

Canada: The Ottawa Shootings and the Derogation of Constitutional Rights. Did Zebaf-Bibeau Act Alone?

By [Mark Taliano](#)

Global Research, October 31, 2014

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

The tragic shooting of Cpl. Nathan Cirillo on October 22, 2014 at the War Memorial in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada followed by a shoot-out at the House of Commons, and the death of the shooter, Michael Zebaf-Bibeau, serves as a catalyst to advance several hidden government agendas.

The Canadian government wants to spread unreasonable fear of radical, violent Muslim terrorists so that it can better control all Canadians, especially those who choose to exercise their constitutionally enshrined rights. The government is also advancing a social control mechanism so that it can deny and negate domestic constitutional rights, in particular [Section 35 of the Constitution Act, 1982](#) which recognizes and affirms aboriginal and treaty rights.

If prominent indigenous activists such as [Clayton Thomas Muller](#) – who is currently being surveilled by police agencies — are fraudulently labelled as “terrorists”, then he and other freedom-loving Canadians can be further controlled and oppressed by police state legislation.

Finally, the government also wants to advance its support for the ongoing US-NATO war in Iraq and elsewhere, ostensibly to combat ISIS/ISIL/IS.

It is very unlikely that Michael Zebaf-Bibeau acted alone. Prof. John McMurtry, author of *The Cancer Stage of Capitalism From Crisis to Cure* explains in [“Canada: Decoding Harper’s Terror Game. Beneath the Masks and Diversions”](#) describes Zebaf-Bibeau as a “ clinically insane, drug-addicted petty criminal living in a homeless shelter in Ottawa who had warned a judge in front of the police back in 2011:” “If you can’t keep me in, I’m going to do something”. Furthermore, McMurtry wonders, “Who could have been a better tool for the events to come?”

The notion that Zebaf-Bibeau was being exploited or set up by a handler/manager/police-informer is further reinforced by observations of investigative reporter Amy MacPherson who credibly claims in [“Government Envisages Anti-Constitutional Surveillance Law During Ottawa Shooting”](#) that U.S authorities had advance information. She wonders “... how American intelligence knew the name of a ‘possible terrorist’ as the mayhem was still unfolding. How did Americans know when Canadians didn’t, and how was this information so widespread that American media and Google had access to distribute, but domestic reporters on the scene did not?”

The theory that U.S agencies were somehow implicated in the tragedy is further reinforced

by the fact that Operation Determined Dragon, a joint Canada/U.S counter-terrorism drill, was executed from 20 to 29 October 2014, so the murder of Cpl. Nathan Cirillo coincided with the time that [Determined Dragon](#) was being executed.

Yet the Canadian government frames this tragedy as rooted in Islamic terrorism rather than the more credible alternative: that a homeless drug addict could have been used as a tool to further an already finalized agenda to participate in an illegal war in Iraq, and to restrict the rights and freedoms of all Canadians.

Not surprisingly, the passing of the anti-constitutional surveillance law – [Bill C-13](#), had also been [expedited](#).

While we may never get all the answers, it is unfortunate that this tragedy is being exploited to advance illegal war, and police state agendas.

Instead of spending our tax dollars on War Inc. and domestic surveillance, Canada -- and the world -- would be better served if those funds were redirected to improvements in mental health care services, and [housing for the homeless](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Mark Taliano](#), Global Research, 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mark Taliano](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca