

Disagreement Between NATO's Stoltenberg and America's Biden on Ukraine Membership in NATO

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, June 19, 2023

Region: [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

On June 14th, NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg [repeatedly insisted upon facilitating Ukraine's becoming a member-nation](#) of that marketing organization for the weapons that are produced by U.S.-and-allied weapons-manufacturers, but America's President Joe Biden [made clear on June 17th](#) that he will not allow softening the existing requirements in order to admit Ukraine into NATO.

The weapons-manufacturers' sales are booming from the hundreds of billions of dollars of current and future-expected sales that are resulting from the burnup and breakdown of their products in the battlefields of Ukraine, and from the depletion of NATO stockpiles of those weapons in order to donate them to Ukraine so as to kill Russians there.

The manufacturers benefit greatly from this war.

And those weapons-manufacturers might therefore be disappointed that long-term future sales-volumes won't be what they had been expecting from that war, if Ukraine becomes defeated.

So: there's intensified effort to assist Ukraine against Russia.

What's now forcing the issue upon Biden was described by me on June 18th under the headline ["U.S. Empire will either lose Ukraine to Russia, or fail to win Taiwan from China — or both"](#), and I explained there that even the U.S. Government's own war-planners are now concluding that America is on the losing side in both of those central targets for conquest in WW III (Russia and China); and, so, America will have to either lose Ukraine to Russia, or else will have to give up its (Deep State's) aim of taking Taiwan from China.

Even America's own war-planners have now concluded that if push comes to shove over China's province of Taiwan, then China will force America to shove-off from Taiwan, and the

result of a war over Taiwan now would be a destroyed Taiwan, a weakened China, and a humiliated and clearly #3 military power U.S.; so, the new plan by America's Deep State (which group consists of the controlling owners of U.S.-based international corporations) is for the U.S. Government to instead cut way back on the 47% of U.S. discretionary spending that currently is non-military, and to place the U.S. economy as quickly as possible onto a full-fledged war footing, such as had happened when the Japanese invaded Pearl Harbor on 7 December 1941.

Helping Ukraine now against Russia is supposed to be like helping Britain in WW II against Germany. However, though America's war-planners are advising this de-emphasis on Ukraine, in order to increase emphasis against China, Biden wouldn't be able to win re-election if the plan to go on a war-footing against China becomes clear to the public before Election Day, 5 November 2024. So: the war in Ukraine needs to be held in abeyance till at least then — if that is even possible.

Consistent with the recommendations of its war-planners, America, which has already seriously depleted its ammunition-supplies in order to enable Ukraine to defeat Russia in Ukraine's battlefields, will have to instead rebuild its supplies specifically designed for the war against China, and doing this will require several years if it even can be done at all. But NOT doing it would terminate the U.S. Deep State's objective, ever since it took over on [25 July 1945](#), for the U.S. Government to become the world's first all-encompassing global empire, and to win control over the entire planet. That is what America's billionaires demand.

Stoltenberg, by contrast, represents not only America's aristocracy, but also the vassal-aristocracies of the other NATO-member countries; and they don't want to have anything to do with a war against China. They have always been aspiring to conquer Russia, for their (as Hitler called it) "Lebensraum."

Consequently, perhaps NATO is in the process of breaking up.

The war in Ukraine will have to be put on hold, whether Stoltenberg wants to or not.

What the response of Europe's aristocracies will be to that, isn't yet clear, at all. But they (as always since 25 July 1945) will have to abide by it.

In my personal opinion, the likeliest outcome from all of this will be that America will soon become the world's third-most-powerful country, and the entire pre-Truman (i.e., FDR) plan for what the post-WW-II world-order will become, will be finally placed onto the table, for serious international consideration — which Truman and Eisenhower had blocked from ever happening. If that does happen, then the U.N., IMF, World Bank, and all the rest, will be fundamentally revised. The reputations of Truman and Eisenhower will then plunge, as historians will then be viewing them rapidly receding in their rear-view mirrors. They were the two worst Presidents in U.S. history. They retarded — prevented, for almost a century — progress in the international order. The [297 U.S. military invasions \(244 of which happened AFTER the Soviet Union ended in 1991\)](#) that they and their successors perpetrated (each of which invasion was aggressive, not defensive) after WW II ended, and the [60 known U.S. coups \(all of them after 1947\)](#), are more hell than perhaps anyone (other than maybe Hitler) perpetrated in at least modern times. Germany (on account of Hitler) has a lot to live down (and that's publicly acknowledged because they lost the War), but so too does America after

the deaths of FDR and Hitler (and maybe the U.S. Government's shame will be publicly acknowledged after U.S. dominance ends).

Anyway: Biden, who got placed into power largely by America's armaments manufacturers, now needs them to be in the back seat for a while. Stoltenberg won't be happy about that. But Europe's billionaires won't hold it against him. They'll understand. However, they won't participate in America's war against China. And this is why America created AUKUS for that. The only European country in that is Britain.

Ukraine will now be just Europe's problem. It was [America's coup](#), but — at least for a while — it will be Europe's mess to deal with (and America, which controls NATO, won't allow Ukraine into NATO). The plan is that, if Biden wins re-election, America will go onto a war-footing against China.

In Europe, America was allied with UK and Germany against Russia. In Asia, America will be allied with Australia, Japan, and South Korea against China. Already, Russia and China have done [their first joint military exercise](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [The Duran](#).

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse's new book, [AMERICA'S EMPIRE OF EVIL: Hitler's Posthumous Victory, and Why the Social Sciences Need to Change](#), is about how America took over the world after World War II in order to enslave it to U.S.-and-allied billionaires. Their cartels extract the world's wealth by control of not only their 'news' media but the social 'sciences' — duping the public.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca