

Demonizing the Russian Media: Shoot the Messenger and Obscure The Message

RT in the Eye of a Media Storm

By <u>Danny Schechter</u>

Global Research, March 08, 2014

Region: Russia and FSU
Theme: Media Disinformation

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT**

James Kirchick is just the neutral reporter the Daily Beast would assign to report on the ideological controversy surrounding the Russian backed RT-TV Channel's coverage of the crisis in the Ukraine.

The Beast lives up to its name by sending a hardcore polemical ideologue to uncover what he predictably labels as ideological media bias.

Kirchickis a veteran of the anti-communist wars, now revived as the anti Putin wars, not some neutral journo crusading for democracy.

According to Wikipedia, he is a <u>fellow</u> with the <u>Foundation for Defense of Democracies</u> in Washington, prior to this he was writer-at-large for <u>Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty</u>. He is a graduate of the New Republic, Murdoch's Weekly Standard and writes for Azure, a magazine that described itself as pro-Zionist and freemarket.

Ok, just so we know who are dealing with here.

And now, to bolster his "credibility" he presents himself as a victim in his latest article that exposes himself, far more than his target, asserting that his rights as a journalist were somehow compromised because of a gutsy quest for truth.

Here's his exhibit:

- •The Headline: "Watch RT, Putin's TV Network, Call the Cops on Me"
- •The Lead: "That's what happens, it seems, when you ask some simple questions outside RT's Washington headquarters."
- •The Polemic: "What would possess an American to work for a Russian propaganda outlet, especially now that the world is on the brink of a potential war in Eastern Europe?

I asked that question of about two dozen people coming in and out of the Washington headquarters of RT, the Kremlin-funded television network that has become infamous in recent days for whitewashing Vladimir Putin's invasion of Ukraine. No one would answer me directly. Instead, RT called the local cops on me. ..."

Kirchik's first story in his jihad against RT was to interview Anchor Liz Wahl who resigned flamboyantly on the air denouncing the channel she worked and making her an instant shero among Russia-bashers the world over.

Wahl offered up sweet innocence laced with the veneer of red white and blue (drop the red) patriotism, declaring, "I'm very lucky to have grown up here in the United States," she said.

"I'm the daughter of a veteran. My partner is a physician at a military base where he sees every day the first-hand accounts of the ultimate prices that people pay for this country. And that is why personally I cannot be part of a network that whitewashes the actions of Putin. I am proud to be an American and believe in disseminating the truth and that is why after this newscast I'm resigning."

Cue the National Anthem!

Funny, after her declaration of independence, and stagy pledge to quit was broadcast on a network that could have cut her off, none other than former Congressman Ron Paul who was interviewed by Wahl weighed in after she claimed RT censored her interview with him.

He denied it, saying, "I don't think it was slanted in any way."

Earlier, another RT on-airpersonality, Abby Martin, also denounced Putin's Ukraine policy on the air but was not fired and did not quit.

Later, she turned up on CNN debating Piers Morgan, he of the show about to be cancelled, about how fair and objective most American TV is. She was far better informed on that subject than this departing British host in assessing the US press, and on a network considered by some critics as an "American propaganda outlet."

In an article about Martin in National Journal, Lucia Graves wrote, "While it's clear the network <u>maintains</u> a <u>strong pro-Russian bias</u>, Glenn Greenwald on Tuesday defended RT's coverage, saying it isn't so different from what we saw on American media outlets in the lead up to the Iraq War. "For all the self-celebrating American journalists and political commentators: Was there even a single U.S. television host who said anything comparable to this in the lead up to, or the early stages of, the U.S. invasion of Iraq?" <u>he wrote</u>.

On Google, a story from CNN on Wahl's hyped farewell to RT carried Martin's picture, not hers.

Oh well, details, details!

Back to Mr. Kirchick'sheroism in defense of democracy!

Watch the video of how he posed some of his "simple" questions to RT employees outside their office.

What you see is a wise-guy provocateur harassing people entering the building with hostile, if not nasty and self-righteous questions, in an argumentative and aggressively hostile manner.

RT later challenged this image-building exercise of the "man who is not afraid of Putin" with a denial that they called the cops, an "update" that the Daily Beast tacked on to their story.

"RT America did not contact the DC police at any point," Anna Belkina said in a statement. "The building's security personnel called in the police after an intruder has been reported inside the building. The police questioned Mr. Kirchick as part of the investigation of that incident."

Kirchick's shouted out questions were there to call attention to himself, and score political points, and not to challenge the networkthat actually offers programs with views that are more diverse than on any US TV newschannel. It features programs with Tom Hartmann and even Larry King, both of whom deny they have been censored.

As an occasional commentator on RT News myself, I can and have said the same. I am not surprised that the networks I once worked for, ABC, CNN and CNBC never have me on, while BBC, RT, Press TV and Saudi TV, among others, feature my commentaries without telling me what to say.

Kirchick is less bothered by what gets on RT than that it exists at all, and especially because the network has built an audience among Americans disgusted by how controlled and manipulated most US media outlets are.

His real target are RT's viewers who he bitterly denounces as a "species," perhaps because they are looking for information you never find on the Daily Beast or many of the outlets he whores for as a self-styled "objective newsman."

Listen to this: "RT has become the go-to network for a particular species of disillusioned American, fed-up with what the "corporate media" is telling them about the world."

He doesn'twaste any putdowns eitherfrom an arsenal of vituperative broadsides and even—get this— denouncesRT employees as "slovenly."

He then rants on to share what may have been his Yale-bred elitism about his perception of the people the network interviews that includes politicians and commentators of all stripes.

"RT, both inits employment and viewership," he writes," seems to attract a particular type of person. You know the man who writes political chain emails IN ALL CAPS or the bag lady shouting on the street corner about the metal device the government has implanted in her head? Under normal circumstances, no one would give them a television show. But these are the people who appear on, and watch, RT."

Oh, really- another round of clichés to keep the truth from getting in the way of his preconceived perceptions.

Now, now, feel better Mr. Kirchick, time to take your medication, before you melt down, or stir up more hatred and animosity for people who lack your years of slimy experience as a media warrior in the service of a neocon empire.

MR KIRCHICK?

Oh, you have more to say?

"For the past 9 years, RT has provided steady paychecks and frequent media appearances to a veritable insane asylum of the great unwashed and unemployable dredges of the American fringe."

Whew, I am glad he got that out of his system, until tomorrow, of course, when he will find another way of cursing without cursing, while showcasing superiority to those of us in that other sub- human "species."

Now, let me get back to my Rolling Stones record:

I'll never be your beast of burden
I'll never be your beast of burden
Never, never, never, never, never, never be

Also, by the way, do I need to say that I am not a Putin booster, my father was a veteran, I have pledged allegiance to the flag many times, and wrote two books and made a film about media miscoverage of the Iraq War. My critique was based, in part, in my own experience in network TV.

News Dissector Danny Schechter edits Mediachannel.org and blogs at NewsDissector.net. His latest book is Madiba A to Z: The Many Faces of Nelson Mandela. Comments to dissector@mediachannel.org.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Danny Schechter</u>, Global Research, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Danny Schechter

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca