

## **CIA Director: Iran Deal Good for America**

By <u>Washington's Blog</u> Global Research, April 09, 2015 Washington's Blog Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u> In-depth Report: <u>IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?</u>

"Those That Say This Deal Provides a Pathway to Iran Developing a Nuclear Bomb Are Being Wholly Disingenuous"

CIA director John Brennan is a hawk ...

As we <u>noted</u> in 2013, Brennan endorsed torture, assassination of unidentified strangers without due process, and spying on all Americans.

But even Brennan <u>thinks</u> the Iran deal is good for the United States:

"I, for one, am pleasantly surprised that the Iranians have agreed to so much here." CIA Director John Brennan, on Iranian nuclear deal

— JFK Jr. Forum (@JFKJrForum) <u>April 7, 2015</u>

"Those that say this deal provides a pathway to Iran developing a nuclear bomb are being wholly disingenuous." -John Brennan <u>#CIAForum</u>

— JFK Jr. Forum (@JFKJrForum) April 7, 2015

This is not surprising, given:

- Contrary to widespread claims, there is <u>NO EVIDENCE</u> that Iran is building a nuclear weapon. Even Israel <u>Israel admits</u> that Iran has not decided to build a nuclear bomb.
- Top American defense officials say that despite its heated rhetoric (<u>often</u> <u>mistranslated</u> in the U.S.) <u>Iran is a "rational actor"
  </u>
- Experts say that Iran poses very little threat to the West or Israel . Top American and Israeli military and intelligence officials say that – even if Iran *did* build a nuclear bomb – <u>it would not be that dangerous</u>, because Israel and America have so many more nukes. And <u>see this</u>
- Conversely, American military and intelligence chiefs say that attacking Iran would only <u>speed up its development of nuclear weapons</u>, empower its hardliners, and undermine the chance for democratic reform
- Iran has not started a war for at least <u>188 years</u> (in the Iran-Iraq war, Iraq was

## the initial aggressor)

- The people pushing for war against Iran are the same people who pushed for war against Iraq, and said it would be a "cakewalk". See <u>this</u> and <u>this</u>
- They've been pushing for another round of regime change in Iran for decades
- The CIA <u>admits</u> that the U.S. overthrew the moderate, suit-and-tie-wearing, Democratically-elected prime minister of Iran in 1953. He was overthrown because he had <u>nationalized Iran's oil</u>, which had previously been controlled by BP and other Western oil companies. As part of that action, the CIA <u>admits</u> that it hired Iranians to pose as Communists and stage bombings in Iran in order to turn the country against its prime minister
- If the U.S. hadn't overthrown the moderate Iranian government, the fundamentalist Mullahs would have never taken over. (Moreover, the U.S. has had a large hand in strengthening radical Islam in the Middle East by supporting radicals to <u>fight the Soviets</u> and <u>others</u>)
- On the other hand, the most violent Muslims are Sunnis. America backs the Sunnis in their fight against the Shias. Iran is a Shia country, and 89% of Iranian people are Shia
- America's decades-long wars are *hurting* our national security. A <u>top Pentagon</u> official say we're no safer – and perhaps *less safe* – after 13 years of war. Indeed, war only <u>PROMOTED</u> the <u>dramatic expansion</u> of even worse terrorists
- Never-ending wars are also destroying our freedom. The Founding Fathers warned against standing armies, saying that they destroy freedom. (update).
   Perversely, our government treats <u>anti-war sentiment as terrorism</u>. But peace and international trade is the only way to save our country
- Top economists say that war is <u>destroying our economy</u>. But war is <u>great</u> for the <u>bankers</u> and the <u>defense contractors</u>, and some of the powers-that-be are worried that peace with Iran would be <u>bad for business</u>

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2015

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Washington's Blog

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca