

Derivatives exposure has killed CitiGroup.

By [Global Research](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

Global Research, November 25, 2008

[George Washington Blog](#) 25 November
2008

Citibank was the biggest, and was considered one of the most stable, banks a little while ago. But its derivatives exposure killed it.

However, Citibank was only the third largest holder of derivatives as of June.

Who were number 1 and 2?

JP Morgan holds around [three times more derivatives than Citigroup. And Bank of America is number 2.](#)

As Martin Weiss, PhD [writes](#):

Bank of America was a somewhat bigger player, holding \$39.7 trillion in derivative bets, with 93.4% traded outside of any exchange.

But JPMorgan Chase was, by far, the biggest of them all, towering over the U.S. derivatives market with more than double BofA's book of bets — \$91.3 trillion worth. This meant that JPMorgan Chase controlled half of all derivatives in the U.S. banking system — a virtual monopoly that tied the firm's finances with the fate of the U.S. economy far beyond anything ever witnessed in modern history. Meanwhile, \$87.3 trillion, or 95.7% of Morgan's derivatives, were outside the purview of any exchange.

One bank! Making bets of unknown nature and risk! Involving a dollar amount equivalent to six years of the total production of the entire U.S. economy! In contrast, Lehman Brothers, whose failure caused such a large earthquake in the global financial system, was actually small by comparison — with "only" \$7.1 trillion in derivatives.***

At Bank of America, the [Office of the Comptroller of the Currency] calculated that, at mid-year, the bank was exposed to the tune of 194.3% of its capital. In other words, for every \$1 of capital in the kitty, BofA was risking \$1.94 cents strictly on the promises made by its betting partners. If about half of its betting partners defaulted, the bank's capital would be wiped out and it would be bankrupt. And remember: This was in addition to the risk that the market might go the wrong way, and on top of the risk it was taking with its other investments and loans***

And if you think that's risky, consider JPMorgan Chase. Not only was it the largest player, but, among the big three U.S. derivatives players, it also had the largest default exposure: For every dollar of capital, the bank was risking \$4.30 on the credit of its betting partners.

The original source of this article is [George Washington Blog](#)
Copyright © [Global Research](#), [George Washington Blog](#), 2008

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Global Research](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca