

California City Designates Itself 'Sanctuary City' Against COVID Mandates

Oroville declares itself a 'Constitutional Republic City'.

By Leslie Eastman

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Law and Justice

Global Research, November 18, 2021

Legal Insurrection 17 November 2021

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg_globalresearch.

The last time we discussed the <u>California city of Oroville</u>, we were reporting on the potential for its dam to catastrophically break.

In part, the dam experienced maintenance problems because infrastructure projects that actually involve infrastructure don't get funded as they should.

Now the city is back in the news, <u>taking on Sacramento</u> and trying to counter its questionable policies.

A city council in California overwhelmingly voted to declare the city a "Constitutional Republic City" to protect its citizens' rights in light of federal and state mandates.

"What we are doing is protecting our citizens' rights as much as we can on the local level," Oroville Vice Mayor Scott Thomson told Fox News Digital.

"In a way, we are acting as a sanctuary city for our citizens and their rights and freedoms protected by the U.S. and state constitutions," he added. "Gavin Newsom modeled this type of declaration for us when he declared San Francisco a sanctuary city for what he believed to be overreach by the federal government against his citizens."

Turnabout is fair play: Oroville is using the progressive approach to "Sanctuary City" for illegal immigrants and turning it around to serve Californians.

"It's just basically drawing the line," Thompson said, according to the report. "It's not necessarily against one specific mandate, we're not talking about one mandate that's been pushing on us recently it's a barrage of mandates."

"I think it's time for us to draw a line in the sand," he added, according to CBS 13. "Enough is enough."

Thompson previously told ABC 7 in an email:

"this has to do with the large amount of mandates that are affecting every aspect of our lives and our kids' lives. The American culture and way of life is being challenged at its very core and perverted by radicalized politicians who have forgotten that, as a republic, the power belongs to the people."

According to the CBS 13 report, the resolution aims to allow the city to opt-out of enforcing "any executive orders issued by the state of California or by the United States federal government that are overreaching or clearly violate our constitutionally protected rights."

Councilmember Janet Goodson mentioned the possible impact on finances, saying Oroville has received about 4.8 million in COVID funding over the past two years. But her <u>concerns</u> were <u>addressed</u> by the city attorney.

The resolution is not a policy, nor does it change the city's ordinance. It can be modified or amended at any time, therefore city attorney Scott Huber says, "As a result, there is no risk of loss of funding with it."

An example he used was when cities passed resolutions declaring themselves 'sanctuary cities' violating federal law.

The courts ruled specific actions would need to be taken to lose funding.

"I am quite certain that this would not result in any loss of funding for the city. In the event that it could in the future you could revise this and do what you will but this is not going to put it jeopardy any state or federal funding."

I sure hope that Oroville's dam against senseless mandates is strong and effective.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is a screenshot from the video

The original source of this article is <u>Legal Insurrection</u> Copyright © <u>Leslie Eastman</u>, <u>Legal Insurrection</u>, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Leslie Eastman

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca