

## Destructive Lockdowns. How the Pandemic Ruined American Businesses: Hail the Reopening of the US Economy?

By Jeffrey A. Tucker

Global Research, January 31, 2021

American Institute for Economic Research

25 January 2021

Theme: Science and Medicine

Region: **USA** 

All Global Research articles can be read in 27 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

\*\*\*

What a glorious thing the reopening is! After nearly a year of darkening times, the light has begun to dawn, at least in the US.

Given how incredibly political this pandemic has been from the beginning, many people smell a rat. Is it really the case that the reopening of the American economy, particularly in blue states, is so perfectly timed? Do the science and politics really line up so well?

These are questions for another day. And for the record, my own opinion is that the loosening of restrictions is timed well with the relaxing of public disease fear, from whatever source, political or through exhaustion or through a shift in the media narrative. In any case, it doesn't matter for now. What matters right now is that the astonishing destructiveness of lockdowns might be coming to an end.

For those of us inveighing against lockdowns for a full year, it's truly been a remarkable week. Restrictions are being loosened or are going away. We are finally getting some truth about the carnage. And we are even starting to see some elected officials being honest with us.

Let's start in the most locked down state on the mainland: Massachusetts. Governor Charles Baker, whose pandemic management has wrecked so many businesses in his state, has <u>decided</u> it's time to open up restaurants and businesses.

A hospital epidemiologist at Tufts Medical Center admits that the lockdowns didn't achieve their goal. Shira Dorn said: "Businesses and restaurants have not been shown to be a significant source of spread of infection, and it's not clear that the additional measures that were instituted in November and December actually helped."

So sorry we ruined your holidays and lives.

The egregious limits on gatherings will persist for a few more weeks, but the tone of the argument here has shifted. It is the most significant change in state policy in a very long

time. Perhaps people can begin soon to get their human rights back?

The same is happening in other states.

Washington, D.C. will <u>resume</u> indoor dining.

Maryland's governor has <u>decided</u> that the state needs to reopen schools now and no later than March 1.

Gov. Gretchen Whitmer of Michigan <u>says</u> Michigan restaurants can reopen for indoor dining on February 1. Her health adviser <u>decided</u> to resign. Let us hope it is the beginning of many.

Chicago's mayor is now <u>demanding</u> an immediate opening of restaurants and bars. Chicago is also <u>threatening</u> teachers unions that they must return to work.

New York Governor Cuomo has dramatically <u>reversed</u> his rhetorical course and demanded a reopening of the city. More announcements are <u>expected</u> in the coming days.

Governor Gavin Newsom, incredibly, has <u>lifted all stay-at-home orders</u> across the state and is permitting dining to open up. Many restaurants have defied orders for months now, and good for them. This new announcement shows that their defiance had an influence.

Montana's new governor has <u>lifted</u> some Covid restrictions.

National Public Radio has decided to <u>announce</u> that the virus has peaked.

The WHO is insisting that the PCR cycle threshold <u>must</u> change. If nations adjust, it should make a big difference in the case trend.

And perhaps in the most honest statement uttered by any elected official in twelve months, Joseph Biden <u>said</u> the following: "There's nothing we can do to change the trajectory of the pandemic in the next several months." He didn't need to qualify that statement. He could have stopped after pandemic.

CNN has removed the death tracker from its main page, while the *New York Times* has reported a 33% decline in new cases in the past two weeks. Plus, the *Times*, which arguably made the most profound contribution to the public panic over the virus, is finally reporting on the terrible carnage.

In an incredibly heartbreaking article, the *Times* chronicles the unspeakable deaths of despair from young children denied schooling over the past year. It's an absolutely shocking article, one that should echo unto the ages, given what happened this last year. It's worth a read.

As for the astonishingly anti-scientific blather dished out by the media over the last year, even that is starting to change. The *Washington Post* has <u>published</u> a helpful introduction to immunological basics, as written by JHU Professor Marty Makary:

Having the infection activates both antibodies as well as memory B- and T-cells, which teach your immune system to recognize the same virus in the future to swiftly eradicate it.

Natural immunity after covid-19 infection appears to last for at least the one year in which the virus has been circulating at large. Extrapolating from research on the SARS and MERS coronaviruses, it could be much longer. In one study of 176 people infected with SARS, immunity lasted for an average of two years. Another long-term analysis of health-care workers previously infected with SARS found antibodies up to 12 years later. Protective antibodies for the MERS coronavirus have similarly been documented to last for at least three years. And while the 1918 pandemic was caused by an influenza virus, the immune systems of those infected were able to make antibodies to the virus nearly nine decades later, a 2008 Nature study found.

Even mild infections appear to <u>elicit</u> a persistent and functional immune response. One recent European <u>study</u> found that people who had mild or asymptomatic covid-19 mounted a "robust T-cell immunity" afterward. A separate French <u>study</u> affirmed this, noting that some people who lived with a confirmed covid-infected person developed T-cell immunity even when they did not test positive for covid.

The article goes even further to openly admit what many of us have noticed since March: "Many medical experts have been dismissive of natural immunity due to prior infection, but there is overwhelming data showing that covid-19 reinfections are <u>rare</u>, and when they do occur, the infection is often mild."

These basic facts fundamentally change the rationale for locking down. We've evolved with viruses without locking down. Starting in the late 19th century, once we got smarter about viruses, we realized that protection of the vulnerable and exposure among the non-vulnerable, in the framework of a functioning society, was the best approach to dealing with pandemics. We pursued that policy for a full century until last year. The unprecedented experiment with lockdowns will end up causing more death than if we had maintained a functioning society while treating disease as a medical and not a political problem.

We are also getting some truth telling on track-and-trace, <u>courtesy</u> of Holman Jenkins in the *Wall Street Journal*:

Top of the list is magic solution X, a national test and trace program. I won't mince words. A 9-year-old could see the math didn't work. Covid spreads more easily than the flu. An overwhelming share of cases are asymptomatic or indistinguishable from ailments that millions of Americans suffer every day. In a country as big, mobile and open as the U.S., there was zero chance of catching and isolating enough spreaders to matter.

Many experts said so at the time, but quietly. Anthony Fauci eventually said so, but quietly. All implicitly knew not to get between the media and its imperative that every big misfortune be played as a failure of inadequate government.

Even when the testing data shouted the truth, the press couldn't hear it. Our testing misses 70% to 90% of Covid cases and yet 91% of the people being tested for Covid tested negative and were suffering from something else. We were never going to make a dent in the epidemic this way. It was a distraction.

Finally, we have actual experiments in openness right here in the US. Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, and South Dakota have all been open since the spring of last year, with life continuing on more or less as normal. The results have been no worse and most often better than what we see in lockdown states. It's almost as if the virus doesn't care about your

political solutions.

One final data point. I watched the AFC Championship football game last night. Gone were the dreary ads of 2020 that all began "In these challenging times." Instead we were treated to pictures of happy parties, friends socializing, people living life normally and happily. Even the masks are going away. True the stadium was only half full due to preposterous regulations but it felt much more normal.

Are our governments getting wise? Doubtful but many are feeling pressure to start recognizing the rights of human beings again. The new variant (viruses naturally mutate and the *NYT* is trying to <u>bring calm</u>) might frighten them again. Biden has already imposed new international travel restrictions. We aren't out of the woods yet.

Will they admit error and apologize? That will take longer if it happens at all. At this point, right now, other things matter more. The priority must be to emancipate us from bad science and destructive policy so we can put our lives back together again.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Jeffrey A. Tucker is Editorial Director for the American Institute for Economic Research. He is the author of many thousands of articles in the scholarly and popular press and nine books in 5 languages, most recently <u>Liberty or Lockdown</u>. He is also the editor of <u>The Best of Mises</u>. He speaks widely on topics of economics, technology, social philosophy, and culture.

The original source of this article is <u>American Institute for Economic Research</u> Copyright © <u>Jeffrey A. Tucker</u>, <u>American Institute for Economic Research</u>, 2021

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Jeffrey A. Tucker

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>