

## China's Military Interests Along the Silk Road Stretch From Sea To Shining Sea

By Andrew Korybko

Region: <u>Asia</u>

Global Research, July 14, 2018

Theme: Intelligence, Militarization and

WMD

Oriental Review 13 July 2018

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

Leaked Chinese military documents purport that the People's Liberation Army will seek to expand its presence across the world in order to defend its Silk Road interests.

<u>The Japan Times</u> was the first outlet to report on the plans that putatively circulated in Chinese circles back in February and which implored the state to concentrate on expanding its force projection capabilities beyond coastal defense and into the maritime and land realms. Although not directly stated, this is in clear reference to the need that China has to protect its Silk Road infrastructure investments and Sea Lines Of Communication (SLOC), mirroring the path that all other globally relevant Great Powers before it followed in having their overseas military activity driven by economic interests.

It was only a matter of time before China naturally did so as well, despite publicly eschewing this approach and being extremely sensitive to how it's portrayed, though with good reason because of the likelihood that this will be exploited through weaponized infowar means as supposed "proof" that the country is really just "another imperial power", albeit one that cleverly disguises its military moves with win-win Silk Road slogans. That's not entirely correct, though it feeds into India's paranoia about China's creeping military encirclement through the so-called "String of Pearls" infrastructure projects around its South Asian periphery.

About those, it would make the most sense for China to reach agreements with the host states there and beyond <u>similar to</u> the 2016 Logistics Exchange Memorandum Of Agreement (LEMOA) between the US and India in allowing both parties to use each other's military facilities on a case-by-case "logistical" basis, essentially giving some category of Silk Road projects such as seaports and airports a dual function even though this is exactly what American think tanks warned would eventually happen. Even so, it's the most logical and cost-effective security solution available.



The catch, though, is that China must avoid being drawn into "mission creep" all across the world in defending its Silk Road interests, to which end it's likely to avoid having any significant military presence overseas, let alone in actual conflict zones apart from the <a href="Hybrid War">Hybrid War</a> experiences that its <a href="peacekeepers">peacekeepers</a> are <a href="presently learning from">presently learning from</a>. Thus, China will probably step up its training, advisory, and assistance missions to its many partners as part of its own multipolar version of the US' "<a href="Lead From Behind">Lead From Behind</a>" strategy, which could for

example see <u>future aircraft carrier deployments off the African coast</u>in order to help its incountry allies respond to anti-Silk Road militants.

The People's Liberation Army is therefore predicted to become a hemispheric force active all across Afro-Eurasia, though concentrating mostly on the supercontinental Heartland of Central Asia and the East African coast of the Indian Ocean Region in managing its dual mainland-maritime military competencies in protecting the Silk Road. This is natural given China's expanding security interests by virtue of the need to defend the trade routes and infrastructure that form the backbone of its export-oriented economy and consequently its national stability, though it will undoubtedly be misportrayed by the country's enemies as an "aggressive move" driven by "neo-imperial" calculations.

\*

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is <u>Oriental Review</u> Copyright © <u>Andrew Korybko</u>, <u>Oriental Review</u>, 2018

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Andrew Korybko

## About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>