

## Mid-Term Elections 2022: It's Déjà Vu All Over Again in the Banana Republic of Biden!

The 2022 midterm election is the 2020 election through Door #3

By <u>Emerald Robinson</u> Global Research, November 15, 2022 <u>Emerald Robinson's The Right Way</u> 13 November 2022 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>History</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

Countless people have called in the last few days to ask me if Kari Lake or Blake Masters or Adam Laxalt or Lauren Boebert— and all the rest of the midterm candidates in "stalled" races— will ultimately prevail.

My answer is always the same: *no*. No, I don't think they're going to prevail. They're going to lose. In fact, they're being *cheated out of their victories* right now.

Should we be shocked? Kari reports it. Her lawyers are on it. WE WILL PREVAIL! @OANN #LockAndLoad #State48 pic.twitter.com/Pn7afZXVLV

Wendy Rogers (@WendyRogersAZ) November 12, 2022

My rule of thumb is simple: if you're a Trump-aligned Republican and your election results were not released within 24 hours of Election Day, then you're toast.

The *entire point* of "slow-rolling" vote-counting is that it gives the cheaters the necessary time to count *how many ballots they need to beat the Republican candidate* and then *to manufacture those ballots* — *or to disappear the necessary number of GOP ballots by "abjudication."* 

Didn't the GOP learn any of these lessons from the 2020 election? Of course not.

No <u>gang of white-show lawyers</u> is ready and waiting in Maricopa County to shut down the steal when Democrats tell people on Election Day <u>to put their ballots in a trash-bin marked</u> <u>Door #3</u>.

On November 9th, Senate candidate Adam Laxalt (R- NV) reassured his voters that his opponent needed 63% of the remaining 84,000 votes in Clark County, Nevada to catch up with him in the vote-count.



So it was strange, and a little disconcerting, to see that Laxalt's opponent got *exactly 63%* of those remaining 84,000 votes two days later.



In a new batch of 27.3k ballots counted in Clark County, here are the #nysen results: - Cortez Masto received 17.2k votes (63 percent) - Laxalt received 9k votes (33 percent) This drops Laxalt's statewide lead from 9k to about 800 votes.

6:05 PM · 11/11/22 · Twitter Web App

Laxalt's confidence was gone today — as he realized that Democrats have no problem posting literally unbelievable vote margins in order to win.



Adam Paul Laxalt 🐼 @AdamLaxalt 🗳 US Senate candidate, NV Here is where we are — we are up only 862 votes. Multiple days in a row, the mostly mail in ballots counted continue to break in higher DEM margins than we calculated. This has narrowed our victory window. The

race will come down to 20-30K Election Day Clark drop off ballots.

10:45 AM · Nov 12, 2022 · Twitter for iPad

would be victorious in his race against Mark Kelly — because he too believed that the remaining 500,000 votes to be counted there *heavily favored him*.



Why would anyone believe such things after living through the 2020 election? Why did <u>the</u> <u>electronic voting machines stop</u> in *at least 5 states* on Election Day this week? What do they think is really going on?

It's almost like they don't understand how cheating works.

Do they think the machines malfunctioned and the vote-counting stopped in Arizona, California, and Nevada by accident or something?

BAL	DTE	6	€GA	bal	lot	8															
	ow																				
N	arr	10	ck	ir	F	u	tc	n.	N	/h	at	ar	e	th	e	cł	a	nc	es	?	
	-		100			-	4.0	100	-		A.A.	and the party of		-			0.84	-	**	•	
-	1 459	1				H	1	1.11	1			1			-	1	ints,	1915	1000	1.11	1
	163.6	-	-	1.11		1.1.1		1251	-		and a	-		-		-	1111	111	1111	1111	
	-	-	1.1		1	2.10	1111	della	-	ł	1111	1	-	-	ł	ŝ	1121	1535	0000	1111	
	1111	1	-	÷		-	-	1110	-	1	1111	1	1	-	1	-	E III	1555		1111	
	111	1	-	-	-	1111	-	1144	1111	-	111	1	-	-	-	-	-	\$228	0.000	1111	
-	111	1	1	-	1	-	-	1251	-	-	1111	1	1	-	-	-	-	1111	1000	1111	
	HH.	-				100	1440	and a	10.03		Int	-			-		Int	1911		1111	10.00
	1111	-	11.1			1.1.1		dall	1111	-	111	-		-			111	1111		1111	
	1114	-	-	****		1.1.1		1111			1112	-			-		1111	1515	1111	1111	
	2882	-			1111	1111		1111	1111	-	1111	-	-		-	1111	1111	111		5335	
	Hel					111		4125	1111		2112	1		-	-		1151	\$155	1111	33.53	
	111	-	-			100		1115	1111	-	111	-			-		1141	10.0		81 81 81	
	1111	1	-	-		1111	1111	2112	1111	1	1123	-		-	-	-	1111	1515	1111	-	-
-	12.0	1	1111			1111		1111	1111	1	1114	1	1	-	1		111	5355	0.000	-	
	101	-	111			1111	1111	101	1.12	-	1112	-		-	-	****	111	1255		-	1111
	1975	1				111-		.111	1.12	-	112	-			-		111	2558	1111	-	
	1531	1				1112	1145	1111	1111	-	1111	-			-		111	1558		100	
	111	-	10.0			1111	111	1111	11-1	-	1111	-			1		1111	111		-	
	1111	1	11.1					1111		-	1111			-	-			1111	1111	1111	1111
-	111	-	100			1.4.8.1		4140		-	1111	-	-	-	-		1111	5355		1111	
	111	1	÷	1	1	1111	-	111	1112	ł	111	1	÷	1	1	1	1145	1111	1111	81 81 81	
151	+153	1	-	-	ł	-	-	111	11	ł	111	1	-	1	1	1	111	193		-	-
	151	1	1	-	1	1	1	111	1111	ł	100	1	1	1	ł	ł	1111	1111	1111	111	
	114	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	111	1	111	1	1	1	ł	3	-	111	-	-	1
t.t.	121	ł	-	1	1	-	11.5	111	-	1	1111	1	1	1	1	1	-	111	1111	222	
-	1111	1	-	1	1	-	-	all.	-	1	111	1	-	1	÷	-	111	121	1111	-	-
-	1111	-	-			-	1101	1111	1111	-	1111	-			-	1.00	1111	1111		1122	1.1.1.1
1.5	1214	-		1.1.1		1000	1111	1111	1116		1111	-	-		-	- 11-	1111	1111	1999	-	1000
	1110	-					1111	1111	121-		1111	-			-		1110	222	1111	80 80 80	
	111	-	11-12	1111		11.11	11.11	1115	11.1	-	1111	-			-		1114	1153	1111	1111	10.00
-	1114	-		-		1111	1111	1112	13.6.4	-	1111	-		-	-	12.1	111	111	1111	-	1111
	10	-		1111		111	1	ind.	1111	-	1212	-		-	-		HIL	\$111	1111	5535	
	1111	-		-		11.10	- 11	1111		-	1352	1	-		-		111	1111	1111	5555	
	1910		11-1			1111	11.11	tara	11.00	-	1111	-			-		1111	111	1111	5353	
	115	-	1111	-		10		2112	1131	-	1115	-	-		-	1111	111	10.0	1111	5555	
1	111	1	111			1111	-	1111	1111	1	1111	1		-	1		111	1115	1111	1111	
	111	1		-		111.	1111	1111	1111	i	1111	-	- 11-		1		1111	1111	1111	1111	
	********************	ł	1111	-	-	1111	1142	1811	11.2	-	11.1	-	:	11	1		1111	1115	1111	\$\$\$\$	
	111	-	*1111	-		1111	1111	1141	1111	-	1111	-		-	-		111)	1111	1111	1111	
	1111		alabuarteristication and			or a first from the company of the c	statutes its setures and	***************************************			1912222223332322222322225					**************************************	11111101111111111111111111111111111111	12111212121212121212121212121	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
	12.5	1	1.13	1	-	1.01	1	1.0.2	14.	1	111	1	1	1.8.4	-	-	111	12.h 14.h 44.h	112	111	-
3:3	7 AM	Л-	Nov																		

David Cross <u>already has the receipts</u> for the Warnock-Walker race — just look at the counties and precincts in Georgia breaking 96% and 97% and 98% for Warnock!

Total:	752,113	3,842 2022			•	102,52		1001000		e	306,052		- 200425	7 3,530	· 4	7,794	416,366	55%	25%	74%	2%
			unior Walk	er (Rep)				Varnock (I) (	Dem)				liver (Lib)								
County	Registered Voters	Absentee by Mail Votes	Advance Voting Votes	Election Day Votes	Provisiona I Votes	Votes	Absentee by Mail Votes	Advance Voting Votes	Election Day Votes	Provisiona I Votes	Total Votes	Absente by Mail Votes	e Advance Voting Votes	Election Day Votes	Provisiona Total I Votes Vote:		Total	Turnout	% Walker	%Warnock	% Oliver
SC05A	681	1		4 0		0	5 10		71		35			0 1	L O	1	362	53%	1%	98%	0%
10R	845		)	1 2		C	3 9					9		1 1	L O	2	274	32%	1%	98%	1%
10D2	1295		)	5 2		D	7 54	46:	101		0 61	2	0	5 1	L O	6	625	48%	1%	98%	i 1%
SC08D	548	1		2 3			6 1						0	0 0		0		51%	2%		
SC16B	2121	1			1 DE	50. 27	6 60						-	1 2		4	1386	65%	2%		
EP02D	509	C		2 3		50 - D	5 (							1 0		1	275	54%	2%	98%	
10C 10H1	2527 407	0				50	5 25 3 17						-	5 2		7 2	960 213	38%			
SC20	2466						9 63							6 4		12		70%			
121	977	č			2 23	200 83	7 24					a - 1	059	1 0		2		37%			
SC15A	3183			8 9			12 58					6		5 2	2 0	8		63%			
UC01E	502		)	3 2		C	5 1	3 230	68	8 0	31	1	0	3 0	0 0	3	319	64%	2%	97%	i 1%
04K	2529	1				200 02	.8 45						-	4 4		8		41%			
SC16A	2543	0				50 - S	4 45							2 4		6		61%			
SC01B	2034	1				20 8	27 42		5. Gira			D	0.70	7 1		10		69%	2%	12 17 18 1	
11B SC188	2748 1164	0					9 104 9 35							6 5		11		55% 68%	2%		
1102	1989						.9 3							6 3		13		57%			
11E3	3178	( ) ( )	2	0 19			0 33	3 115	424		161	0	0	3 3	8 0	6	1656	52%	2%	97%	0%
UC02E	3692	1	2	2 26		) i	19 BC	156	i 434		208	D	0	8 3	8 0	11	2140	58%	2%	97%	i 1%
10P	1383	0		58			13 32					3		0 3		3		40%			
SC05B	1122	0		20 22			1 2:							8 0		8	661	59%			
10	359						7 1						•	0 0		0		68%		97%	
SC07D SC01C	831 2357	6		4 4			8 10 19 67							2 3		5		54% 67%	2%		
11P	1783			+ 5 B 8			.8 70							3	18 D	5		44%	2%		
11R	2047	6		6 4			0 19							4		8		30%			
SC29A	2917	4				D 5	14 73					7		6 2		8		49%	2%		
108	3794	4					135						-	7 5		14		64%			
01H	895	0		5 4			9 34							0 1		1		37%			
SC05D	2883 2113	4					16 48							2 6		8		60%			
10D1 SCOBE	684	1		5 5 4 5			21 54 9 4							1 1		4 2	791	37% 51%		97% 97%	
01R	1242			32 E			8 2							0 2		2	311	25%	3%	97%	
SC18A	512			5 5			0 20						0	0 0	0 0	0		60%	3%	97%	
11C3	610	0	) (	8 5		o :	3 19	42	2 26	5 0	0 46	7	0	3 (	0 0	3	483	79%	3%	97%	1%
SC05C	2271						18 34							6 4		11		65%	3%		
12N	1008			5 2	3 12	30	9 1							1 1		2		33%			
10E 12H2	1056 2911	0		6 5 1 18			1 39							4 2		6 10		48%			
01P	1345			3 11			4 2							2 1	13 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	3		38%			
SCOBF	725			5 1			8 5							1 2		3		44%			
11E2	1028	c				o :	1 2							5 2	2 0	7	525	51%			
125	1910	(					.8 35							6 4		10		42%	2%		1%
10A	2941	1					10 70							5 7		12		50%	3%	96%	
SC09A	1653 1263	9					4 33 19 35							1 1		2		44%	3%		
10G EPO4A	3395	1					19 35 16 20							4 4		10		50%			
11K	1889				S - 25	20 - S	10 66							5 3		8	1053	56%	3%	96%	
SC18C	1583						7 4							2 2	2 0	4		70%			
11E1	1238	0	) (	8 8		o :	16 18	360	5 137		52	1	2	0 2	2 0	4	541	44%	3%	96%	1%
01F	1320	C		5 4			9 18							2 5		5		29%			
SC09B	1832						61 61							5 3		8		56%		96%	
SC02A	705	4					7 1					2 2		1 0		1		68%	4%		
12M 11M	2783 1098	1					19 48 11 25							1 9		10 5		37%	3%	96% 96%	
SCOBB	3785					50 S	4 7							8 3	N	12		53%			
10M	2593						17 64							4 9		14		52%	3%		
SC30B	968	0	)	6 9		) :	5 23	2 33	72	2 (	D 43	1	0	1 1	LO	2	448	46%	3%	96%	
SC02	643	1				- 10	16 10		10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -					2 0	10 D	2		73%	3%		
045	2249	0	0 1	5 12			7 60	60:	316	8 (	97	9	1	5 6	5 0	12	1018	45%	3%	96%	i 1%

Why do you think Fox News has called the race for Mark Kelly over Blake Masters even though only 85% of the vote has been counted? *What's with the rush now?* There's 400,000 votes left to be counted after all — right?


Meanwhile, why do you think that *nobody has called the race* for Lauren Boebert in Colorado — even though 99% of the vote has been counted? That same thing happened a few days ago with Ron Johnson in Wisconsin — he was ahead by 30,000 votes with 99% of the votes already counted and no corporate media outlet would call the race for Johnson.

It's almost like you don't understand *how elections work* in America now.



Diane Sare for U.S. Senate @DianeSare

## Last night I had over 55k votes and by this morning, less than 30k. 😕



\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from <u>Twitter</u>

The original source of this article is <u>Emerald Robinson's The Right Way</u> Copyright © <u>Emerald Robinson</u>, <u>Emerald Robinson's The Right Way</u>, 2022

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Emerald Robinson

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca