

Davos Billionaires Want to Save the Planet... "The Repackaging of Eugenics"

Why Don't Developing Countries Trust Them?

By Matthew Ehret-Kump

Global Research, November 18, 2021

<u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u> 17 November 2021

Theme: <u>Environment</u>, <u>Global Economy</u> In-depth Report: <u>Climate Change</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg_globalresearch.

For the time being, the world's developing sector is generally not going to accept being sacrificed on the altar of a new Gaia cult managed by a priesthood of Davos billionaires.

A miracle appears to be happening, as the multibillionaires of the World Economic Forum (WEF) appear to have grown consciences.

As if by magic, it appears that these gold collar elites no longer yearn for profit and power as they once had. As COP26 closes up its 12 day annual ceremonies, leading WEF-connected figures like Prince Charles, Jeff Bezos, Mario Draghi, Mark Carney and Klaus Schwab have announced a new system of economics that is based on virtue over profit!



According to the COP26 website,

"95 high profile companies from a range of sectors commit to being 'Nature Positive,' agreeing to work towards halting and reversing the decline of nature by 2030."

Prince Charles has boasted that he has coordinated 300 companies representing over \$60 trillion to get on board with a global green transition, and after meeting with the Prince on November 2, Jeff Bezos announced his new \$2 billion Earth Fund to protect nature's ecosystems with a focus on Africa.

Even Prime Minister Mario Draghi has joined Mark Carney on this new green path, as both men have moved beyond their old Goldman Sachs money worshipping days and embraced a better destiny. At the Nov 1 G20 Summit, Draghi embraced Prince Charles' Green Markets Initiative and threw Italy's full support behind the de-carbonization initiative.

The Prince himself (who also happens to be the nominal <u>creator of the Great Reset Agenda launched</u> in 2020), spoke as an enlightened statesman saying to the world's leaders

"as the enormity of the climate challenge dominates peoples' conversations, from news rooms to living rooms, and as the future of humanity and Nature herself are at stake, it is surely time to set aside our differences and grasp this unique opportunity to launch a substantial green recovery by putting the global economy on a confident, sustainable trajectory and, thus, save our planet."

Among the new array of financial mechanisms which we see being brought online in this war against humanity involve Bezos' new Earth Fund, and Sir Robert Watson's Living Planet Index (unveiled in 2018 at the World Economic Forum) and the new Rockefeller Foundation-sponsored Intrinsic Exchange Group (IEG) which seeks to turn global ecosystems worth an estimated \$4 quadrillion into financial equity controllable by new private corporations (dubbed "natural asset companies").

On its website, the IEG stated:

"In partnership with the New York Stock Exchange, IEG is providing a word-class platform to list these companies for trading, enabling the conversion of natural assets into financial capital. The NAC's equity captures the intrinsic and productive value of nature and provides a store of value based on the vital assets that underpin our entire economy and make life on earth possible... In 2021, we began seeking regulatory approval to bring the first natural asset transactions to the capital markets. Our vision is to bring to market hundreds of Natural Asset Companies representing several trillion dollars' worth of natural assets."

These new companies will become the stewards of new protected zones across the globe which the UN demands encapsulate 30% of the earth's surface by 2030 and much more by 2050.



Is this time to rejoice, or is something darker at play?

To answer this question it is worth asking: Does this new virtue-driven order have anything to do with lifting people out of poverty or ending economic injustice?

Sadly, it is designed to do very much the opposite.

As we are coming to see, and as statesmen around the world are beginning to point out, this new order has more in common with oligarchical obsessions with controlling human cattle, and less to do with actually preserving the environment. The thousands of tons of CO2 <u>emitted by private jets</u> at Davos and COP26 represents on small aspect of this disingenuity.

Obrador Calls out the Game

On October 30, Mexico's President Lopez Obrador called out this new virulent form of colonialism while presiding over a ceremony in celebration of the ongoing construction of the \$6.7 billion high-speed Maya Train now being built in the southern regions of Mexico.

The project which would dramatically uplift living standards in Mexico by driving the growth of industrial and infrastructure production has fallen far behind schedule due in large part to vast legal battles led by indigenous groups who have been used as proxies by foreign interests to defend Mexico's ecosystems. In many of the legal cases opposing the project, the argument has made that since several species of insect, fauna and even some leopards will be affected by the new railways, then the project must be ground to a halt and buried.



In his remarks to a journalist inquiring into the rail project, Obrador said:

"One of the things which they [the neoliberals] promoted in the world, in order to loot at ease, was the creation or promotion of the so-called new rights. So, feminism, ecologism, the defense of human rights, the protection of animals was much promoted, including by them. All these causes are very noble, but the intent was to create or boost all these new causes so that we don't remedy—so that we don't turn around and see that they were looting the world, so the subject of economic and social inequality would be kept out of the center of debate....The international agencies which supported the neoliberal model, which is a model of pillage where corporations grab national property, the property of the people—these same corporations financed, and continue to finance, environmentalist groups, defenders of 'liberty.'"

Many people have been confused over these remarks since they cannot conceptualize how neoliberal monetarists that have parasitically driven the new age of pillage under globalization would also support such 'new rights' groups outlined by Obrador.

For nations of the global south who feel resentment that their rights to support their people by having their lands and resources kept off limits, they are told not to worry, since streams of money will be showered upon them from on high. Hundreds of billions of dollars worth of monopoly money will be sprayed onto the developing sector as rewards for remaining undeveloped. If that isn't sufficient, then carbon exchange markets will be set up so that poor nations can sell their un-used carbon quotas to private polluting companies (perhaps the same companies controlling the African cobalt mines which seek a monopoly in controlling the renewable energy sector). That is another way they can make money which at least can keep them warm at night as kindling since the world's poor will not have to worry about having nature-killing hydro electric dams mucking up their pristine environments.

Even in the west where <u>Biden's 30×30 executive order</u> has been signed into action, farmers will be offered money to stop grazing on soon-to-be protected lands, while a supposedly grassroots-based WWF-connected <u>American Prairie Reserve</u> (with a \$160 million endowment) can be seen pushing a program designed to take 5000 square miles of grazing

land in Montana out of use and converted into a pure ecosystem.

As President Obrador has alluded to, today's billionaire-funded conservation movement simply seeks to take earth's ecosystems out of bounds of any human economic activity under a new global feudal system of controls.

Even the indigenous populations which such billionaires profess to admire as role models for global "good behavior" are being monetized by these new green indices, with monetary values being placed not only on keeping land and water untouched, but also the very cultural ecosystems of indigenous groups around the world receiving dollar values which wealthy green financiers will somehow be able to invest into. To the degree that such immutably fixed patterns of indigenous lifestyles remain unchanged by the toxic pollution of modern technology or infrastructure, the more these eco-assets will be worth for whomever professes to invest in them. This may not be scientific but it is sick.

The term 'feudal' is in no way used for hyperbolic purposes, as we can see a stark parallel to the 12th century Europe, except that today's aspiring feudal lords manage such companies as Blackrock, Vanguard, Google, Microsoft, Amazon and State Street and seek to punish all serfs from infringing on properties which only the nobility may control. Blackrock alone manages over \$9 trillion in assets and \$21.6 trillion in technology platforms and along with Vanguard is fast becoming one of the largest real estate owners in the USA with Bill Gates having recently become the largest owner of American farmland.

The Deeper Imperial Roots of Conservationism

With this vast imperial landgrab in mind, one should not be surprised to discover that the modern conservation movement actually finds its origins not in Greenpeace activists fighting poachers as mythmakers have cooked up, but rather in the bowels of the British Empire. It was this empire that innovated "nature conservation" regions in India during the late 19th century specifically to keep the poor of India under control after having destroyed India's once powerful textile sector. The practice was applied across India during the greatest density of famines struck southern India in 1876 killing tens of millions. It was amidst this darkness that British Imperial overlords took the opportunity to create "The Imperial Forestry Department' in 1876 putting two fifths of India's lands under "protection" and off limits to humans. This ensured no starving subject could use the protected zones which they had relied upon for survival for decades for food, or water.

The Nazi embrace of both Anglo-American funded science of eugenics on the one side and the Reich's embrace of nature conservationism were also not unconnected. Herman Goring, who served as Minister for German Forests believed in a poisonous worldview that held that:

1) nature is pure and thus good due to its pure unchanging natural order while 2) humanity is impure and thus un-natural due to our aspirations for progress. This dangerous equation resulted in seemingly innocent programs launched by the Fuhrer and Goring to cleans the German ecosystems of all foreign and thus un-natural fauna and flora in order to return the forests of Germany to their supposedly pure pre-industrial states. The worship of nature was an integral part of the new master race and the weeding out of impurities extended itself to human genetics following racial theories advanced by British eugenicists and anthropologists.

Julian Huxley's New Eugenics Revolution

Upon Hitler's defeat, the repackaging of eugenics took the form of British Eugenics Society Vice President Julian Huxley's outline in the founding Manifesto for UNESCO where he said:

"At the moment, it is probable that the indirect effect of civilization is dysgenic instead of eugenic, and in any case it seems likely that the dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability and disease proneness, which already exist in the human species will prove too great a burden for real progress to be achieved. Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that is now unthinkable may at least become thinkable."

Putting this new eugenics into practical action took on many heads of a hydra in the post WWII years. The particular hydra head most relevant to the thrust of this article took the form of another project Julian created in 1948 called the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) followed soon thereafter by the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) in 1961 which he co-founded alongside two misanthropic princes named Philip Mountbatten and Bernhardt of the Netherlands.

Between 1959 and 1962 Julian had risen to become president of the British Eugenics Society and had put the finishing gloss on a new field of scientific misanthropic theology which he dubbed 'Transhumanism' alongside a Jesuit collaborator <u>named Pierre Teilhard de Chardin.</u>

If you haven't guessed, Transhumanism was merely another form of re-packaged eugenics serving the spiritual needs of a new priesthood of elitist social engineers that would be expected to manage the gears of a new technocratic feudal machine. This neo-paganism is not intrinsically different from the cultish beliefs of the <u>Nazi Thule society</u> of the past which gave spiritual direction to the members of Hitler's government.

The neo-Malthusian revival that these eugenicists would spearhead through the end of the 1960s took the form of a new array of international <u>organizations which incorporated systems analysis</u>, and <u>cybernetics</u>, which aimed to control nation states and ecosystems alike. This took the form of the World Economic Forum's early embrace of the Club of Rome's computer models outlined by Aurelio Peccei (<u>and incorporated into Schwab's second official Davos meeting in 1973</u>). These new models aimed to impose fixed immutable limits to humanity's growth potential beyond which no technology or scientific discovery could ever penetrate. The fact that these same multibillionaires managing the overhaul of the world economy as it transitioned into a neo-liberal looting operation were simultaneously funding the growth of this new array of "new rights" groups led by a growing armada of non-governmental organizations, ecology protection and human rights groups is not a coincidence.

Today's involvement of both Julian Huxley's WWF and IUCN (no renamed Conservation International) as partners with the Intrinsic Exchange Group should not make any honest lover of nature in any way comfortable.

Much more obviously remains to be said both about the history of conservationism, and how it is being used once again to conduct a new age of population control, or how it has been used to disrupt large scale infrastructure projects across the world for over 120 years, or how nature reserves across the global south have supported <u>narco terrorist groups</u>.

However, for the time being, it is sufficient to note that the world's developing sector is generally not going to accept being sacrificed on the altar of a new Gaia cult managed by a priesthood of Davos billionaires. Based on the momentum we see being driven by the Greater Eurasian Partnership, the Belt and Road Initiative and ambitions from Latin American and African leaders to finally break free of centuries of imperial manipulation, it is becoming increasingly obvious that COP26's utopic computer models are increasingly breaking down when confronted with the reality of humanity's creative power to leap outside of the fixed rules of imperial games when a true crisis moves us into action.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

The author delivered a presentation on this topic which <u>can be viewed here.</u>

<u>Matthew Ehret</u> is the Editor-in-Chief of <u>the Canadian Patriot Review</u>, and Senior Fellow at the American University in Moscow. He is author of the <u>'Untold History of Canada' book series</u> and <u>Clash of the Two Americas</u>. In 2019 he co-founded the Montreal-based <u>Rising Tide Foundation</u>. Subscribe to his <u>Substack here</u>.

He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u> Copyright © <u>Matthew Ehret-Kump</u>, <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u>, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Matthew Ehret-

Kump

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca