

Children's Health Defense Responds to Biden's 'Declaration of War Against Unvaccinated'

By [Mary Holland](#)

Global Research, September 14, 2021

[Children's Health Defense](#) 13 September 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

In a "deeply disturbing" speech last week, President Biden exhorted medical coercion of an experimental gene therapy for a virus with a 99% survival rate for a large portion of the population, and for which no one bears financial liability in cases where injuries or deaths occur.

President Biden's [speech](#) last week was stunning. As the [Associated Press](#) aptly reported, the president pivoted from a war on the coronavirus to a war on the "unvaccinated."

Coercing the "unvaccinated" was the president's first and foremost point — the only way back to normal is through vaccination, testing and masks, he said.

But the president went much further — he vilified the unvaccinated. They are not "doing the right thing." They are "keeping us from turning the corner." They are "blocking public health."

"The refusal [of the unvaccinated] has cost all of us," Biden said.

Addressing the 80 million refusers, the president said, as if speaking to unruly children, "our patience is wearing thin."

He went further still, empathizing with the anger and anxiety of those who've been vaccinated and thus presumably protected. He threatened, "We cannot let the unvaccinated undo this progress," although he muddled the words in delivery.

Biden also took a potshot at dissenting doctors, suggesting they are "conspiracy theorists," not "real doctors." His comments echoed the [calls of others](#), including the [Federation of State Medical Boards](#), to take away the medical licenses of doctors who dare to raise questions about vaccine safety.

The president's speech was deeply disturbing. He exhorted medical coercion of an [experimental](#) gene therapy for a virus with a [99% survival rate](#) for a large portion of the population, and for which [no one bears financial liability](#) in cases where [injuries or deaths](#) occur.

Furthermore, Biden misled the public on vaccine approval. He suggested that because the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [approved the Pfizer Comirnaty vaccine](#) on Aug. 23, there's nothing more for the unvaccinated to "wait for."

However, the FDA has not licensed the [Moderna, Johnson & Johnson](#) (marketed as Janssen) and [Pfizer-BioNTech](#) vaccines, and the licensed Pfizer Comirnaty vaccine is largely unavailable in the U.S.

The shots that are available are overwhelmingly [Emergency Use Authorization](#) only, to which federal law requires the right of refusal, under [Title 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3\(e\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)\(III\) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act](#).

As [The Defender reported](#) last month, the administration's gambit on licensure is a [cynical bait-and-switch](#) tactic.

Here's my latest with [@NassMeryl](#)... Buried in fine print of Monday's approval by FDA of Pfizer Comirnaty COVID vaccine are 2 critical facts that affect whether vaccine can be mandated + whether Pfizer can be held liable for injuries. <https://t.co/QtpHufCKDI>

— Robert F. Kennedy Jr (@RobertKennedyJr) [August 25, 2021](#)

The president's speech announced the full weight of the federal government against those who lawfully reject an unwanted, experimental medical intervention. Worse still, he sought to enlist the vaccinated in this divisive and dangerous campaign.

No president should seek to demonize citizens exercising the fundamental human right to informed consent. No president should play doctor and demand 100% vaccination rates.

Medical decision-making must be individual and individualized, and occur in the context of the doctor-patient relationship. No medical intervention can be safe and effective for all, as the president suggested. Science does not support dividing people by vaccination status and discriminating on that basis, as the president purported — nor do law or ethics support damaging discrimination.

The president failed to respect the individual rights to informed consent. The [Nuremberg Code](#), which the U.S. promulgated and has [expanded over time](#), says it best: "The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential."

Suggesting the "large majority of Americans" may demonize and marginalize a minority for rejecting experimental medicine is abhorrent.

But what did President Biden really mean when he talked about the "unvaccinated?" Are people who refuse [COVID shots](#) actually unvaccinated?

No. The vast majority have had many vaccines during their lifetimes: polio, diphtheria,

tetanus, pertussis, measles, mumps, rubella, hepatitis B, influenza and a battery of other ones. They simply have chosen not to take experimental COVID shots that have not yet finished phase 3 clinical trials (Moderna's trials go to [2022](#), Pfizer's until [2023](#)) and that have [blanket liability protection](#) for manufacturers, healthcare providers and government officials.

They have decided it's not right for them. Whether it's because they object to all vaccination, or this one, whether it's for scientific, religious or medical reasons, whether it's because they've already been injured by a vaccine which puts them at increased risk, or whether they've acquired [natural immunity](#) because they've already had the virus, it's their right.

Suggesting the government or the majority is entitled to marginalize the minority on COVID vaccination grounds is shocking.

Yet "unvaccinated" is likely to soon mean anyone who's missing the latest [booster dose](#). By late September, "unvaccinated" or "not fully vaccinated" likely will mean anyone who's not had two or three doses of a COVID shot, depending on which brand the person took initially. Who knows how many more boosters are in store?

The president's final blessing to those "on the front lines of this pandemic" and to "our troops" was particularly painful, because it is precisely these people with deep knowledge of the disease and the vaccines who are refusing the shots in large numbers, and who now are at risk of their livelihoods.

These people who put their lives on the line during the pandemic are being asked to lose everything if they exercise their right to refuse this medical treatment.

What can we do?

1. Understand that you are the unvaccinated, no matter how many vaccines you've had. The administration is looking for scapegoats because COVID is still here, and it's [likely to be here](#) for awhile. The "unvaccinated" term is likely to be a moving target, perpetually ratcheting up what it means to be "fully vaccinated" and "unvaccinated."
2. Get educated. [Sign up](#) for Children's Health Defense's (CHD) [The Defender](#). It's free. Tell your friends.
3. Speak up! If you think mandatory medicine with experimental products is not a good idea, now is the time to speak out. Let your elected officials know, call the White House, go to your school board meetings. Remember [Pastor Martin Niemöller's poem](#), "First they came for the communists, and I did not speak out because I was not a communist."
4. Act on your conscience. Consider participating in [Walk Out Week](#) starting today, Sept. 13. Stay away from medically coercive schools and jobs.
5. Find your tribe. Join [CHD chapters](#) on our website, or check out affiliated organizations including [Health Choice](#), [Millions Against Medical Mandates](#), [National Vaccine Information Center](#), [Informed Consent Action Network](#) and others.
6. Demonstrate. Show your support for health freedom at peaceful rallies across the country.
7. Don't quit your job. If your workplace is mandating vaccination, explore lawful exemptions. If your exemption is denied, force your employer to go through the

steps of terminating you. While unpleasant, termination is the only way you can preserve your rights. Lawyers across the country are already bringing lawsuits based on discrimination, the Americans with Disabilities Act, constitutional grounds and others. You may be able to receive back pay and reinstatement if lawsuits succeed. If you resign, you will not be able to vindicate any rights — your departure will be considered voluntary, even if it was not.

8. Vote your conscience. If you have the opportunity to vote in November, vote your conscience. If your elected officials are not honoring your most precious rights, vote them out!

Based on President Biden's speech, the next few months may be challenging. Here's what you can count on from CHD:

- We will not give up.
- We will stand with you.
- We will provide daily need-to-know information.
- We will advocate for your rights — in our [Community Corner](#), on [CHD Live!](#) and in all the work we do.
- We will keep fighting in court against medical tyranny. We will [continue lawsuits](#) against the [FDA's faux licensure](#), against [federal censorship](#) and against [mandates for vaccines](#), masks and testing. We will continue to fight for the right to religious and medical exemptions and the right to free and informed consent, unfettered by government diktats.
- And foremost we will fight for our future, our children.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Mary Holland is President and General Counsel of Children's Health Defense. She has been writing and advocating for better vaccine law and policy for many years, including while she served on the faculty at NYU School of Law from 2002-19. She is co-author of two books on vaccines, Vaccine Epidemic and The HPV Vaccine on Trial, as well as several law review articles.

Featured image is from CHD

The original source of this article is [Children's Health Defense](#)
Copyright © [Mary Holland](#), [Children's Health Defense](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mary Holland](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca