

Can We Stop America's Surveillance State?

By Danny Schechter Global Research, May 17, 2014 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Police State & Civil</u> <u>Rights</u>

With the publication of Glenn Greenwald's new book on Edward Snowden and the NSA, the state surveillance issue is back in full force as if it never went a way.

Purloined formerly top-secret NSA documents are now there for the downloading, even as the calls for truth and privacy buttressed by irrefutable information, has run up against the institutional armor of the surveillance state that has little respect for public opinion or calls for "reform."

Every day, there are new stories showing duplicity in high places and revealing the existence of new tracking technologies and forced and voluntary collusion between the secret agency and its many "partners" in the private sector. PBS Frontline is out with one more expose.

Just as the publication of the Pentagon Papers in 1971 did not end the Vietnam War, the leaks from a world of questionable 'intelligence" has only made our Spymasters more determined.

There was four more years of carnage after Daniel Ellsberg dropped the hidden history of our intervention in Vietnam showing how officials knew the truth even as they fed the public a litany of lies to keep a profitable if murderous enterprise going.

The charade was finally ended by the Vietnamese liberation army 39 years ago this month, but the NSA and handsomely financed partners in the self-styled "Intelligence community" will go on and on until someone stops them, and their spying, and that someone is hard to identify given the way the agencies seem to have the goods on the government as well as the rest of us.

There is no American liberation army with the clout to shut them down.

I spoke with retired CIA veteran Ray McGovern for a TV series I am producing about how government spying intimidates people in government. He told me:

"Everybody is afraid. It's not just the journalists, it's people like Barack Obama, it's people like Diane Feinstein-think about what the NSA has on Diane Feinstein and her husband, who has made billions from Defense, and post office, and all kinds of nice cozy contracts, okay? This goes back to J. Edgar Hoover..."

So far, all the noise and media condemnations have not led to meaningful reforms.or legal restraints on the NSA's electronic octopus. Jameel Jaffer writes in the Guardian about law

suits against the NSA that were thrown out of Court:

"What's surprising – even remarkable – is what the government says on the way to its conclusion. It says, in essence, that the Constitution is utterly indifferent to the NSA's large-scale surveillance of Americans' international telephone calls and emails:

"The privacy rights of US persons in international communications are significantly diminished, if not completely eliminated, when those communications have been transmitted to or obtained from non-US persons located outside the United States.

That phrase – "if not completely eliminated" – is unusually revealing. Think of it as the Justice Department's twin to the NSA's <u>"collect it all."</u>

Leave it to the outspoken Chinese Artist AiWei Wei who has been spied on and jailed in China to recognize the similarities between pervasive Chinese surveillance and the US imitation of it. He writes: "Civilisation is built on that trust and everyone must fight to defend it, and to protect our vulnerable aspects – our inner feelings, our families. We must not hand over our rights to other people. No state power should be given that kind of trust. Not China. Not the US."

Easier said than done. As we focus on the government role in spying, we seem to be ignoring the commercial aspects of wiretapping and eavesdropping.

American corporations are not just cooperating with the NSA but competing with it. And, not just with Google cars photographing every street in the world.

Just ask Donald Sterling, formerly of the LA Clippers Owner and jerk as he may be, about what non-government spying did it to him. Who has been prosecuted in that eavesdropping incident?

I spoke to Sam Antar who was wiretapped by the government as part of an investigation into illegal practices by the Crazy Eddy electronics chain years ago and who became a convicted felon. He says that spying has become a profitable business, that is bigger and even more insidious than the NSA.

I told Antar. "You made a point before about how a lot of the spying is not ideological. It's almost like a technology itself. It's almost like a business with no particular political goals, but, you know, it gets funding, it gets support. People are afraid of what they don't know so they justify it.

"That's entirely correct. My point is, is this: "It's not a left wing issue. It's not a right wing issue per se. It, it goes on everywhere in this world. People want to know about what they don't know about.

And spying agencies play to that.

Yes

So where are we? We know more than ever, and they know we know it—but that hasn't stopped the government to try to shut down all debate on the issue. A new executive order this month ordered all government employees not to publicly discuss classified information,

even if it has appeared in reputable media outlets.

And Congress? Can we expect politicians allegedly providing oversight on overreach to enact effective reforms. Not so far, writes Jameel Jaffer who tells us to be very, very wary:

"While the current version of the reform bill, the USA Freedom Act, would make some <u>necessarychanges</u> to a handful of surveillance laws, it would not narrow the surveillance powers granted by the 2008 law. Nor would it narrow the surveillance powers the NSA derives from the presidential directive that regulates the NSA's surveillance activities outside the United States.

Reform is urgently necessary, and years overdue, but this imperfect legislation would leave some of the government's most sweeping authorities intact – and to a large extent it would leave the privacy rights, of Americans and non-Americans alike, to the mercy of the NSA."

And that's where we are, in a sense , where we have always been, on the receiving end of government abuse.

CIA veteran Ray McGovern says let's hope there are more Snowdens in the wings, "Now, if you only have 1 out of 100 or maybe even out of 1,000...technically proficient people like this, that's all you need to do what Edward Snowden did.

The governments cannot operate without these very bright people-a lot of these bright people bring consciousness to their expertise, as long as that's the case, and that will continue to be the case, the governments will not be able to get away with this kind of thing.

So, that's the good news-bad news of course is that they'll keep trying, and as I said before, with the Executive, Legislative, and Judicial branches all kind of complicit in this...well, and then you have the media, and the corporations and all that-it looks very much like the classic definition that Mussolini gave to Fascism."

News Dissector Danny Schechter edits Mediachannel.org and blogs at Newdissector.net. He is producing a TV documentary series on America's surveillance state. Comments to dissector@mediachannel.org.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Danny Schechter</u>, Global Research, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Danny Schechter

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca