

"Climate Change" and the "Great Reset", From COP26 to COVID19: The Fallacy of Predictive Models and a Return to Real Thinking

By Matthew Ehret-Kump

Global Research, July 29, 2021

Matthew Ehret's Insights 28 July 2021

Theme: Media Disinformation, Science and Medicine

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at <a>@crg globalresearch.

The world has recently been swept up by waves of propaganda promoting the idea that a <u>"Great Reset" of the world system</u> is in order to prepare society for a new "post-COVID" world order.

When one reviews the nature of those reforms on the World Economic Forum's websites, or from the words of the former Governor of the Bank of Canada Mark Carney (who has recently returned to Canada to run the post-COVID reset team alongside fellow technocrat Chrystia Freeland), it becomes crystal clear that this Great Reset is just the Green New Deal under another name.

Just as the Green New Deal has been the effect of decades of tireless propaganda designed to convince credulous people that it is a good idea to deconstruct industrial civilization by eliminating all activities which either increase carbon dioxide into the atmosphere or disrupt supposedly pristine states of nature (which ivory tower mathematicians suppose exists in stasis), the Great Reset agenda is driven by very similar objectives and assumptions.

When compared with reality, the WHO/Gates-funded narrative justifying the total shutdown of global economies falls apart like a house of cards as outlined perfectly by the Swiss Propaganda Research Institute's Facts of COVID-19.

Yet in spite of all of the evidence, it is worth asking: How have so many seemingly educated people become persuaded that COVID-19 or climate change are so existentially dangerous that we must shut down the world economy to somehow save ourselves from their apocalyptic effects?

The answer is to be found in the sleight of hand which occurred gradually over the 20th century which replaced actual scientific thinking for something called "predictive computer modelling".

The Global Coup: Predictive Models Take Over Actual Thinking

The age of "predictive doomsday models" in many ways grew out of the 1972 Limits to Growth study funded by the Club of Rome which popularized the technique of tying temperature increases to carbon dioxide and projecting economic variables like population, resource losses, and "pollution growth" into the future in order to scare the hell out of their incredulous victims and intimidate nations to drastically modify their collective behavior.





Figure 1- Rather than admit an error in Malthus's axioms, his modern followers have revived and popularized his theory which denies the existence of creative reason, with the Club of Rome's 1972 Limits to Growth (middle), which simply took the old formula (on left) and added more variables (right).

This use of skewed, under-defined statistics, projected into the future in order to "act preventatively on future crises" became a hegemonic practice for the next 40 years and has been used by neo-Malthusians consistently to justify the increased rates of war, poverty and disease across the world.

Paul Ehrlich's influential 1968 book the Population Bomb used similar models to cast trends of geometric population growth into the future which would result in a global crisis of unimaginable proportions as oil would dry up, arable lands dry away and resources disappear by the year 2000.



In 1968 his book, Ehrlich stated his misanthropic view in the following words:

"A cancer is an uncontrolled multiplication of cells; the population explosion is an uncontrolled multiplication of people... We must shift our efforts from the treatment of the symptoms to the cutting out of the cancer. The operation will demand many apparently brutal and heartless decisions."



Obama and his science czar John Holdren

Ehrlich's protégé John Holdren, who led in the shutdown of NASAs manned space systems and fusion program as Obamas science Czar went further when he wrote on p.942 in his 1977 book *Ecoscience*:

"Perhaps those agencies, combined with UNEP and the United Nations population agencies, might eventually be developed into a Planetary Regime- sort of an international superagency for population, resources, and environment. Such a comprehensive Planetary Regime could control the development, administration, conservation, and distribution of all natural resources, renewable or nonrenewable, at least insofar as international implications exist. Thus the Regime could have the power to control pollution not only in the atmosphere and oceans, but also in such freshwater bodies as rivers and lakes that cross international boundaries or that discharge into the oceans. The Regime might also be a logical central agency for regulating all international trade, perhaps including assistance from DCs to LDCs, and including all food on the international market. The Planetary Regime might be given responsibility for determining the optimum population for the world and for each region and for arbitrating various countries' shares within their regional limits. Control of population size might remain the responsibility of each government, but the Regime would have some power to enforce the agreed limits."

Under this heartless logic, nation states simply had to be converted into tools for imposing depopulation programs rather than naively endeavoring to end colonialism, poverty and war as foolish statesmen like John Kennedy, Bobby Kennedy, Enrico Mattei or Martin Luther King attempted.


Kissinger's National Security Study Memorandum 200 (1974) outlined this new objective for America stating: "Assistance for population moderation should give emphasis to the largest and fastest growing developing countries where there is a special US and strategic

interest". Among those developing nations targetted for population reduction, NSSM-200 listed birth control and the withholding of food as primary tools. Kissinger coldly wrote: "is the US prepared to accept food rationing to help people who can't/won't control their population growth?"

Throughout the 1970s, the Trilateral Commission/Council on Foreign Relations cabal under the direction of Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski completely took over American foreign policy and launched a new economic program which Trilateral Commission member Paul Volcker called "the controlled disintegration of the economy".

Upon attaining chairmanship of the Federal Reserve in 1979, Volcker put this policy to work by raising interest rates to 20% and kept them there for another two years- destroying America's small and medium agro industries while leaving only a highly cartelized corporate behemoth capable of surviving such draconian rates. Real growth plummeted, long term planning was forgotten and deregulation ushered in vast speculation which replaced the formerly dirigistic capitalism that made the west great.

All investments into scientific and technological progress were shut down. Fusion energy research was systematically destroyed as fast as the space program. Infrastructure investments dried up and America's age of nuclear power construction was shut down.




Gredit graphic design by Geoffrey M. Olynyk, incorporating 1976 projections from the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration. Fusion power by magnetic confinement: Program Plan," by S. O. Dean

In true Pygmalion fashion, the oligarchy was able to "scientifically justify" their misanthropic view of global governance by first breaking humanity's kneecaps and then arguing that we were never meant to run.

In today's language, this practice of 'predictive modelling' is reflected in the central banking high priest Mark Carney's <u>calls for a new financial system</u> to promote a decarbonized society by 2050 since 'predictive models' state that the world will heat 1.5 degrees according to a presumed connection to carbon dioxide emissions which can only be corrected if we monetize carbon and put a profit on shutting down human industrial activity.

As it turns out, when compared to the real data, not only does one quickly find that the post 1977 warming trend ended in 1999, but the actual temperature <u>falls well below all computer projections</u> produced by the IPCC (which is to environmental policy what the WHO is to health policy).



This hysterical prediction is also seen in Prince Charles' recent warning that the world has <u>18 months to save itself</u> before 'predictive modelling' says that global warming becomes unstoppable and the earth burns in a dystopic inferno!

Charles is the son of the same Prince Philip who infamously gushed over his wish to be reincarnated as a deadly virus "in order to solve overpopulation" making it more than a bit ironic that Charles announced his contraction of COVID-19 on March 25. In a 1988 interview with Deutsche Press Agentur, Prince Philip said:

"The more people there are, the more resources they'll consume, the more pollution they'll create, the more fighting they will do. We have no option. If it isn't controlled voluntarily, it will be controlled involuntarily by an increase in disease, starvation and war. ...In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."

One should not make the mistake of separating Philip's misanthropic statements with his active role in co-founding the global ecology movement alongside Bilderberg group founder Prince Bernhardt of the Netherlands. This includes their joint role as co-founders of the World Wildlife Club in 1961, their founding of the 1001 Nature Trust in 1970 or their joint management and funding of global climate science throughout the 20th century.

As I outlined in my 2019 lecture, it was this organization that was caught red handed organizing the murder and coverup of John F. Kennedy.

Prince Bernhard and Philip's <u>powerful lackey Maurice Strong</u> (who served as WWF vice-president under Philip from 1976-78), let the cat out of the bag in a 1990 interview saying:

"What if a small group of world leaders were to conclude that the principal risk to the Earth comes from the actions of the rich countries? And if the world is to survive, those rich countries would have to sign an agreement reducing their impact on the environment. Will they do it? The group's conclusion is 'no'. The rich countries won't do it. They won't change. So, in order to save the planet, the group decides: Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?" [1]

This is exactly what Carney and his fellow central banking ideologues are talking about when they speak of "Green New Deals".

The Failure of the Collective Green Suicide Pact

After five decades of tireless panic and propaganda, the oligarchy has had to conclude that this whole plan hasn't really worked out too well. Many nations were more than a little reticent to shut down the basis of their existence just because some Malthusian technocrats said their computer models required it to be so.

Many inquiring minds noticed that those same computer models never proved in the first place that carbon dioxide actually causes temperature changes and others noticed that in longer waves of history, carbon dioxide actually follows temperature changes... implying that the true causes of climate change has less to do with CO2 and more to do with astrophysical effects like the sun and cosmic radiation (which recent studies by Professor Svensmark have proved seeds clouds and plays a much more direct role in shaping climate change than statisticians wish to admit).

Others were bothered by the fact that linear computer projections fail to take into account such non-linear processes as human creative reason and morality which allows mankind the freedom to leap beyond our "limits to growth" through the discovery of new principles in the universe and the application of those discoveries to the economy in the form of constant leaps in scientific and technological progress.

Try as they might, linear models cannot map non-linearity (except in the form of logarithms that seek chaotic randomness in the form of a Jackson Pollack painting), but not real creative DIRECTED progress.

What made this "Controlled disintegration agenda" additionally frustrating was the rise of China's *Belt and Road Initiative* which demonstrated what REAL nation states can accomplish when they want to get rid of pollution, raise their populations out of poverty and "go green" at the same time [1].

In total opposition to the doomsday 'predictive models', China has lifted 800 million people out of poverty by forcing the monetary system to obey human needs rather than conforming to the statistical models used by the World Bank or IMF. China, Russia and other nations working within the BRI Framework have transformed the definition of "green" in recent years by investing massively into carbon free energy like 3rd and 4th generation nuclear power, fusion research, hydroelectricity and greening deserts.

On this last point, NASA recently announced a surprising 10% increase of global biomass

due entirely to China and India's development strategies which not only bring water into deserts, but also produce carbon dioxide which plants and trees actually treat as... FOOD!

Then Trump got elected and the Malthusian de-carbonization goals collapsed even further as an America long held under the control of a deep state changed its character and in so doing, revived both a lost sense of nationalism while also rejecting green suicide under a technocratic global dictatorship.

So something new had to happen.


New Lipstick. Same Pig.

Luckily, computer modelling doomsday scenarios are not hard to come by for British intelligence assets working through London's Imperial College and Bank of England who settled on a new strategy... if only a virus could be blown into global pandemic proportions through a systemic skewing of data and centralized control of data management through the Michael Bloomberg School of Public Policy at Johns Hopkin and World Health Organization... then perhaps nations will finally learn how to shut down their economies.

After COVID-19 was announced as a global pandemic by the World Health Organization, the *COVID-19 Response Team* at London's Imperial College wasted no time in using the same predictive modelling techniques that failed so miserably on climate catastrophe projections to begin forecasting end times scenarios for the coronavirus outbreak.

March 17, 2020 models projected over 500 000 UK deaths and 2.2 million American deaths over the coming months. These numbers were quickly taken up by the WHO and spread across international media to justify the study's "remedy" of a full "shut down of major aspects of society for over a year."

Despite the fact that these models were adjusted to predict only 20,000 UK deaths and 100,000 US deaths a week later, the calls to keep the world economy shut down for 12-18 months continued by Dr. Fauci, Gates, Soros and leading experts from the WHO, some of whom were <u>caught on camera advocating</u> breaking into homes to separate family members who have COVID.



For those paying attention, Michael Bloomberg isn't only a famous billionaire corporatist who paid \$500 million to get his ass kicked on public television, but is also Mark Carney's green bosom buddy who acted as *United Nations Special Envoy for Climate Change* from 2018-2019 until Carney took over the position.

Bloomberg also chairs <u>Carney's Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures</u> which is a keystone piece of the new green cathedral of anti-growth economics which will punish all "climate offending" companies by cutting them from credit while rewarding "green zero carbon" companies which accelerate human population collapse.

Bloomberg's School of Public Health just so happened to co-sponsor the October 19, 2019 Global Pandemic Exercise Event 201 alongside the Bill Gates & Melinda Gates Foundation, and World Economic Forum which ran computer simulations under the theme of a novel coronavirus pandemic killing 60 million people.

Over the years while taking over economic, foreign policy and environmental policies of formerly industrial advanced nations of the western alliance, the neo-Malthusian movement also took over medical research through a gradual co-opting of funding of the World Health Organization by private foundations which have increasingly replaced the role of nation over the past 4 decades.

Today the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation has become the primary financier of the WTO (taking the top spot with Trump's recent announcement of America's exit from it's supporting role). With their interests so intertwined with Big Pharma, and the Five Eyes intelligence agencies, medical practice and medical policy has been put firmly under the control of an elite cadre of "scientific experts" who play god with the human race in ivory towers "untouched by politics" beholden only to the cold hard numbers of 'predictive models'.

Both Gates and Bloomberg are among the top five world billionaires who run "The Giving

<u>Pledge"</u>- which is a foundation made up of "good" plutocrats who pledged to donate half their wealth to charities. What are billions after all, when you know the system you parasitically exploited is designed to collapse? As Carney <u>stated last year</u>, those "that anticipate these developments will be rewarded handsomely; those that fail to adapt will cease to exist."


Those industrial interests whom Carney threatened in his speech include those "dirty" (see "productive") agro-industrial interests who are generally unhappy about the idea of being sacrificed on the alter of Gaia and would rather join China's multi-trillion dollar *Belt and Road Initiative*, as the crisis continues to move closer to the <u>inevitable collapse</u> of the \$1.2 quadrillion bubble economy.

As this future collapse point accelerates towards the present, the oligarchy knows that nations enmeshed in the west's monetarist net will gladly jump into the Multipolar Alliance as an alternative to their total destruction if for no other reason.

The Multi-Polar Alliance Re-asserts the Hegemony of the Human Mind over Computer Modelling

The beauty of the new Multipolar Alliance guided by the associated Belt and Road Initiative Framework is this new system's reliance upon the non-linearity of human creative thought. By defining future states of humanity not as a crisis caused by human cancer cells killing Gaia, the new system approaches the future from the standpoint of creative change.

By investing in space exploration, asteroid defense, lunar mining, fusion and fission development and large scale infrastructure the Multipolar Alliance is bringing mankind back into harmony with the demands for boundless scientific and technological progress within creation.



The New Frontiers of human development await to be explored and an open system based on unbounded creative progress achieved if only we find the courage to shake off the shackles of the Anglo Dutch oligarchy immediately

Speaking to the CPC central committee in 2016, President Xi said:

"Coordinated development is the unity of balanced development and imbalanced development. The process from balance to imbalance and then to rebalance is the basic law of development. Balance is relative while imbalance is absolute. Emphasizing

coordinated development is not pursuing equalitarianism, but giving more importance to equal opportunities and balanced resource allocation."

In an earlier speech, Xi developed this concept even further:

"We must consider innovation as the primary driving force of growth and the core in this whole undertaking, and *human resources as the primary source to support development*. We should promote innovation in theory, systems, science and technology, and culture, and make innovation the dominant theme in the work of the Party, and government, and everyday activity in society... In the 16th century, human society entered an unprecedented period of active innovation. Achievements in scientific innovation over the past five centuries have exceeded the sum total of several previous millennia. . . . Each and every scientific and industrial revolution has profoundly changed the outlook and pattern of world development. . . . Since the second Industrial Revolution, the U.S. has maintained global hegemony because it has always been the leader and the largest beneficiary of scientific and industrial progress."

In a 2019 speech calling for <u>Russia's prioritization of fusion</u> power as a replacement to the fossil fuel economy, President Putin expressed similar insights saying:

"It may seem strange at first, but fusion energy, which in fact is similar to how heat and light are produced in our star, in the Sun, is an example of such nature-like technologies.

"Potentially we can harness a colossal, inexhaustible and safe source of energy. However, we will only succeed in fusion energy and in solving other fundamental tasks if we establish broad international cooperation and interaction between government and business, and join the efforts of researchers representing different scientific schools and areas. If technological development becomes truly global, it will not be split up or reined in by attempts to monopolize progress, limit access to education and put up new obstacles to the free exchange of knowledge and ideas.. With their help, scientists will be able to literally see nature's creation processes."

So when Putin or Xi come out <u>calling for a new economic order</u> to replace the currently collapsing one, this is the spirit of the system he is talking about. They are talking about a system that rejects 'predictive modelling' using linear equations in favor of the REALITY of human creative mentation as a non-linear YET intelligible geological force of change bringing humanity into ever greater harmony with the laws of creation.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

<u>Matthew Ehret</u> is the Editor-in-Chief of <u>the Canadian Patriot Review</u>, a BRI Expert on <u>Tactical talk</u>, and Senior Fellow at the American University in Moscow. He is author of the <u>'Untold History of Canada' book series</u>, and <u>Clash of the Two Americas</u>. In 2019 he co-founded the Montreal-based <u>Rising Tide Foundation</u>. Consider helping this process by <u>making a donation to the RTF</u> or becoming a <u>Patreon supporter</u> to the Canadian Patriot Review.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Matthew Ehret-

<u>Kump</u>

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca