

Destroying Iraqi Statehood: Operation Almost Complete

By <u>Elena Pustovoitova</u> Global Research, August 18, 2010 <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u> 18 August 2010 Region: Middle East & North Africa In-depth Report: IRAQ REPORT

There is no question where the US forces withdrawn from Iraq will go – Afghanistan is known to be the next destination.

In the process, Washington manages to demonstrate a commitment to peace. The US President is convinced that the US mission in Iraq can be "safely" finished by the end of August and the US will pull out by the end of the year, says White House Press Secretary Robert Gibbs.

The US got into Iraq uninvited and plans to leave without asking for UN approval or consulting the Iraqi security forces which will be left with a country where the foreign occupation has practically unleashed a civil war.

Iraqi Joint Forces chief of staff Gen. Babaker Zebari is open about the fact that Iraq's army will not be able to control the situation in the country for at least a decade to come and says the US withdrawal is premature. The death toll in Iraq last July was the highest on record since 2008. Over 100 people were killed in the country as a result of the activities of the Iraqi resistance.

The war in Iraq began not that long ago and it is still remembered that the formal pretext for Operation Iraqi Freedom launched on March 20, 2003 was the CIA lie that the country maintained stockpiles of WMDs. It is also clear – and recognized by the majority of European analysts – that the actual objective of the US offensive against Iraq was to gain control over its oil reserves.

Lies were Washington's main weapon in the run-up to the occupation of Iraq.

The Center for American Responsibility and the Fund for Independence in Journalism conducted a study which showed that the US Administration made 935 untrue statements on Iraq in from September, 2001–September, 2003.

Former US President George Bush is responsible for the greatest single number of lies – a total of 260, 232 of them concerning the existence of WMD, and 28 about Iraq's links to Al Qaeda.

Former US Secretary of State Colin Powell lied about Iraq 254 times. He demonstrated a container, allegedly with anthrax spores produced in Iraq, at the February 5, 2003 UN Security Council meeting, but it transpired shortly afterward that the container was a forgery.

The UN Security Council did not bless the aggression against Iraq and the US invaded the country in breach of the UN Charter. The offensive drew heavy criticism across the world.

In 2003, the ranks of its opponents included French President Jacques Chirac, German Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder, and Russian President Vladimir. Putin. Mass anti-war rallies convened across the world, in the US in particular, but the smell of oil and the desire to install a puppet government in the part of the world which used to stay out of reach for the US outweighed all other regards for Washington politicians.

At the moment, the cost of the venture is well-known. The Pentagon has been spending \$5.5 bn monthly on the war in Iraq, and 2010 alone is going to cost US taxpayers a handsome \$66 bn. According to The Cost of War report, the trillion dollars poured into the Iraqi war could be enough to pay the salaries of 21,000,000 policemen for a year or to cover the tuition of 19,000,000 college students for 9 years. On top of that, as of August 9, 2010 4,417 US servicemen were killed and 31,902 injured in Iraq....

And what about the cost paid for the war by Iraq?

The death toll suffered by the Iraqi population remains unknown and cannot even be assessed with reasonable precision. Available estimates vary by hundreds of thousands.

Opinion Research Business says that by August, 2007 the war took the lives of 733,158-1,446,063 Iraqi civilians. Based on new evidence, the data were changed to 946,000-1,120,000 in January, 2008.

Using exclusively data supplied by mass media, in late 2008 Iraq Body Count estimated the death toll at 89,612 to 97,840. The Iraqi Healthcare Ministry estimate is 104,000–223,000 prior to mid-2006. The US command modestly adds over 19,000 people killed in combat over the period ending in 2007 to the above figures.

The US Secretary of Defense and other officials predict a surge of violence in Iraq by August 31 due to Ramadan and the intensification of sectarian strife in the country. Struggle between the political factions supposed to form a coalition government will likely contribute to the problem. There is consensus among watchers that Iraq's own security forces will be unable to control the situation.

Obama has promised the withdrawal from Iraq to Americans but did not promise anything to Iraqis. The plan is that after August 31 the US Army will maintain in Iraq 6 brigades with a total of 50,000 servicemen instead of the current 144,000. Supposedly, that should be enough for non-combat missions such as security assistance or training Iraqi policemen and soldiers.

It would be naïve to expect that the Iraqi resistance will not take advantage of the vacuum caused by the US withdrawal from the country still lacking a coalition government six months after the nationwide elections.

Violence in Iraq is escalating, the number of fatalities is growing, and the Iraqi army and police – altogether some 400,000 servicemen – cannot do much in the settings, in part because they are regarded by the population as US puppets.

The country divided into several occupation zones – Baghdad, the Sunni Triangle, northern provinces, the Al-Anbar province in the west (under US control), the Shia-populated regions

to the south of Baghdad (the zone of responsibility of a division comprising servicemen from Poland, Spain, Italy, Ukraine, and Central American countries), and Iraq's southernmost part around Basra (the British responsibility zone) – practically fell apart along the borders of the zones.

Upon leaving it to the fire of civilian strife in Iraq to burn on its own, Washington will have every reason to conclude that the operation aimed at destroying Iraq's statehood is complete.

The original source of this article is <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u> Copyright © <u>Elena Pustovoitova</u>, <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u>, 2010

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Elena Pustovoitova

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca