

## Defending Tulsi Gabbard from the Programmed War Propagandists

By <u>Kurt Nimmo</u>

Global Research, August 04, 2019

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: Media Disinformation

The corporate media, reflecting the talking points of the establishment and the war party, keeps harping on Democrat presidential candidate Tulsi Gabbard about Bashar al-Assad and gas attacks that never occurred.

.

.<u>@TulsiGabbard</u> Responding to Smears from Kamala Harris, NeoCons, & MSM:

"I will never apologize to anyone for doing all that I can to prevent more of my brothers & sisters in uniform from being sent into harms way to fight in these wasteful counterproductive regime-change wars." <a href="mailto:pic.twitter.com/B3IGAKVRbF">pic.twitter.com/B3IGAKVRbF</a>

— The Columbia Bugle □ (@ColumbiaBugle) August 2, 2019

Is Assad really a brutal dictator? Is he any worse than Mohammed bin Salman, the princeling known to have his opponents drugged and then cut up into disposable pieces? Or how about Bibi Netanyahu and his Zionist ethnic cleansers blowing up apartment buildings and shooting journalists, medics, and children for protesting against occupation?

No mention by this CNN windup of the fact the "civil war" (doublespeak for proxy war) in Syria was engineered by the United States, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Turkey, and Jordan. The jihadi maniacs described as "rebels" by the corporate media were assisted by US Special Forces and also helped along a bloody path strewn with 600,000+ dead by Israel, the UK, and France.

The liberal "humanitarian interventionist" warmongers and their neocon partners screech about oppressed minorities in Syria and ignore the indisputable fact al-Assad has protected Christians and other religious minorities, the people the Islamic State decapitates while destroying churches.

Brutal dictator? Is that why al-Assad is the <u>most popular Arab leader in the Middle East</u> according to a CNN and <u>Zogby poll</u>? Is it possible this invented and imagined hatred of Assad is due to <u>Syria's GDP tripling from 2000 to 2010</u> and its debt falling from 152.09% to 30.02% of gross domestic product?

The New York Times is now peddling anti-Assad propaganda and defending the murder of hundreds of thousands of people, but back before the US and its partners unleashed thousands of Wahhabi cutthroats on the country, the newspaper listed Syria as number 7 out of 31 top tourist destinations. In 2010, 8.5 million tourists visited the country.

The real problem, of course, is Bashar al-Assad's support for the Palestinians. This support is wholly unacceptable to the land-grabbing ethnic cleansers in Israel.

This support is why Israel repeatedly and obsessively violates Syria's national sovereignty and breaks international law by targeting Hezbollah, Iran (both invited by Syria to help fight the Salafists), and the Syrian Arab Army. Israel also <u>protects and offers medical aid to al-Qaeda</u> and its spinoffs.

The lies and pure fabrication (most notoriously the <u>fake news on chemical attacks</u>) has not only provided dimwitted teleprompter readers with grist for the promotion of forever war, but neocons as well, including the screechy talk radio gasbag Mark Levin.

Gabbard meets with Assad but accuses Trump of supporting al-Qaeda. Nuts. <a href="https://t.co/B0JKEIOLAo">https://t.co/B0JKEIOLAo</a>

— Mark R. Levin (@marklevinshow) August 2, 2019

No, Mark. That's Israel you're confusing with Trump. The Donald merely repeats what his neocon and Israel-first handlers tell him.

Mark pretends he's "conservative" and a defender of the Constitution, except for Article I, Section 8, Clause 11, which of course is reviled by the Zionists and if followed to the letter would put the question of endless war to Congress.

But then the Zionist mind-meld has largely taken over Congress, and those who have reservations about the advisability of endless war remain silent, with the notable exception of the Gang of Four, aka the Squad.

Rep. Ilhan Omar might be a clueless identity agenda pol, but when it comes to Israel she's right over the target, and that's why she will be removed from Congress by hook or crook.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Kurt Nimmo writes on his blog, Another Day in the Empire, where <u>this article</u> was originally published.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Kurt Nimmo</u>, Global Research, 2019

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Kurt Nimmo

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>