

# California Now Wants to be First State to Mandate Adult Vaccines - Criminal Penalties for those Who Refuse

By [Health Impact News](#)

Global Research, August 12, 2015  
[Vaccine Impact](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

Is California becoming a medical police state?

Health Impact News Editor Comments

In a brazen act of medical tyranny, California recently became the [first state in the U.S. where lawmakers removed religious exemptions to those opposing vaccines for their children](#). The bill now signed into law, SB277, faces legal hurdles in court next.

Now, legislators in California want to pass the “first US adult vaccine mandate with NO personal exemptions and CRIMINAL penalties for failure to comply.” SB 792, would eliminate an adult’s right to exempt themselves from one, some, or all vaccines, a risk-laden medical procedure.

Will California soon become a medical police state?

URGENT ACTION ALERT Monday July 13, 2015

SB792- The first US adult vaccine mandate with NO personal exemptions (only medical exemptions approved by a doctor and defined by the bill) and CRIMINAL penalties for failure to comply will be heard in the California Assembly Human Services Committee this Tuesday July 14th at 1:30 in Room 437.

SB 792 would eliminate an adult’s right to exempt themselves from one, some, or all vaccines, a risk-laden medical procedure. This bill would make California the first state to require mandated vaccinations for all childcare workers, including all private and public school early childhood education programs (Headstart, Private preK and preschools), family daycares, and daycare centers.

Commencing September 1, 2016, a person shall not be employed at a family day care home if he or she has not been immunized against influenza, pertussis, and measles. An employee shall receive an influenza vaccination between August 1 and December 1 of each year.

This bill eliminates medical autonomy, crushes religious freedom, undermines personal freedom, and burdens quality providers with a non-optional series of medical interventions in the form of mandated vaccines that are not even 100% effective.

Bill SB 792 excerpt:

This bill, commencing September 1, 2016, would prohibit a day care center or a family day care home from employing any person who has not been immunized in accordance with the schedule for routine adult immunizations, prescribed by the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The bill would specify circumstances under which a person would be exempt from the immunization requirement, based on medical safety and current immunity, as specified. The bill would make conforming changes to provisions that set forth qualifications for day care center teachers and applicants for licensure as a family day care center. Because the bill would extend the application of a crime under the act, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

Read the [entire bill here](#).

CALL - FAX - EMAIL - TWEET — URGE a NO VOTE TODAY

Assembly Human Services Committee: <http://ahum.assembly.ca.gov/hearings>  
(916) 319-2089 - Fax (916) 319-2189

Kansen Chu (Chair) (D) - 25 (Abstain on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 5175, Sacramento, CA 94249-0025  
(916) 319-2025 Fax: (916) 319-2125

Chad Mayes (Vice Chair) (R) - 42 (No on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 4144, Sacramento, CA 94249-0042  
(916) 319-2042 Fax: (916) 319-2142

Ian C. Calderon (D) - 57 (Yes on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 2148, Sacramento, CA 94249-0057;  
(916) 319-2057 Fax: (916) 319-2157

Patty López (D) - 39 (No on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 4126, Sacramento, CA 94249-0039  
(916) 319-2039 Fax: (916) 319-2139

Brian Maienschein (R) - 77 (No on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 4139, Sacramento, CA 94249-0077  
(916) 319-2077 Fax: (916) 319-2177

Mark Stone (D) - 29 (Yes on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 5155, Sacramento, CA 94249-0029  
(916) 319-2029 Fax: (916) 319-2129

Tony Thurmond (D) - 15 (Yes on 277)  
P.O. Box 942849, Room 5150, Sacramento, CA 94249-0015  
(916) 319-2015 Fax: (916) 319-2115

EMAIL:  
Myesha.Jackson@asm.ca.gov,  
assemblymember.Chu@assembly.ca.gov,

assemblymember.Mayes@assembly.ca.gov,  
assemblymember.Calderon@assembly.ca.gov,  
assemblymember.Lopez@assembly.ca.gov,  
assemblymember.Maienschein@assembly.ca.gov  
assemblymember.Stone@assembly.ca.gov,  
assemblymember.Thurmond@assembly.ca.gov

TWEET:

@MrTonyMendoza  
@TonyThurmond  
@AsmMarkStone  
@BMaienschein  
@ChadMayesCA  
@kansenchu  
@PattyLopez\_D\_39  
@IanCalderon  
@IanAD57

Also contact YOUR Assembly Member to urge a NO  
VOTE! <http://findyourrep.legislature.ca.gov/>

SB792: Assembly Health Committee Hearing, June 30, 2015

### Medical Doctors Opposed to Forced Vaccinations – Should Their Views be Silenced?

One of the biggest myths being propagated in the compliant mainstream media today is that doctors are either pro-vaccine or anti-vaccine, and that the anti-vaccine doctors are all “quacks.”

However, nothing could be further from the truth in the vaccine debate. Doctors are not unified at all on their positions regarding “the science” of vaccines, nor are they unified in the position of removing informed consent to a medical procedure like vaccines.

The two most extreme positions are those doctors who are 100% against vaccines and do not administer them at all, and those doctors that believe that ALL vaccines are safe and effective for ALL people, ALL the time, by force if necessary.

Medical Doctors Opposed  
to Forced Vaccinations  
*Should Their Views be Silenced?*



Very few doctors fall into either of these two extremist positions, and yet it is the extreme pro-vaccine position that is presented by the U.S. Government and mainstream media as being the dominant position of the medical field.

In between these two extreme views, however, is where the vast majority of doctors practicing today would probably categorize their position. Many doctors who consider themselves “pro-vaccine,” for example, do not believe that every single vaccine is appropriate for every single individual.

Many doctors recommend a “delayed” vaccine schedule for some patients, and not always the recommended one-size-fits-all CDC childhood schedule. Other doctors choose to recommend vaccines based on the actual science and merit of each vaccine, recommending some, while determining that others are not worth the risk for children, such as the suspect seasonal flu shot.

These doctors who do not hold extreme positions would be opposed to government-mandated vaccinations and the removal of all parental exemptions.

In this eBook, I am going to summarize the many doctors today who do not take the most extremist pro-vaccine position, which is probably not held by very many doctors at all, in spite of what the pharmaceutical industry, the federal government, and the mainstream media would like the public to believe.

The original source of this article is [Vaccine Impact](#)  
Copyright © [Health Impact News](#), [Vaccine Impact](#), 2015

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Health Impact News](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)