

“The Conspiratorial Movement in Quebec”, Published by the UNESCO Chair in the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism.

By [Guy Boulianne](#)

Global Research, July 14, 2022

[Guy Boulianne](#) 4 July 2022

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

AI translation from French. Reviewed by GR.

On June 22, 2022, the newspaper [Le Devoir](#) announced the release of a vast study entitled “The conspiratorial movement in Quebec”. However, this work was published barely a few days later within the “UNESCO Chair in the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism” ([chaireunesco-prev](#)).

The research report was presented to [Pierre Fitzgibbon](#), Minister of Economy and Innovation of Quebec as part of the call for Solutions to COVID-19.

It contains data obtained by survey on the members of this movement as well as a list of 45 “conspiracy leaders” from Quebec and Canada, including Stéphane Blais, Alexis Cossette-Trudel, Samuel Grenier, Amélie Paul, Daniel Pilon, Daniel Tadros , Maxime Ouimet, Jean-Jacques Crèvecoeur, Lucie Laurier, Mel Goyer and Jonas Colin (The Colin Show).

According to the writers of the report,

“Most of the time, conspiratorial thinking is expressed in bits and pieces, in hints, in suspicions, in ‘proofs’ sometimes structured, sometimes scattered, sometimes even clearly fabricated from scratch. It is like a kind of restaurant “menu” from which individuals can make their choices, according to their preferences, and build their own conspiratorial meal.”

It is true that some of them too often disseminate information that is incomplete, biased and without solid sources, while begging for multiple donations from their listeners who are often weakened by the “covid” crisis.

Unfortunately, they also regularly—whether consciously or not—disseminate false information, which greatly harms sincere whistleblowers.

On the other hand, in my opinion, Ezra Levant (Rebel News), the psychologist Lucie Mandeville and the lawyer Rocco Galati have no place in this work of the UNESCO Chair. And still in my opinion, Jo L'indigo (Jonathan Blanchette) should be placed in the category of “activists” and not that of “conspiracy theorists” as we generally understand.

But is this report published by the [UNESCO-PREV Chair](#)—headed by Concordia, Sherbrooke and Quebec Universities in Montreal—as credible as we are led to believe?

Isn't it rather a kind of witch hunt with an underlying goal, that of discrediting those among the most honest who have an opinion different from that of the official narrative promoted by governments and the media? “*mainstream*”, knowing full well that the general public will not distinguish between the wheat and the chaff.

We must not forget that UNESCO is one of those globalist organizations whose ins and outs we already know.

Indeed, UNESCO is an international specialized agency of the United Nations (UN), created on November 16, 1945. Its first director general was Julian Huxley, grandson of eugenicist Thomas Henry Huxley and brother of Aldous Huxley, author of the dystopian sci-fi novel [Brave New World](#) . [\[PDF\]](#)

Julian Huxley was a proponent of eugenics as a means of improving the welfare of humanity.

He saw in eugenics a means of eliminating unwanted variants from the human genetic heritage as a whole.

Following the terrifying results from the abuse of eugenics, Julian Huxley uses the term “transhumanism” to describe the view that man could improve himself through science and technology, especially with the help of eugenics. He writes in his book “ [New Bottles for New Wine](#) ”, published in 1957:

“The human species can, if it wishes, transcend itself – not only sporadically, an individual here in one way, an individual there in another way, but in its entirety, as humanity. We need a name for this new belief. Perhaps transhumanism will serve: man remaining man, but transcending himself, realizing new possibilities of and for his human nature. “I believe in transhumanism”: once there are enough people who can really say it, the human species will be on the threshold of a new kind of existence, as different from ours as ours is of that of Peking Man. He will finally consciously accomplish his true destiny.”

Do we not find here the transhumanist philosophy of [Yuval Noah Harari](#), assiduous contributor to the agenda of the [World Economic Forum](#) and main adviser to psychopath [Klaus Schwab](#)?

It is not so easy to erase the foundations, the past and the spirit of these supranational institutions.

Forte concentration des intentions de vote des personnes adhérant au conspirationnisme (convaincus et modérés) :



70 %



50 %

The journalist specializing in federal politics, [Boris Proulx](#), reports that the study by the UNESCO-PREV Chair groups the “conspiracy leaders” into five major ideologies, by ideal type: far-right, anti-government, alterscience, religious, or even inspired. QAnon theories.

“For us, these are voices that give credibility to the movement, either by their previous status or because they are widely followed. [...] We had long discussions to find out who we chose, but we are comfortable with each of the names we put there ,” explains study co-signer David Morin, professor at the School of Applied Policy from the University of Sherbrooke and holder of the UNESCO-PREV Chair.

This [UNESCO-PREV Chair](#) was created in the fall of 2017 in the wake of the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy and the report of the Action Plan for the Prevention of Violent Extremism published by the Secretary-General [of the United Nations in 2016](#) . Besides the “UNESCO Chair in the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism” works in partnership with the Research and Action on Social Polarizations ([RAPS](#)) team, the Canadian Network of Practitioners for the Prevention of Radicalization and Extremism violence ([RPC-PREV](#)) and the Center for the Prevention of Radicalization Leading to Violence ([CPRLV](#)). The chair is also positioned in line with the XVI Summit of La Francophonie in 2016, during which the Heads of State and Government adopted a resolution on the prevention of radicalization and violent extremism that can lead to terrorism.

Whether one likes the so-called “influencers” cited in the report or not, it’s distressing to see the editors making questionable conflation between dissent, radicalization, violent extremism, and even terrorism (where exactly the name of the organization comes from). Contrary to what they assert in their preface, the report of the UNESCO-PREV Chair tends to discredit all those who might display an opinion different from the official politico-media narrative concerning current societal issues which are, for the most part , real and very well documented. Being funded among others by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research ([CIHR](#)) and the Ministry of Economy and Innovation of Quebec ([MÉI](#)), the “UNESCO Chair in the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism” can in no way claim to be an independent and impartial organization. [[Annual report 2021](#)]

According to David Morin, it is important to speak in the media about the influencers of the

grand conspiracy. “They’re pretty well-known people [on the internet], so it doesn’t make them any more famous than they already are.” The journalist [Boris Proulx](#) affirms that to publish their excesses – and the ideology behind – allows especially to better see the recovery of their ideas in the political speeches. A problem that would affect the Conservative Party of Canada.


“We have one of the two candidates [Pierre Poilievre] who does not hesitate from time to time to send signals to his potential electorate in connection with Davos, the new world order, cryptocurrency... We see that there are attempts of recoveries », laments the co-signer of the study. If the UNESCO-PREV Chair is truly impartial, then why is it specifically targeting the leader of the People’s Party of Canada, Maxime Bernier, as well as the candidate for the leadership of the Conservative Party of Canada, Pierre Poilievre?

Why doesn’t she speak out against the constant misinformation and extremist actions of politicians like François Legault and Justin Trudeau? Much more balanced, columnist [Jean-François Nadeau](#) writes in the daily Le Devoir:

“Being together, being part of a society, supposes tolerating that there cannot be unanimity. This turns out to be demanding, more demanding than contenting oneself with affirming to all comers one’s intentions to impose unity on the spot rather than giving oneself, for everyone, the means to create it in the long term.”

I therefore leave it to my readers to read the report of the *UNESCO Chair in the Prevention of Radicalization and Violent Extremism* ([chaireunesco-prev](#)), entitled “The conspiratorial movement in Quebec”, and to form their own opinion about it.

For my part, I consider that this document is a propaganda tool like so many others and that its main purpose is to discredit and gag even the most serious independent journalists.



*

Commentary by Jean Tardy, systems engineer, former officer in the Canadian Navy

Here is a comment from Jean Tardy, former officer in the Canadian navy from 1973 to 1989, specialist in underwater weapons (sonar). Mr. Tardy is a systems engineer and researcher in Artificial Intelligence. He created the “Meca Sapiens” architecture to implement digital awareness and provides consulting services under the name of Sysjet inc. He is the author of the book “The Way of Dogma”, which calls on Christians to be aware of the spiritual battle raging around them.

Tuesday, July 5, 2022

That this text is published by UNESCO does not surprise me. This organization actively participates, through its publications, in the promotion of globalist agendas aimed at the imposition of radical transformations through the establishment of totalitarian social controls. I have already reviewed and commented on several of these.

After an initial shock, increasingly credible and well-researched alternative voices have risen to counter the health assault. We are currently (summer 22) experiencing a brief lull. The globalists are preparing the next offensive which will begin this fall. The surprise effect having passed, this one will be more muscular.

As part of these preparations, they are putting in place measures, laws and watchdogs to discredit, censor and penalize alternative media and their spokespersons as brutally as possible. The text of this collective participates in this operation by attempting to provide ideological justifications for this operation.

I will not analyze the entire text here but draw readers’ attention to items 3.1 and 4.1 of the recommendations (page 129) in support of the above:

3.1: Increase access to psychological health care and psychosocial support for radicalized conspiratorial individuals and close victims of conspiracy, in particular by supporting existing structures in the field of radicalization (e.g., Social Polarization Team, CPRLV, InfoSect, etc.);

4.1: Set up an interministerial government structure on disinformation that could be housed at the level of the Ministry of Cybersecurity and Digital. In particular, its mandate would be to gather knowledge on the issue, carry out a strategic watch, guide public policies in this area and improve cooperation and coordination between the various ministries and other actors. It would also include a crisis unit that can be activated in the event of a mass disinformation campaign

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

All images in this article are from Guy Boulianne

The original source of this article is [Guy Boulianne](#)
Copyright © [Guy Boulianne](#), [Guy Boulianne](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Guy Boulianne](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca