

The Covid-19 Pandemic, Does It Exist? The Truth is Being Obliterated. The Global Elite's Campaign Against Humanity

By [Robert J. Burrowes](#)

Global Research, August 06, 2020

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

The COVID-19 virus. Does it exist? Is it a novel virus? Is there a Pandemic?

If you ask any doctor or scientist in the world to show you a scientifically-verified proof, they will not be able to show you one.

Given that it has not been scientifically established that the COVID-19 novel virus exists, it is therefore clear that everything being done supposedly in the effort to tackle the 'virus' is being done for another purpose and COVID-19 is being used to conceal this fact.

However, with the elite-driven narrative being endlessly promoted by the World Health Organisation, governments, the medical and pharmaceutical industries, along with the corporate media, the truth is being obliterated.

And the reason for this is obvious: Any serious consideration of the underlying evidence, as I have documented previously, clearly indicates that the global elite is conducting a coup against humanity and using the fear it generates around COVID-19 to distract people from paying attention to what is happening in the background. For this reason, the coup continues to gain pace with only an insignificant number of people yet aware of the coup and its ongoing and increasingly devastating impacts.

'How is the elite doing this?' you might ask. Far too easily, unfortunately.

In essence, key individuals in the World Health Organisation (WHO), governments, the medical and pharmaceutical industries, as well as the corporate media are lying to you about this. They are lying to you, consciously or unconsciously, for essentially one of three reasons (essentially determined by where the individual fits in relation to the elite's ongoing execution of its coup):

1. they are lying as an outcome of their complicity in the coup (given the perceived benefits to them personally, at least in the short term),
2. they are lying as an outcome of their ignorance (by not investigating and considering the evidence for themselves and submissively accepting the elite narrative), or
3. they are lying as an outcome of their fear (of the adverse personal repercussions they would expect for resisting the elite narrative that there is indeed a virus).

And, so far, the elite is successfully executing its coup because the many voices contradicting its narrative – such as those individual doctors powerful enough to present the

truth about the so-called 'virus' – are being denied a forum in any elite-controlled outlet such as the corporate media or they are being censored (including removed from accessible sites on the internet).

If what I have written above sounds incredible given the worldwide response supposedly to tackle the 'virus', the evidence presented below, together with the references to further documentation, will give you plenty to consider (provided your own fear will allow you to do so).

But before presenting this evidence, it is worth being aware of some of the existing adverse impacts of the coup:

1. The sudden destruction of the global economy has impoverished tens of millions of people in industrialized societies through unemployment, making them even more vulnerable to homelessness and other misery, as well as precipitating the death of millions of people projected to die of starvation in Africa, Asia and elsewhere because of the disruption of global food production and supply. See ['WFP chief warns of "hunger pandemic" as Global Food Crises Report launched'](#) and ['COVID-19 could kill more people through hunger than the disease itself, warns Oxfam'](#).
2. There has been a dramatic increase in violence against children and women all over the world and particularly in some contexts with a recent United Nations Population Fund report highlighting that an 'additional 5.6 million child marriages can be expected because of the coronavirus pandemic, which resulted in a short-term increase in poverty and the shutdown of schools'. In addition, the 'current pandemic is also expected to have a massive impact on the projected growth of harmful practices on women's bodies' including female genital mutilation (FGM). See ['Child Marriage, FGM and Harmful Practices on Women's Bodies to Increase Because of COVID-19'](#).
3. The lockdowns have imprisoned people in their homes (with its seriously adverse psychological, social and physical repercussions) and laws have been introduced regarding 'social distancing' and other measures (including mask-wearing which I will discuss below) that have eviscerated rights and freedoms it took centuries to win. For the details, see ['The Elite's COVID-19 Coup against a Terrified Humanity: Resisting Powerfully'](#).



In response to growing concerns on a number of points, 600 doctors signed a letter to US President Donald Trump to end the 'lockdown' – see [“Mass casualty incident”: 600 doctors sign letter to Trump calling for end to lockdowns over health concerns](#) – which has since been signed by thousands. See ['Literally Thousands of Doctors and Scientists Have Come Out Against Fauci's Lockdowns Including a Nobel Prize-Winning Biophysicist. The Media Just Doesn't Want You to Know'](#).

4. Despite the 'diagnostic' tests for COVID-19 being 'scientifically meaningless' – see ['COVID19 PCR Tests are Scientifically Meaningless'](#)– (how can they not be when there is no virus?) compulsory vaccination (again, against a non-existent virus) is being threatened – see ['120 Covid-19 Vaccine Projects are Underway'](#)– in direct violation of Article 6 of the ['Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights'](#) despite the extensively documented record of vaccines causing devastating harms including massive lethality. For a taste of the vast literature on this point, see ['Gates' Globalist Vaccine Agenda: A Win-Win for Pharma and Mandatory Vaccination'](#).

As Dr Stefan Lanka has explained about vaccines generally:

Only ignorant people who blindly trust in the state authorities who are 'testing' and approving the vaccines can regard vaccination as a 'small harmless prick'. The verifiable facts demonstrate the danger and negligence of these scientists and politicians, who claim that vaccines are safe, have little or no side-effects and would protect from a disease. None of these claims is true and scientific. See ['The Misconception Called "Virus": Measles as an example'](#).

Moreover, this vaccination will be used to dramatically expand surveillance both via biometric ID and 'immunity passes'. See ['ID2020 and partners launch program to provide digital ID with vaccines'](#) and ['Mass-Tracking COVI-PASS Immunity Passports Slated to Roll Out in 15 Countries'](#).

5. Other measures to expand surveillance (apart from through vaccination) are being introduced. These include 'contact tracing' despite the fact that even 'A WHO study on influenza pandemics in 2019 came to the conclusion that contact tracing is not useful from an epidemiological point of view and "is not recommended in any circumstances".' See ['Facts about COVID-19'](#). But that is precisely the point: Contact tracing is not about epidemiological usefulness; it is about surveillance.
6. Critically, several measures necessary to implement the so-called fourth industrial revolution, including the deployment of 5G, which will reduce human individuals to digitized identities without effective rights and freedoms, are being accelerated. See ['Techno-Tyranny: How The US National Security State Is Using Coronavirus To Fulfill An Orwellian Vision'](#).
7. While much human activity has been brought to a standstill, this has not included any of the violence which has not only continued unchecked but has expanded. Apart from the dramatic increase in violence against children and women all over the world noted above, wars, threats of war, sanctions, the military violence of occupations in places such as Palestine, Tibet and West Papua, the structural violence that systematically exploits (that is, loots) countries in Africa, Asia and Central/South America, the ecological violence that destroys lands, oceans and atmosphere have all proceeded as usual with the caveat that there has been a reduction in the emissions of some industrial and other waste products although this is hugely problematic in one critical sense. See ['Will COVID-19 Trigger Extinction of All Life on Earth?'](#)
8. And four distinct paths to human extinction – nuclear war, the climate catastrophe, the deployment of 5G and biodiversity collapse – have been accelerated, inadvertently or otherwise, by the coup. See ['The Elite's COVID-19](#)

[Coup to Destroy Humanity that is also Fast-Tracking Four Paths to Human Extinction](#).

Does COVID-19 Exist?

Despite these and many other adverse impacts already happening in response to the COVID-19 crisis, as noted at the beginning, it has never been scientifically established that the COVID-19 virus actually exists. More fundamentally, according to Dr Stefan Lanka:

‘Contrary to what most people believe, there are no pathogenic viruses.... All claims about viruses as pathogens are wrong and are based on easily recognisable, understandable and verifiable misinterpretations.... A real and complete virus does not exist anywhere in the entire “scientific” literature.’ See [‘The Misconception Called “Virus”: Measles as an example](#)’.

To reiterate in the words of two other authors: ‘there is no original scientific evidence that definitively demonstrates that any virus is the cause of any disease’. See [What Really Makes You Ill? Why everything you thought you knew about disease is wrong](#). But you can read more in [‘Dismantling the Virus Theory – The “measles virus” as an example](#)’ and watch the video interview [‘The Real Science of Germs: Do Viruses Cause Disease?’](#)

In addition, and specifically in relation to COVID-19, according to Dr Andrew Kaufman, ‘there is no evidence of anyone dying from any novel illness’. See [‘Unmasking the Lies Around COVID-19: Facts vs Fiction of the Coronavirus Pandemic](#)’. So what has happened?

As Dr Kaufman explains: Early scientific papers on the subject suggested an *association* (not causation) between a novel coronavirus ‘with human to human transmission and severe human infection’ whereas a subsequent key ‘scientific’ paper that made a claim which helped drive the global response to COVID-19 ‘flat out lied’ about their results: ‘Following the first outbreaks of unexplained pneumonia in Wuhan, China, in late 2019, a new coronavirus was identified as the causative agent in January 2020.’ See [‘Identification of Coronavirus Isolated from a Patient in Korea with COVID-19](#)’. In fact, Dr Kaufman points out:

‘they cannot reference any science to back that up whatsoever’.

Moreover, subsequently to this paper, another article – see [‘I study viruses: How our team isolated the new coronavirus to fight the global pandemic](#)’– declared ‘The emergence of a new coronavirus in a market in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 set in motion the pandemic we are now witnessing in 160 countries around the world’. But again, Dr Kaufman counters, ‘no evidence was provided at all’ to support this claim: ‘just flat out lies’. For the details and citation of all the *scientific* sources for this explanation of how the COVID-19 ‘rumour mill’ got started, see [‘The Rooster in the River of Rats](#)’ or [‘Koch’s Postulates: Have They Been Proven for Viruses?’](#)

Finally on this point, pathologist Dr Stoian Alexov, President of the Bulgarian Pathology Association, has stated that he and his colleagues across Europe:

have not found any evidence of any deaths from the novel coronavirus on that continent.

Dr. Stoian Alexov called the World Health Organization (WHO) a 'criminal medical organization' for creating worldwide fear and chaos without providing objectively verifiable proof of a pandemic. See ["No one has died from the coronavirus": Important revelations shared by Dr Stoian Alexov, President of the Bulgarian Pathology Association](#).

Fortunately, as awareness of the fact that the COVID-19 virus does not exist gradually spreads, more people are challenging the official response to the engineered crisis on that basis. For example, one group of doctors has written to the British Prime Minister Boris Johnson challenging Public Health England to 'show proof' that a virus exists. See ['Challenge to Public Health England that they must show Proof that a Virus Exists which Causes COVID-19 or Declare there is No Virus and end the Vax and Trax Programmes'](#).

But it is clear that the engineered crisis and the measures supposedly being taken to combat the non-existent 'virus' are being used to mask the truth, including the elite coup, and so actions aimed at elite agents, such as governments, must inevitably fail.

Unscientific Responses to the Non-existent Virus

The unscientific nature of the supposed threat posed by the 'virus' is, of course, matched by the unscientific response with countries imposing lockdowns suffering far worse outcomes – measured psychologically, socially, economically and otherwise – than countries, such as Japan, South Korea, Belarus and Sweden which did not follow this course. In any case, countries that did impose lockdowns could only use lies and statistical manipulation to make it appear that COVID-19 has been the cause of death among some of those who are recently deceased. For a taste of the extensive documentation, see ['Facts about COVID-19: July 2020 Update'](#) and ['COVID 19 Is A Statistical Nonsense'](#).

Given that the negative impacts of the lockdown are extensively documented, there have been many attempts (of many different kinds) occurring all over the world to end them already. See, for example, ["Mass casualty incident": 600 doctors sign letter to Trump calling for end to lockdowns over health concerns](#), ['Vaccine Group Sues Trudeau Government for "Draconian and Unjustifiable" Response to COVID-19'](#) and ['Popular Uprising against 2nd Covid Lockdown. Belgrade Liberated! The Govt Backs Down'](#).

But another way in which this unscientific response to the non-existent 'virus' is manifesting is immediately obvious if one examines the scientific evidence in relation to the effectiveness of masks in preventing cross infection. As it turns out, as explained in a long series of documented scientific studies, as distinct from the inaccurate claims promulgated by elite agents, *the research demonstrates that masks are at best ineffective, and at worst horribly counterproductive; that is, masks cannot achieve the purpose for which they are, supposedly, intended but they can achieve some very unhealthy outcomes*. But who among the general population is seeking out and considering this evidence (which the WHO, governments, the medical and pharmaceutical industries, the education systems and the corporate media are not going to present)?



For just a sample of the evidence about the ineffectiveness and

dangers of wearing a mask, see the following:

‘Nevertheless, the question of the effectiveness of masks can be asked. In the case of influenza epidemics, the answer is already clear from a scientific point of view: masks in everyday life have no or very little effect. If used improperly, they can even increase the risk of infection.’ See [‘Facts about COVID-19: July 2020 Update’](#).

In a recent study published in *The New England Journal of Medicine*, the five co-authors stated ‘We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little, if any, protection from infection.’ See [‘Universal Masking in Hospitals in the Covid-19 Era’](#).

In his recent article [‘Masks Don’t Work: A Review of Science Relevant to COVID-19 Social Policy’](#) documenting the results of his research, physics professor Dr Denis G. Rancourt concludes:

No RCT [randomized controlled trial] study with verified outcome shows a benefit for HCW [health care workers] or community members in households to wearing a mask or respirator. There is no such study. There are no exceptions.

Likewise, no study exists that shows a benefit from a broad policy to wear masks in public.

Furthermore, if there were any benefit to wearing a mask, because of the blocking power against droplets and aerosol particles, then there should be more benefit from wearing a respirator (N95) compared to a surgical mask, yet several large meta-analyses, and all the RCT, prove that there is no such relative benefit.

Masks and respirators do not work.

By making mask-wearing recommendations and policies for the general public, or by expressly condoning the practice, governments have both ignored the scientific evidence and done the opposite of following the precautionary principle.

After reviewing more than 50 articles on masks in the medical literature and documenting her case, concludes as follows:

There are NO randomized, controlled trials (RCT) with verified outcomes that show a benefit to healthcare workers or community members for wearing a mask or a respirator. There is no such definitive study. Likewise, no study exists that shows a benefit from a broad policy to wear masks in public. See [‘Coronavirus Pt 4: Masks Don’t Protect’](#) and, for your convenience, Tenpenny has cited the relevant passage from 35 of the articles she researched in this document: [‘Conclusion Regarding Masks: They Do Not Work’](#).

But if you want to read more, you can access each of the 50 articles Tenpenny cited or check out these as well: [‘Coronavirus: Face masks could increase risk of infection, medical chief warns’](#) and [‘Coronavirus Fact-Check #6: Does wearing a mask do anything?’](#)

Or watch this video presentation: [‘Why Face Masks Don’t Work, According to Science’](#).

So if there is no virus and face masks do not work to prevent infection anyway, why are we being told to wear them and, increasingly, being terrorized into wearing them under threat of punishment if we do not? For just two of many examples, see [‘Soon, You Will Need to Wear a Mask to Enter Virtually Every Major Retail Store in America’](#) and [‘Total Masking: Victoria’s Coronavirus Response’](#).

Because the face masks, like other elements of the supposed strategy to tackle COVID-19, are simply being used to terrorize us into not resisting the ongoing elite coup. See [‘The Mask as a Symbol of Subjugation’](#), [‘The New \(Pathologized\) Totalitarianism’](#) and [‘The Hidden Agendas of Masks, Distancing, and Tracing’](#).

And it is largely working with most people projecting their fear onto the non-existent ‘virus’ and then ignorantly endeavouring to ‘protect’ themselves from it by wearing a mask (or going along with other measures supposedly intended to ‘prevent infection’).

So if you are wondering why virtually everyone is being caught up in this, there are very good psychological reasons. One of them is that virtually all humans are terrorized into obedience as children. Consequently, resisting orders from those considered to be ‘in authority’ is virtually unthinkable. I have explained this submissive obedience in the article [‘Contemplating Human Extinction Terrifies Most People: A Strategy for Survival’](#).

But there is another, more subtle, reason too.

COVID-19 and the Psychology of Projection

For the vast bulk of the human population, considering the fundamental evidence of what is taking place – and acknowledging that the global elite is conducting a coup against us with all that this entails – is truly terrifying. Moreover, recognizing that if we are to resist this coup, we will need to make a courageous and strategically-focused stand to defend ourselves is frightening for most people as well.

And the global elite knows this. It is for this reason that they are terrorizing us in the first place. The elite does not want people resisting the coup and it certainly does not want them resisting the coup effectively.

Hence, the global elite is exploiting our fear – by projecting it onto COVID-19 – so that we submissively go along with its coup. How is the elite doing this? Let me explain the psychology of projection, very simply.

If, when you are a child, you are scared of something but that ‘something’ is truly terrifying and inescapable – that is, you are denied any safe opportunity to feel your terror and to take action in response to it so that you can make yourself safe – your mind will precipitate one response and, if necessary, a second response to defend you in the short term.

The first response will be to significantly dampen (and possibly completely suppress) your awareness of just how terrifying the ‘something’ that is scaring you actually is so that you are not overwhelmed to such an extent that you become incapacitated. This response is intended to enable you to act powerfully to get yourself out of the terrifying situation and into a context in which you feel safe.

Ideally, following any such incident when you again feel safe, you need time to recover emotionally. Fundamentally, this means that you need time to focus on feeling the terror

and other feelings that were raised during the incident so that these feelings are fully felt and expressed, rather than suppressed more deeply into your unconscious.

If, however, there is no opportunity for this emotional recovery, your mind will have no choice but to more deeply suppress your awareness of these feelings so that you can resume functioning more or less as previously. I say 'more or less' because you won't be functioning precisely as previously because the unconscious terror will now be playing a part in your emotional life and, therefore, playing a part in shaping your behaviour.

However, your unconscious mind – which is enormously powerful – has not given up on enabling you to heal from the terrifying incident and, therefore, its second response is to later trick you into believing that something else, that is *far less frightening*, is what is really scaring you so that you can safely access and feel your terror in relation to the original incident. Your mind does this so that the terror that was initially suppressed, in order to allow you to devise and implement a strategy for immediate survival, can now be released.

By using these two responses, your mind enables you to survive in a terrifying environment so that, hopefully at some point soon, you can devise and implement a strategy to escape that environment. But also by allowing you to subsequently believe that something that is actually quite safe by comparison is what was really scaring you in the first place, it gives you the opportunity to feel your fear and act it out without (or with profoundly reduced) fear of the consequences.

In the short term, this pair of responses by your mind can enable you to survive something truly terrifying: it minimizes the risk that you will be immobilized (frozen) in terror and thus unable to survive a dangerous situation. In essence, in evolutionary terms, these responses have tremendous survival value in the short term.

If, however, you are not able to escape the truly terrifying situation in the short term and you remain trapped in the situation indefinitely, your unconscious mind will continue to both suppress awareness of the terror and 'trick' you into subsequently believing that it is something else that is frightening you.

Unfortunately, beyond the very short term, this mental trick is highly dysfunctional. It leads the individual to eventually 'forget' (deeply suppress their awareness of) the original and true source of what is terrifying them and to believe that the safe 'target' they unconsciously chose subsequently is actually the genuine threat.

In the jargon of psychology, this is called 'projection' or 'transference' because the victim is now blaming something other than the true cause of their terror.

This has profound societal consequences too for the simple reason that an elite can effectively nominate the 'something' onto which our terror is projected. And it can do this very easily by simply parading a target or 'legitimized victim' as terrifying which, in effect, gives 'permission' for us to feel scared of the target it has nominated. But in directing our fear in a certain direction, they are also invariably intent on manipulating our behaviour in response.

Historically, this has been demonstrated rather endlessly with the manipulation of our fear crucial to the achievement of certain elite ends, politically and otherwise. For example, racism (fear of other *far less frightening* Africans) exploded to justify the slave trade, Nazism

exploited fears to mobilize Germans against *far less frightening* Jews (among others), Israeli leaders project the fear of Israelis to exploit *far less frightening* Palestinians and the entire US 'war on terror' has been conducted on the basis of projecting people's unconscious terror at *far less frightening* Muslims. As I mentioned, however, the list of possible examples is virtually endless.

In each and every case, however, the terror exploited had its origin in something much earlier in the life of these individuals than the current circumstance exploited by elites. It had its origin during early childhood.

And this origin can be traced directly back to the parenting and teaching models virtually universally used by human beings. As I have explained many times previously, but to briefly reiterate here: virtually all human beings are terrified for the same reason: the child-raising process that sociologists like to label 'socialization' should be more accurately labeled 'terrorization'. This is because from the moment of a child's birth, parents, teachers, religious leaders and adults generally regard themselves as responsible for terrorizing the child into obedience of the commands, rules, conventions and laws that define the nature of permissible behaviour in their society.

But because evolutionary pressures do not predispose any individual to obey the will of another – for the simple reason that obedience has no evolutionary functionality – it takes *enormous* terrorization during childhood to ensure that the child surrenders their Self-will at the altar of obedience. To achieve this outcome and largely unknowingly, parents, teachers, religious leaders and other adults in the child's life use a large range of behaviours from the three categories of violence that I have labeled 'visible' violence, 'invisible' violence and 'utterly invisible' violence. See ['Why Violence?'](#) and ['Fearless Psychology and Fearful Psychology: Principles and Practice'](#).

At its most obvious, all children are routinely threatened with violence and actually punished with violence (usually in each of its three forms: 'visible', 'invisible' and 'utterly invisible') for 'disobedience' (that is, for following their own Self-will rather than obeying orders to submit). See ['Punishment is Violent and Counterproductive'](#).

And, as preposterous as I know this sounds to those reading it for the first time: The fundamental outcome of this process is that all children end up utterly but unconsciously terrified of their parents, teachers, religious figures and other significant adults in their life.

But never given adequate safe opportunities to feel this terror, each child ends up projecting it onto something or a series of targets including those 'legitimized victims' approved by an elite, local or otherwise.

Hence, in the current world context, this results in the bulk of the human population submitting to direction by the global elite to project their fear onto COVID-19 and then behave as ordered, rather than pay attention to the elite coup and resist it. For summaries and documentation of the evidence in relation to each of these points, see ['The Elite's COVID-19 Coup: Fighting for Our Humanity, Our Liberty and Our Future'](#) and ['The Elite's COVID-19 Coup to Destroy Humanity that is also Fast-Tracking Four Paths to Human Extinction'](#).

The elite does this by triggering our unconscious fear through endless reporting of the 'threat' posed by COVID-19, issuing warnings, announcing deaths supposedly caused by the

'virus' (and concealing that the death rates are not even comparable to deaths caused by a serious influenza), and placing increasingly onerous limits on our rights and freedoms (through such measures as lockdowns and mask-wearing). And because virtually everyone has so much suppressed terror and needs outlets onto which this can be projected, the coup is being conducted virtually without resistance.

As I have explained before, just because the global elite is able to do this does not mean that it is sane. In fact, it is completely insane and that is precisely why it is conducting this coup but unable to see its catastrophic outcome for the elite as well. See ['The Global Elite is Insane Revisited'](#).

So what can we do?

Well, if we are to effectively resist the elite coup and fight for human survival, it would be useful to start by giving yourself time to focus on feeling your emotional responses – fear, anger, sadness, pain, dread.... – to whatever is generating an emotional reaction: COVID-19, the elite coup, the imminent threats of extinction or anything else. See ['Putting Feelings First'](#).

This is necessary so that you can engage meaningfully and strategically in the effort, whatever issue you choose to fight.

So once you have a clearer sense of your emotional reactions to this knowledge and have allowed yourself time to focus on feeling these feelings, you will be in a far more powerful position to consider your response to the situation. And, depending on your interests and circumstances, there is a range of possible responses that will each make an important difference.

Fundamentally, you might consider making ['My Promise to Children'](#) which will include considering what an education for your children means to you, particularly if you want powerful individuals – not ones who are submissively obedient and project their terror – who can perceive reality and resist violence. See ['Do We Want School or Education?'](#)

You might consider supporting others to become more powerful. See ['Nisteling: The Art of Deep Listening'](#).

If you wish to strategically resist the elite coup against humanity, you can read about nonviolent strategy, including strategic goals for doing so, from here: [Coups Strategic Aims](#).

Remaining pages on this website fully explain the twelve components of the strategy, as illustrated by the [Nonviolent Strategy Wheel](#), as well as articles and videos explaining all of the vital points of strategy and tactics, such as those to help you understand ['Nonviolent Action: Why and How it Works'](#).

Given the complexity of the configuration of this conflict, however, which involves the need to fight simultaneously to retain our essential humanity, defeat the elite coup and avert near-term human extinction, *it is important that our tactical choices are strategically-oriented* (as are those listed at the Strategic Aims page nominated above). Hence, three further considerations assume importance.

First, choose/design tactics that have strategic impact, that is, they fundamentally and permanently alter, in our favor, the power relationship between the elite and us.

Second, when tactical choices are made, focus them on undermining the elite coup, not just features of it, such as ‘social distancing’ or the lockdowns. At its most basic, this can be achieved by using tactical choices that mobilize people to act initially, as is happening, but then inviting them to consider taking further, more focused, action as well (such as those nominated in the strategic goals referenced above). This is important because existing actions will have little impact on key underlying measures, such as those being taken by the elite to advance the fourth industrial revolution.

Third, choose/design tactics that also have strategic impact on the greatest threats to human survival, including the collapsing biodiversity on Earth, the threat of nuclear war, the climate catastrophe and the deployment of 5G. Given the incredibly short timeframe in which we are now working to avert human extinction, while people are mobilizing it is important to use this opportunity to give them the chance to perceive the ‘big picture’ of what is taking place – beyond lockdowns and other measures supposedly being used to tackle COVID-19 – and to act powerfully in response.

Fortunately, as more people become aware of the deeper strands of what is taking place, the energy to break the lockdowns, resist other limitations on our rights and freedoms (such as contact tracing, COVID-19 testing/temperature checks, mask-wearing and vaccinations) as well as resist the coup itself will gather pace. As I have previously outlined, using a locally relevant focus, or perhaps several, for which many people would traditionally be together – a cultural, religious or sporting event, a nonviolent action, a community activity such as working to establish a community garden to increase local self-reliance, a celebration and/or a return to work – we can mobilize people to *collectively* resist.

If you wish to focus on powerfully resisting one of the primary threats to human existence – nuclear war, the deployment of 5G, the collapse of biodiversity and/or the climate catastrophe – you can read about nonviolent strategy, including strategic goals to focus your campaigns, from here: [Campaign Strategic Aims](#).

You might also consider joining those who are powerful enough to recognize the critical importance of reduced consumption and greater self-reliance as essential elements of these strategies by participating in [‘The Flame Tree Project to Save Life on Earth’](#). While you over-consume or are dependent on the elite for your survival, in any way, you are vulnerable.

In addition, you are welcome to consider signing the online pledge of [‘The People’s Charter to Create a Nonviolent World’](#).

Conclusion

Under cover of a ‘virus’ that does not exist, the global elite is social engineering a massive restructuring of world society to suit their own ends. If they achieve their aim, your existence as any sort of individual with meaningful rights and freedoms will have been terminated.

Apart from these ongoing disastrous outcomes, the elite coup is also unwittingly accelerating four paths to human extinction: nuclear war, the climate catastrophe, the deployment of 5G and biodiversity collapse.

To have any chance of defeating the elite coup and fighting effectively to avert our own extinction, we must fight strategically.

Fundamentally, this means recognizing that lobbying (that is, begging) elite agents, such as governments, simply reinforces the power of the elite to control us. It is only when we take action ourselves to both build our own power (which includes that of our children and those with whom we work) while campaigning strategically to undermine the power of the global elite itself that we take crucial steps to liberate ourselves from its violence, in all of the forms that this violence takes.

Given that the elite coup is jeopardizing our individuality, our liberty and our future, it is time for us to decide whether we are human beings or a planet of sheep.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Robert J. Burrowes has a lifetime commitment to understanding and ending human violence. He has done extensive research since 1966 in an effort to understand why human beings are violent and has been a nonviolent activist since 1981. He is the author of [‘Why Violence?’](#) His email address is flametree@riseup.net and his website is [here](#). He is a frequent contributor to ‘Global Research’.

ANNEX

Or, if you want something simpler, consider committing to:

The Earth Pledge

Out of love for the Earth and all of its creatures, and my respect for their needs, from this day onwards I pledge that:

1. *I will listen deeply to children. See [‘Nisteling: The Art of Deep Listening’](#).*
2. *I will not travel by plane*
3. *I will not travel by car*
4. *I will not eat meat and fish*
5. *I will only eat organically/biodynamically grown food*
6. *I will minimize the amount of fresh water I use, including by minimizing my ownership and use of electronic devices*
7. *I will not own or use a mobile (cell) phone*
8. *I will not buy rainforest timber*
9. *I will not buy or use single-use plastic, such as bags, bottles, containers, cups and straws*
10. *I will not use banks, superannuation (pension) funds or insurance companies that provide any service to corporations involved in fossil fuels, nuclear power and/or weapons*
11. *I will not accept employment from, or invest in, any organization that supports or participates in the exploitation of fellow human beings or profits from killing and/or destruction of the biosphere*
12. *I will not get news from the corporate media (mainstream newspapers, television, radio, Google, Facebook, Twitter...)*
13. *I will make the effort to learn a skill, such as food gardening or sewing, that*

makes me more self-reliant
14. *I will gently encourage my family and friends to consider signing this pledge.*

Featured image is from The Freedom Articles

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Robert J. Burrowes](#), Global Research, 2020

[**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**](#)

[**Become a Member of Global Research**](#)

Articles by: [Robert J. Burrowes](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca