

British Bombs Are Being Dropped from Saudi Jets onto Yemeni Schools, Mosques and Hospitals, Court Hears

Campaign Against Arms Trade challenges Sajid Javid's decision not to suspend bomb sales to Saudi Arabia in the Court of Appeal

By **Phil Miller**

Global Research, April 11, 2019

Morning Star 9 April 2019

Region: Europe, Middle East & North Africa

Theme: Law and Justice, Militarization and

WMD

A Yemeni city the size of Cambridge had just hours to evacuate before it was pummelled by Saudi war planes, the Court of Appeal in London heard today.

A panel of judges is reviewing a decision made by Sajid Javid not to suspend British bomb sales for Saudi Arabia's war in Yemen.

The Tory high-flier was business secretary when he allowed the arms exports to keep flowing, despite his head of export control warning that "my gut tells me we should suspend."

Campaign Against Arms Trade (CAAT) challenged Mr Javid's decision unsuccessfully at the High Court in 2017 but later lodged an appeal.

The group said an "enormous" quantity of British bombs is being dropped from Saudi jets onto Yemeni schools, mosques and hospitals as a result of Mr Javid giving a green light to the arms industry.

CAAT's lawyer Martin Chamberlain QC said entire cities have been targeted by indiscriminate Saudi-led air strikes.

In the case of Sa'ada, a city with around 100,000 residents, Mr Chamberlain said:

"Leaflets were dropped one or two hours before the bombardment started, but a large proportion of that town were illiterate — they couldn't read the leaflets.

"There was an announcement on radio some four or five hours before — but what were they to do?

"Many had no petrol — and they were being told the whole city was a target."

More than 200 strikes on the city swiftly followed.

"To declare an entire city the size of Cambridge to be a military target is the most flagrant breach of international humanitarian law one can imagine," he

said.

"It's like saying Cambridge is a military target — the whole of it.

"What are civilians to do?"

He also highlighted a missile strike on a Medecins Sans Frontieres hospital in Yemen, which killed an aid worker, and the Great Hall incident in capital Sana'a which was a "double tap attack" with missiles "fired at first responders who went to the aid of those injured in the first attack."

He then lambasted the government, asking:

"What did the Secretary of State think about that? We have no idea."

The appellant claims that government ministers have not "bothered" to rationally assess the impact of Saudi air strikes on Yemen when considering whether there was a "clear risk" that British bombs could be used to commit war crimes — the threshold required to halt arms deals.

CAAT is bringing the challenge against the Department for International Trade, which now oversees arms export licences.

Oxfam, Human Rights Watch and Amnesty International are among other parties intervening in the case, which is scheduled to run for three days.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image: Campaigners outside the Royal Courts of Justice, London ahead of a three-day legal challenge over the British government's exports of arms to Saudi Arabia, today (April 9)

The original source of this article is Morning Star Copyright © Phil Miller, Morning Star, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Phil Miller

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca