex transregional alliance system that's formed in this part of the world over the past couple of years.

Making A Mountain Out Of A Molehill

The tiny state of Djibouti is once again making global headlines after its government ended a contract with an Emirati port operator late last month that was in control of the country's most important container terminal. The move followed a multi-year dispute that culminated in what Abu Dhabi decried as an "illegal seizure" of its asset, but which the national authorities said was a necessary action to end the stalemate. This seemingly insignificant commercial spat would have remained irrelevant to international politics had the US not decided to [weigh in](#) earlier this week in supporting its Emirati ally.

Reuters reported that the top America military official in Africa, Marine General Thomas Waldhauser, responded to speculation in Congress that Djibouti was supposedly planning to "give [the port] to China as a gift" by ominously warning that

"If the Chinese took over that port, then the consequences could be significant".

Republican Representative Bradley Byrne went even further by speculating that

"If this was an illegal seizure of that port, what is to say that government wouldn't illegally terminate our lease before its term is up?"

These statements have thus turned an ordinarily uneventful dispute that's destined for the Court of International Arbitration into a full-fledged geopolitical scandal.



Djibouti's Transregional Dimensions

Djibouti is of global importance because of its location at the Bab el Mandeb strait that connects the Red Sea to the Gulf of Aden and serves as the maritime transit location

between Europe and Asia. Apart from the US, China, France, [Italy](#), [Japan](#), and soon even [Saudi Arabia](#) all have bases in the country, with India being able to utilize America's by means of the [summer 2016 LEMOA deal](#) that gives each country access to the other's military facilities on a case-by-case "logistics" basis. Furthermore, Djibouti is the terminal location for the Djibouti-Addis Ababa Railway (DAAR) that basically functions as "China's CPEC" or the Horn of Africa Silk Road, thus explaining one of the unstated strategic reasons why Beijing chose the country for hosting its first-ever overseas military base.

Ethiopia, however, is at the center of a transregional alliance system that's sprung up in the area over the past couple of years as a result of its ambitious efforts to construct the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (GERD) on the Blue Nile River that provides most of the more famous Nile's water. The balance of forces has seen Ethiopia band together with Sudan [while Egypt](#) – which claims that GERD will adversely affect its water supplies and therefore make it strategically dependent on Ethiopia – has [sought out](#) Addis Ababa's nemesis, Eritrea. Moreover, the [Gulf Cold War](#) has expanded to the region, with Qatar siding more closely with Ethiopia and the UAE partnering with Eritrea, in which it has a [military facility](#) that it uses in connection with the War on Yemen.

Saudi Arabia is impartial because it has military relations with Eritrea but [agricultural](#) ones with Ethiopia, even though its Egyptian partner (which practically functions as a subordinate or client state at this point) wishes that it took a stronger stand against Addis Ababa. Djibouti's situation is much more complex than any of these parties' because it has close relations with each of the countries that have or will have military bases within its territory, and it had previously enjoyed positive relations with the UAE prior to the port dispute. Qatar used to station peacekeepers along the Djiboutian-Eritrean border since their brief 2008 border conflict but [withdrew](#) them last summer after both states sided with Saudi Arabia in the Gulf Cold War.

Approaching The Breaking Point

Even though Djibouti has historically harbored suspicions of much larger Ethiopia's intentions, especially since it became landlocked following Eritrea's 1993 independence, its fears have been allayed ever since China got involved in DAAR and apparently convinced it that Addis Ababa has no interest in behaving aggressively towards the de-facto city-state so long as its Silk Road access to the People's Republic is ensured. In fact, the "African CPEC" did more for building trust between these two lopsided countries than anything else could have ever done, though it wasn't a solution for regional stability in the geopolitical sense, as is evidently seen by the transregional alliance system that was earlier described.

In fact, the structural instability that now characterizes the Horn of Africa might reach a breaking point with the potential destabilization of Djibouti that might be brought about if the US and its Emirati ally join forces in pressuring this tiny country to reverse its takeover of the disputed port. Washington is already hinting that it might consider this to be a 'national security threat' to its interests, while Abu Dhabi is alleging that what happened is no different than the strong armed robbery of one of its most prized global assets. The groundwork has now been established for these two countries to cooperate in making Djibouti pay for what it did.

Policy Toolkit

The reason why this has any importance to the outside world is because it may lead to the Horn of Africa becoming a 21st-century version of the pre-World War I Balkans in the sense that a far-reaching alliance network could once again be on the brink of being militarily activated due to an unexpected and seemingly insignificant event. The two most realistic and potentially interconnected options that the US-UAE “alliance within an alliance” have at their disposal for use against Djibouti suggest that a larger conflict could easily explode by miscalculation alone, to say nothing of Machiavellian intent, thus making the historical-regional comparison an apt one:

Eritrean Border Skirmish:

The UAE’s Eritrean underling already has a preexisting border disagreement with Djibouti, and it wouldn’t be difficult for the wealthy patron state to tempt its impoverished client to make a move against Abu Dhabi’s new regional adversary.

Color Revolution:

Djibouti was briefly rocked by [pro-Islamist Color Revolution unrest](#) at the end of 2015 that was quickly quelled by the authorities, though there’s no saying that such a scenario couldn’t be “encouraged” to repeat itself in the near future as “phase one” of an American pressure campaign.

Hybrid War:

The merging of conventional Eritrean aggression, Asmara’s asymmetrical use of the Al Shabaab terrorist group that the UNSC [sanctioned](#) the country for supporting, and American-backed Color Revolution unrest in the urban center would constitute a classic [Hybrid War in the Horn of Africa](#).



Realistic Responses

Scenario forecasting is a difficult art so it’s with a grain of salt that one should approach this exercise, though nevertheless understanding the utility that it has in allowing one to envision the most likely responses to each of the two primary options that the US and UAE have for use against Djibouti:

The Second African World War:

Eritrean aggression against Djibouti could prompt Ethiopia and Sudan to take action against it, thereby drawing in Asmara’s Egyptian ally and its GCC partners, all of which might create a situation that compels the US and China to intervene at different stages and in varying capacities to uncertain ends.

An Ethiopian Collapse:

Ethiopia is in the throes of its [second state of emergency](#) in just as many years, and the Color Revolution blockage of DAAR might be all that’s needed to [provoke the Oromo](#) into reviving [their Hybrid War campaign](#) and possibly pushing the country past the edge of collapse.

Pro-Beijing Blowback

The American-Emirati destabilization of Djibouti might intentionally or unwittingly produce consequences that endanger China's interests in the Horn of Africa, but there's also the chance that the blowback that they produce conversely strengthens Beijing's role in this region instead:

The People's Republic And Peacekeeping:

So long as China can avoid the "mission creep" scenario that the US is pushing it towards, it might be able to manage any Eritrean-Djiboutian border tensions (and possibly others) through a peacekeeping mission like the one that it [proposed](#) last summer, therefore stabilizing the region.

Diplomacy And Deal-Making:

China is the best suited out of any country to mediate between all conflicting parties within the region, especially if it commits peacekeepers to the cause, and this might see its diplomacy producing the Silk Road fruit of more "win-win" deals that sustain the peace that its soldiers first attained.

Peace Isn't Possible Without The People's Republic:

The aggregate consequences of China's military and diplomatic efforts at obtaining, securing, and advancing peace in the Horn of Africa could enable Beijing to become a stabilizing force in one of the world's most unstable regions and consequently assist its integration into the [Multipolar World Order](#).

Concluding Thoughts

The latest developments in the tiny Horn of Africa country of Djibouti might seem uninteresting for most outside observers, but upon closer examination of the broader strategic dynamics at play and the delicate balance of power between the two transregional alliances there, it becomes apparent that these unresolved and escalating events might serve as a catalyst for a larger conflict. At the risk of sounding cliché, the "stage is set" and all of the local actors are ready – and almost eager, one could argue – to "play their role" in the upcoming "drama", with only China having any realistic chance of stabilizing the situation before it gets out of control.

That said, China must also remain cognizant of the US' desire to trap it in the quagmire of "mission creep" as a proxy means of "containing" its influence in Africa, and Beijing's relatively 'conservative' decision makers aren't predisposed to overtly intervening in other countries' affairs, though the recent case of [Myanmar](#) stands out as a [notable exception](#) and might portend a change in policy. In any case, it's clear to see that the destabilization of Djibouti will inevitably have negative consequences for China's regional and Silk Road interests, thereby making the most recent developments yet another example of how the US-Chinese proxy struggle is rapidly reaching every corner of the world.

*

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global

vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare.

All images in the body of the article are from the author.



Global Research works to give readers critical coverage of such issues and much more.

In the face of mainstream media disinformation, we have remained independent and continued to act as a vital information portal. We are grateful to all those involved in this process.

The Centre for Research on Globalization/Global Research do not seek financial support from private and public foundations. This is why we value every single [donation and contribution](#) made by our readers.

The original source of this article is [Oriental Review](#)
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), [Oriental Review](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca