

Data Points to "Multiple Agents" Manipulating US Elections. "Consistent and Widespread Vote Manipulation", Statistician

By Jimmy Chin Global Research, June 25, 2015 Who.What.Why. 23 June 2015 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>History</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u> In-depth Report: <u>U.S. Elections</u>

Originally published by <u>Who.What.Why.</u>.

by Jimmy Chin

How trustworthy are our elections? It's a question that is not being discussed nearly enough. However, it has captured the attention of one statistician who says there is evidence of consistent and widespread vote manipulation.

Beth Clarkson, a Wichita State University professor, has conducted years of independent research on elections and recently <u>laid out some of her findings in a piece</u> for *Significance*, a statistics magazine.

My statistical analysis shows patterns indicative of vote manipulation in machines. The manipulation is relatively small, compared with the inherent variability of election results, but it is consistent. These results form a pattern that goes across the nation and back a number of election cycles. I've downloaded data and verified the results from several states for myself. Furthermore, the manipulation is not limited to a single powerful operator. My assessment is that the data reveals multiple (at least two) agents working independently to successfully alter voting results.

The article looks at three elections ranging from 2012 to 2014 that exhibited suspicious voting patterns. Clarkson's analysis contains some technical jargon, but in essence she found that many large voting precincts were more likely to vote Republican than smaller voting precincts, a phenomenon proven to be statistically unnatural. There should be no reason that larger precincts should consistently vote more for one party than smaller precincts.

"Voting machine manipulation," she writes, "is, in my opinion, the most likely explanation for these patterns."

Among the elections Clarkson looked at, the one that has the biggest impact on the current political landscape (and the GOP presidential race) is the 2014 Wisconsin gubernatorial race in which Republican Scott Walker won re-election. It is unlikely that Walker would explore a presidential run had he lost re-election.

Clarkson concludes her piece by saying:

"I want to emphasize, as I always try to do, that statistics don't prove vote fraud. These statistics show that patterns exist in the data that correlate the type of electronic voting system in use with the %R vote changing with the total votes cast.

Such patterns are examples of what we might expect to see if some voting systems were being sabotaged, but that doesn't mean that no other explanations are possible for these patterns.

...

The most common pattern supports Republican candidates, but Democratic candidates are sometimes the beneficiary.

The only way to prove vote fraud is through a post-election audit demonstrating significant deviations from the reported totals. That is what I want to see done."

And Clarkson is doing her part to try to make that happen. She has brought a <u>lawsuit</u> against Kansas election officials intended to obtain voting records in order to perform an audit herself.

So how trustworthy are our elections? There is currently no way to know for sure, but it sure warrants a closer look.

The original source of this article is <u>Who.What.Why.</u> Copyright © <u>Jimmy Chin</u>, <u>Who.What.Why.</u>, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jimmy Chin

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca