

CDC Removes One in Four Child Deaths Caused by COVID, Essentially Admitting It Overinflated the Numbers in the First Place

By Ethan Huff

Global Research, March 22, 2022

NaturalNews.com 21 March 2022

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>Science and</u>

Medicine

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

On March 15, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) <u>quietly removed</u> tens of thousands of alleged Wuhan coronavirus (COVID-19) deaths from its data tracker website after claiming to have discovered an "error."

Perhaps most notable was the removal of nearly a quarter of deaths listed in the under 18 years of age column, suggesting that the CDC has been lying about the risk of COVID among young people based on errant data.

"Data on deaths were adjusted after resolving a coding logic error," the CDC is now claiming as an excuse. "This resulted in decreased death counts across all demographic categories."

The CDC's data tracker website relies on inputs from states and other jurisdictions that voluntarily report. It is more than likely wrong and wildly overinflated in order to push a scarier *plandemic* narrative.

Doctors and other jab-pushers have been relying on this false CDC data to make a case for mass Fauci Flu vaccination, particularly in young children. Rochelle Walensky, the current CDC head, routinely cites this false CDC data, including back in November when she pushed the CDC's expert advisory to recommend COVID injections for all children aged 5-11.

According to Kelley Krohnert, a Georgia resident who has been tracking the CDC's data updates, there used to be 1,755 child deaths from COVID listed on the agency's website, along with approximately 851,000 deaths in other demographics. Now, there are suddenly 416 fewer child deaths listed, as well as 71,000 fewer other deaths listed.

The CDC said it previously adjusted the death count back in August as well "after the

identification of a data discrepancy." For some reason, the CDC cannot get its COVID death numbers to remain consistent and is constantly shifting them, usually to a much lower number.

"The update is an improvement, but it's at least the third correction to this data, and still does not solve the issue," Krohnert wrote in an email to the *Epoch Times* about the issue.

"It just highlights that people have been using a flawed source of data when discussing kids and COVID."

CDC has been lying to the world about COVID deaths

The CDC also manages the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), which some claim is a more reliable source of COVID death data. Many doctors rely on the NCHS instead of the data tracker website.

The NCHS tally is compiled from actual death certificates, and it currently lists about 921 deaths in children who were jabbed, and 966,000 deaths among people of other ages who were jabbed. Keep in mind, however, that even the death certificates were being fudged.

Back in January, a spokesperson from the group explained to the *Times* that the NCHS death count includes a tally of people who died *with* the Wuhan Flu, as well as people who supposedly died *from* it. This distinction is often ignored in official government numbers.

"COVID-19 was listed as the underlying cause, or the primary death cause, on about 90 percent of death certificates at the time," reports explain.

In the CDC's other data count, other things such as drowning were listed as "COVID" deaths. We saw this same thing early on in the *plandemic* when motorcycle deaths, for instance, were blamed on "COVID."

In a social media post, Dr. Alasdair Munro, a clinical research fellow for pediatric infectious diseases at University Hospital Southampton, wrote that it is "slightly worrying that this data was being used widely in the U.S. to guide or advocate for policy."

"It's outrageous to quietly footnote such a consequential error," added Jessica Adams, a former regulatory review officer at the *Food and Drug Administration* (FDA).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from NaturalNews.com

The original source of this article is NaturalNews.com, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Ethan Huff

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca