

# Could Forthcoming Donbass Referenda be Russia's "Defense-Oriented" Response to Kharkov?

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, September 22, 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*There are arguments for and against each of the primary participants in the conflict agreeing to the scenario of Donbass referenda ending the military phase of the conflict before the coming winter.*

The two Donbass Republics' Civic Chambers announced on Monday that they'd like to [hold referenda](#) on joining Russia as soon as possible. Margarita Simonyan, who exerts massive influence over the formation of public opinion in her country as well as among Russian-friendly folks abroad through her well-known media roles, reacted to this scenario by [predicting the following](#) on Telegram:

"Today it's referendum, tomorrow – it's recognition of LPR as a part of Russia. The day after that, the strikes on the Russian territory become an all-out war of Ukraine and NATO with Russia, which will untie Moscow's hands in so many aspects."

The larger context within which those announcements were made is [Russia's unexpected setback in Kharkov Region](#), which was followed by Kiev building upon that momentum to seize control of a village in Lugansk and thus [symbolically reverse](#) that Republic's full liberation that was achieved over the summer. Turkish President Erdogan also [just told CBS](#) that President Putin allegedly informed him during their meeting on the sidelines of last week's [SCO Summit in Samarkand](#) "that he's willing to end this as soon as possible. The way things are going right now are quite problematic. I think a significant step will be taken forward."

It's therefore possible that the scenario of those two Donbass Republics' democratically driven accession to the Russian Federation, which could even perhaps coincide with the [Kherson](#) and/or Zaporozhye Regions', could be the asymmetrical response that observers are bracing themselves to expect from President Putin after his side's unexpected setback in Kharkov Region. To explain, Moscow would then regard attacks against them as attacks

against itself exactly as Simonyan predicted, which would thus establish very clear red lines that might promptly end the military phase of the [Ukrainian Conflict](#). [NATO-backed Kiev](#) would either voluntarily cease hostilities or be forced by Moscow into finally doing so.

This sequence of events isn't guaranteed to unfold, but it nevertheless can't be discounted either, especially after Simonyan shared her thoughts about what might happen. It could therefore very well be the case that she and the Donbass Republics' Civic Chambers are testing domestic and foreign reactions to that scenario prior to the Kremlin making a decision about this in the near future. After all, the latest dynamics of the Ukrainian Conflict appear to have reached the point where time works for and against each side's favor.

Kiev's tactical momentum continues to grow with time, but the conflict's perpetuation works against the strategic interests of its European NATO patrons by spiking the risks of profound socio-political consequences connected to the unprecedented economic crisis catalyzed by the anti-Russian sanctions. Likewise, the perspective is the inverse for Moscow: the conflict's perpetuation advances its strategic interests related to EU unity over Ukraine and Western unity more broadly but at the expense of its tactical interests since it's struggling to stop Kiev's on-the-ground NATO-backed momentum.

Accordingly, a swift end to the military phase of the conflict would avert the worst-case strategic scenarios from Kiev and its Western patrons' perspective but at the expense of their political-territorial interests of recapturing control of Donbass, Kherson, and Zaporozhye. Viewed from Moscow, this could avert the worst-case tactical scenario of losing control over those liberated regions and the major soft power consequences connected with that but at the expense of its earlier described strategic interests. Thus, there are arguments for and against each of the primary participants in the conflict agreeing to the scenario of Donbass referenda ending the military phase of the conflict before the coming winter.

The very fact that this is even being credibly suggested as based upon a reasonable interpretation of Simonyan's reaction to the Donbass Civic Chambers' announcements hints that President Putin is seriously flirting with a defensive-oriented response to his side's recent setback in Kharkov Region instead of exclusively prioritizing an offensive-oriented one like many observers expected. Once again, that's not to say that he'll definitely opt for the scenario that was described in the present analysis, but that it can't confidently be ruled out after what President Erdogan just said about his Russian counterpart's alleged desire "to end this as soon as possible."

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [OneWorld](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from OneWorld*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2022

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

### About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.  
For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)