

COVID Vaccine Contracts Show How Big Pharma ‘Held South Africa to Ransom’

"We were bullied into unfair and undemocratic terms," said one public health campaigner. "And we must ask: did they do it to other countries, too?"

By [Jake Johnson](#)

Global Research, September 07, 2023

[Common Dreams](#) 5 September 2023

Region: [sub-Saharan Africa](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Newly disclosed Covid-19 vaccine procurement contracts that the South African government signed with pharmaceutical companies included "overwhelmingly one-sided" terms that favored drug companies' bottom lines over public health, according to a [review](#) conducted by a coalition of experts and advocates.

Last month, a South African court [ruled](#) that the nation's government must make its vaccine contracts with pharmaceutical companies public after the Health Justice Initiative (HJI) [sued](#) in an effort to shine light on the secretive agreements.

HJI has [published](#) the government's contracts with Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson subsidiary Janssen, the Serum Institute of India, and Covax online, arguing that they reveal how Big Pharma "held South Africa to ransom."

In a detailed [report](#) released Tuesday, HJI and other organizations wrote that the contracts "placed governments in the Global South, and in turn, the people living in these countries, in an unenviable position of having to secure scarce supplies in a global emergency (2020-2022) with unusually hefty demands and conditions, including secrecy, a lack of transparency, and very little leverage against late or no delivery of supplies or inflated prices, resulting in gross profiteering."

The "most egregious example," according to the new report, was the South African government's deal with Johnson & Johnson, which traded "scarce or very delayed supplies for extractionist terms and conditions that undermine national sovereignty."

The U.S.-based company charged South Africa \$10 per dose for its vaccine in the agreement, which was carried out under English and Welsh law. (The European Union

reportedly paid \$8.50 per dose for the Johnson & Johnson vaccine.)

Additionally, the deal required South Africa to make a nonrefundable down payment of \$27.5 million.

According to HJI, the contracts with pharmaceutical giants made South Africa liable for at least \$734 million in payments “with no guarantees of timely delivery.” The contracts, none of which were agreed to under South African legal jurisdiction, also required South Africa to “seek permission” from drug companies to “divert or donate or sell doses which have already been paid for by the [South African] public, despite the benefit to other poorer countries or buyers.”

“In a global pandemic, this is paternalistic and imperialist, harms public health programmatic planning, and deliberately reduces the autonomy of African states,” the report says. “In particular—J&J, Pfizer, and COVAX did not commit... to supply volumes and dates, making it increasingly difficult to plan and run a timely and proper vaccination program.”

BREAKING: [@HealthJusticeIn](#) has released South Africa's COVID-19 vaccine procurement contracts, after taking the government to court to force their disclosure.

Documents available here ↓ <https://t.co/Kdh94FHL5k>

— The People's Vaccine (@peoplesvaccine) [September 5, 2023](#)

Fatima Hassan, HJI’s director, said Tuesday that

“this deference to and fear of powerful pharmaceutical companies—in the middle of a crisis and in a constitutional democracy—is incredibly concerning.”

“It shows how much power was put into the hands of private sector actors and how few options governments had, when acting alone, in the middle of a pandemic,” said Hassan.

The pharmaceutical industry’s control over vaccine supply and distribution in South Africa and around the world spawned what public health advocates described as vaccine apartheid, a system under which poor nations were left with little to no access to lifesaving shots as [rich countries and drugmakers prioritized](#) upholding restrictive patents in the face of a catastrophic pandemic.

Hassan said the newly disclosed contracts “reveal the phenomenal power that pharmaceutical companies wielded in negotiations.”

“In our scramble for desperately needed vaccines, South Africa was forced to hand over unimaginable sums of money for overpriced vaccine doses,” Hassan added. “We were bullied into unfair and undemocratic terms in contracts that were totally one-sided. Put simply, pharmaceutical companies held us to ransom. And we must ask: did they do it to other countries too?”

A 2021 [report](#) by the U.S.-based consumer advocacy group Public Citizen—which uncovered

several unredacted Pfizer vaccine contracts—detailed how the corporation used its power in agreements with Brazil, Colombia, the European Commission, and the U.S. to “silence governments, throttle supply, shift risk, and maximize profits in the worst public health crisis in a century.”


[From Common Dreams: Our work is licensed under Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-ND 3.0). Feel free to republish and share widely.]

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Jake Johnson is a senior editor and staff writer for Common Dreams.

Featured image is from Children's Health Defense



The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”

[Conservative Risk Benefit Analyses Decide Against COVID-19 Vaccination](#)

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, Year: 2022, PDF Ebook, Pages: 164, 15 Chapters

Price: ~~\$11.50~~ FREE COPY! [Click here \(docsend\) and download.](#)

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research's [DonorBox "Worldwide Corona Crisis" Campaign Page](#).

The original source of this article is [Common Dreams](#)
Copyright © [Jake Johnson](#), [Common Dreams](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jake Johnson](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca