

COVID-19: Who's Scheming?

By [Dr. Pascal Sacré](#)

Global Research, August 16, 2020

In order for us to be on the same wavelength, I have to define that word. Conspiracy Theorist: An advocate of Conspiracy theory.

It's like saying, racist: defender of a theory of racism. We don't get very far with that. A synonym is conspiracy theorist.

What is a conspiracy theory or conspiracy theorist? One thing's for sure, these words are pejorative, bad. Nobody likes this label: "conspiracy theorist", "conspiracy theory".

Since September 11, 2001, this ancient word [1] has been used to disqualify anyone who makes statements which go against the official narrative.

Let's analyze this sentence because every word is important.

By official, many mean governmental.

That's not quite right.



If you say that Donald Trump, who is the "official" president and elected head of the U.S. government, is full of BS, who has used the support of the Russians to get elected [2] or that he wants to cancel the next U.S. elections [3], i.e. which constitute conspiracy theories against Trump, Western journalists in chorus will applaud. They won't call you a conspiracy theorist even if, according to the definition, that's what you have been doing.

In the case of COVID-19 in 2020, if you say that all the doctors (and there are not two, ten or a hundred, but thousands around the world) who say that hydroxychloroquine is a cure to COVID-19 and that these crazy doctors have escaped from a lunatic asylum [4], once again the journalists will congratulate you. In any case, even without proof of what you say, no one will call you a conspiracy theorist.

Yet, it is a conspiracy theory and it is directed against qualified doctors.

By doing so, you are accusing doctors [5], some of whom work at the University or in recognized hospitals for decades, such as Professor Harvey Risch [6] of epidemiology at

the Yale School of Public Health, Professor Didier Raoult, Director of the Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Méditerranée Infection in Marseille, and Dr Christian Perronne [7], a professor of French university hospital practitioners, specialized in the field of tropical pathologies and emerging infectious diseases and former president of the specialized commission “Communicable Diseases” of the High Council of Public Health, in addition to many less known but equally reliable and serious doctors, family doctors, field doctors, general practitioners or specialists [8 to 13].

You are a real “conspiracy theorist” if you think that all these highly qualified doctors are lying or want to manipulate you, Yet, no one will treat you like that.

The truth is that you will be labeled a “conspiracy theorist” if and only if you say things against the official narrative or official consensus, which is sustained and acknowledged by:

1. international institutions (World Trade Organization, World Health Organization, International Monetary Fund, World Economic Forum, United Nations, European Commission, European Medicines Agency...).
2. By national relays who report to these international institutions in all important fields, health, medical, educational, media, economic... [14].

All this forms a coherent, transnational, supranational system (a consensus), driven by common goals and using a precise and studied language.

It must be understood that this system is independent of politicians and survives electoral changes. It perpetuates itself, whatever happens, not through a president, a particular person, but through these institutions that go through all the scandals [15 to 18] and all the attacks without taking a scratch.

Who runs this system?

I won't answer here first because this is another task which deserves a full report and second, because many researchers have already successfully identified this topic [19 to 22].

Therefore, being a president, head of government, a medical graduate and representing a valid and serious authority is not enough to protect you from being challenged on the grounds that the challenger is a conspirator.

No.

To benefit from this protection, you must belong to the system, speak its language and pursue its goals.

Thus, Anthony Fauci, with his criticizable and contradictory remarks [23], will never be called a conspirator.



Professor Harvey Risch will.

Thus, newspapers that claim that Remdesivir (produced by Big Pharma) is effective in treating COVID-19, contrary to hydroxychloroquine, will never be called conspiracy theorists.

Those who say otherwise, with studies and doctors to back them up, yes.

The problem is that Trump said he was in favour of hydroxychloroquine as well, and he is discredited each time he says it.

It is said that Remdesivir *proved* its effectiveness against Covid-19, in a Belgian newspaper of August 11, 2020 [24].

Words are important.

The word "*proven*", in this case, is false.

But who will notice it, if you are neither a doctor nor aware of the studies in question?

In the meantime, a lie is taken for granted, it becomes a truth.

A single treatment with Remdesivir will bring Gilead Science Inc. \$2,500 per patient [25].

In contrast, Hydroxychloroquine, nothing or almost nothing. It is a very inexpensive drug.

The terms "conspiracy" or "conspiracy theory" have nothing to do with truth or credibility, they have to do with conformity to dominant ideas, dictated by the system that relentlessly pursues its goals.

Another important word is "*theory*". *Conspiracy theories*.

This implies ramblings without foundation, without evidence.

Yet many claims labeled "conspiracy theories" are not theoretical.

It is rare to have formal proof at the time of the claim. It may be the result of research, reflections or presumptions.

In forensic medicine or criminal science, you will not always have irrefutable evidence but a set of solid presumptions (motive, indirect and coherent facts) that is sufficient to convict an accused person, according to the law.

Consider the "conspiracy theory" that the pharmaceutical industry is pushing to discredit hydroxychloroquine in favour of its expensive products, antivirals such as Remdesivir or

vaccines.

It would be nice to have irrefutable proof of this, but I can't see an industry leader writing such an admission and then leaving it lying around to fall into the hands of an honest journalist. That would be really suicidal, don't you think? And in any case, we would discredit that executive, or that journalist, until their words become worthless.

However, as we would do in any police investigation, is there a strong circumstantial body of evidence?

1) Does this industry have a motive?

Yes.

This industry has a famous motive for doing this: money.

It's not thousands or hundreds of thousands of euros that would push a lot of people to commit murder, but billions of euros [26-27].

2) Does this industry have the means to do this?

Yes.

We know it thanks to the testimony of people from inside, like John Virapen, former CEO of Eli Lilly & Company in Sweden [28], or former editors of major medical journals like Marcia Angell [29] (New England Journal of Medicine) or Richard Horton [30] (Lancet).

3) Has the industry ever done it?

Yes.

There are proven cases that illustrate the corruption of doctors by the pharmaceutical industry, such as the case of anaesthetist Scott Reuben who falsified data concerning the efficacy of the antidepressant Effexor (venlafaxine), produced by Wyeth (merged with Pfizer) in neuropathic and postoperative pain [31].

This is just one example [32]. More recently, you have the [Lancet-Gate: "Scientific Corona Lies" and Big Pharma Corruption](#)

Even when the evidence is there, have you ever seen a journalist who accused someone of being a "conspiracy theorist" make his mea culpa, apologize for his misunderstanding and restore the reputation of the "theorist" in question? And above all, restore the truth?

For just one example, I will take the story of the Kuwaiti babies torn from their incubator and thrown to the ground by Iraqi soldiers to justify the American intervention in Iraq in 1990. President George H. Bush senior used it on several occasions in several inflammatory speeches.

It was a lie [33]. We know that.

Yet anyone who would have known or understood this, and said so at the time, would have been called a "conspiracy theorist" in collusion with Saddam Hussein.

For the record, and to show you that these techniques did not stop in 1991 or after the proof of this lie, the dishonest PR firm behind this Kuwaiti babies myth is the same firm that in 2020 helped the World Health Organization (WHO) to make the World Health Organization (WHO) believe in the COVID-19 pandemic and to enforce its diktats: the firm Hill & Knowlton [34].

So, what does this mean, conspiracy, and who is really a conspirator?

We can see that it means nothing.

It's a pejorative, bad label, which will not be given to you if you lie, or if you criticize a person or a government that justly disturbs the system.

It will be given to you if what you say, even if it is true, plausible, proven, goes against the authorized discourse of the system.

Check it out for yourself.

Criticize the doctors who defend the use of hydroxychloroquine in VIDOC-19, and you won't be charged with conspiracy.

You will be listened to, approved.



Criticize Anthony Fauci or the national security councils regarding Covid-19, then yes, you will be accused of conspiracy, indeed of all evils.

Very often it has nothing to do with theories.

The facts that are put forward are sometimes proven, very often supported by many solid and plausible arguments.

Words are very important. Do not underestimate their importance. They direct our thoughts.

I know this as a doctor, but I also know it as a passionate advocate of therapeutic communication.

Like the very first doctors of the Antiquity, I know that words can heal.

They can also make people docile or sick.

The words “conspiracy theorist”, “conspiracy theorist”, “conspiracy theorist” only serve to cut short any debate.

Only to have the person the dominant system wants to discredit rejected, so that person is no longer listened to.

That is what is dangerous, not “conspiracy theories”.

What is really dangerous is to not even want to debate and to exclude ideas, people and opinions on the pretext that they are disturbing.

That is what sows the seeds of a totalitarian society; not conspiracy theories.

It is by refusing any debate, any discussion and by brandishing this kind of disqualifying expression that threatens humanity.

Dr Pascal Sacré

Featured Image: pixabay.com

Translation from French by Maya for Global Research

Note to readers: please click on the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your mailing lists. Publish this article on your blog site, web forums, etc.

Notes :

[1] [Théorie du complot](#), Wikipédia

[2] [Ingérence : comment la Russie a biaisé la campagne de 2016 au profit de Trump](#)

[3] [Comment Trump pourrait saboter l'élection pour la remporter](#)

[4] [Hydroxychloroquine: Goliath contre David, acte I : les détracteurs](#)

[5] [Covid-19 – Hydroxychloroquine, David contre Goliath, acte II : les supporters](#)

[6] [L'hydroxychloroquine agit chez les patients à haut risque, et dire le contraire est dangereux](#), Harvey Risch M.D., Ph.D., professeur d'épidémiologie à la Yale School of Public Health.

[7] [Christian Perronne : “À Garches, nous avons de bons résultats avec l'hydroxychloroquine”](#), April 15, 2020, Fervent defender of the treatment with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, for Pr Christian Perronne the question of its effectiveness no longer arises. Head of the Infectious Diseases Department at the Raymond-Poincaré Hospital in Garches, he has seen it every day since the beginning of the epidemic: Professor Raoult's treatment cures and considerably reduces the need for intensive care.

[8] [Riposte à la covid-19 : la saine colère du Dr BELLATON](#), Source : page Facebook de Sylviane Le Menn, 20 avril 2020.

[9] [Coronavirus : le bilan très positif d'un praticien lorrain qui prescrit l'hydroxychloroquine](#), the Lorrain Republican, Philippe Marque, April 6, 2020. The results are more than positive: "I have used this protocol on a dozen hospitalized patients, who therefore have a Covid-19 that is already relatively worrying, and I have had neither death nor any evolution towards a serious stage requiring resuscitation."

[10] [Un médecin mosellan constate l'efficacité d'un protocole à base d'azithromycine](#), the Lorrain Republican, Thierry Fedrigo, April 11, 2020. Two Moselle doctors and one of their Belgian colleagues seem to have developed a drug combination effective against coronavirus. Relying on azithromycin without resorting to the hydroxychloroquine advocated by the infectiologist Didier Raoult, they have noted a clear drop in hospitalizations of their treated patients.

[11] [Un médecin néerlandais soigne les patients atteints de coronavirus, mais le gouvernement néerlandais n'est pas content](#), Amari Roos, 10 avril 2020

[12] [Des médecins algériens attestent de l'«efficacité quasi totale» de l'hydroxychloroquine contre le Covid-19](#), April 27, 2020. The heads of infectious disease departments of a hospital in Blida and another in Algiers say that the hydroxychloroquine protocol followed in the treatment of patients with coronavirus gives a "near-total" positive result.

[13] [Après l'Algérie, le Maroc encense l'efficacité de l'hydroxychloroquine contre le Covid-19](#), May 1, 2020. The therapeutic protocol based on hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin used against Covid-19 "has given positive results" in Morocco, the Health Minister said, adding that "side effects are minimal".

[14] Ordres nationaux tels que l'Ordre des Médecins, l'Ordre des Pharmaciens, Hautes Autorités de Santé, Sciensano en Belgique...

[15] [Agence européenne du médicament : des experts sous influence ?](#), 12 décembre 2017.

[16] [Covid-19: les conseillers du pouvoir face aux conflits d'intérêts](#), paru le 31 mars 2020, écrit par Rozenn Le Saint et Annton Rouget.

[17] [Coronavirus : des liens troubles entre labos et conseils scientifiques](#), Valeurs actuelles, 3 avril 2020.

[18] [L'Assemblée parlementaire du Conseil de l'Europe va enquêter sur l'OMS et le scandale « pandémie »](#), Mondialisation.ca, [F. William Engdahl](#), 6 janvier 2010

[19] Anthony C Sutton: British economist, historian and writer. Sutton was a Stanford scholar at the Hoover Foundation from 1968 to 1973. He taught economics at UCLA. He studied in London, Göttingen and UCLA and received a PhD in science from the University of Southampton, England. In 1972, at the Hoover Institution in Stanford, he was awarded a Ph.D : [Wall Street et l'ascension de Hitler](#) , [Wall Street et la révolution bolchévique](#)

[20] Carroll Quigley: American historian and professor of history at Georgetown University from 1941 to 1976. Quigley was born in Boston, where he later studied and earned two degrees and a doctorate in history from nearby and highly regarded Harvard University. At Georgetown University: [Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time](#)

[21] Pierre Hillard : essayiste français, docteur en science politique : [La marche irrésistible du nouvel ordre mondial](#) , [Chroniques du mondialisme](#)

[22] Michael Parenti, American historian, political scientist and cultural critic. He has taught in American and foreign universities. A must read: [L'Horreur impériale](#)

[23] [Lancet-Gate: « Mensonges scientifiques sur le coronavirus » et corruption des grandes sociétés pharmaceutiques.](#), Mondialisation.ca, Prof. Michel Chossudovsky, 15 July 2020. Dr Anthony Fauci, Donald Trump's adviser, described as "America's top infectious disease expert", played a key role in smearing the HCQ cure that had been approved years earlier by the CDC, as well as in legitimizing Gilead's Remdesivir.

[24] [Le remdesivir, médicament qui a prouvé son efficacité face au Covid-19](#), 11 août 2020.

[25] [Le traitement au remdesivir coûtera 2.340 dollars, selon Gilead](#), 29 juin 2020

[26] [COVID-19 : au plus près de la vérité. Vaccins.](#), Mondialisation.ca, Dr Pascal Sacré, 2 août 2020

[27] [COVID-19: au plus près de la vérité – Hydroxychloroquine \(HCQ\)](#), Mondialisation.ca, Dr Pascal Sacré, 29 juillet 2020

[28] Médicaments effets secondaires : la mort, les laboratoires nous trompent. John Virapen, le cherche midi éditions, 2014

[29] The truth about drug companies, how they cheat us, how to thwart them, Marcia Angell, MD, former editor of the New England Journal of Medicine, French translation, les éditions le mieux-être, 2005.

[30] [COVID-19 : le côté obscur de la science révélé](#), Mondialisation.ca, Dr Pascal Sacré, 26 mai 2020

[31] [Top Pain Scientist Fabricated Data in Studies, Hospital Says](#), 11 Mars 2009

[32] [Du Nujol au Tamiflu : la guerre menée par l'industrie pharmaceutique contre nos santés](#), Mondialisation.ca, Dr Pascal Sacré, 16 juin 2010

[33] [l'affaire des Couveuses de la Mort et le début de la Guerre du Golfe](#)

[34] [COVID 19 - Contrat de l'OMS avec la société de relations publiques Hill & Knowlton](#)

The original source of this article is Mondialisation.ca
Copyright © [Dr Pascal Sacré](#), Mondialisation.ca, 2020

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Dr. Pascal Sacré](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Pascal Sacré](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca