

Breathtaking U.S. Hypocrisy on Chemical Weapons

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, September 04, 2013

Washington's Blog

Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, Militarization and WMD

The U.S. has Repeatedly Violated the "Red Line" on Chemical Weapons

The <u>U.S. encouraged</u> Saddam Hussein's use of chemical weapons against Iran ... which was the *largest* use of chemical weapons *in history*. While the number of people killed in the August 21st chemical weapons attack has been estimated at <u>between 350 and 1,429</u>, <u>20,000 Iranians and 5,000 Kurds</u> were killed by Saddam's chemical weapons attacks with <u>full U.S. support and backing</u>.

The U.S. sprayed nearly 20,000,000 gallons of material containing chemical herbicides and defoliants mixed with jet fuel in Vietnam, eastern Laos and parts of Cambodia. Vietnam estimates 400,000 people were killed or maimed, and 500,000 children born with birth defects as a result of its use. The Red Cross of Vietnam estimates that up to 1 million people are disabled or have health problems due to Agent Orange.

The United States has used chemical weapons in the last 10 years.

The U.S. <u>agreed</u> pursuant to the international Chemical Weapons Convention to destroy its chemical weapons stockpiles by April 2007. It received several extensions. The <u>final extension expired in April 2012</u>. The U.S. <u>failed to comply with the deadline</u>. (Syria was never a signatory to the treaty.)

Given the above, does the U.S. have the moral or legal standing to accuse Syria of violating a "red line" on chemical weapons?

Notes: U.S. military action in Libya and Syria have also caused chemical weapons to <u>fall into</u> <u>the hands of Al Qaeda</u> ... and Al Qaeda has in fact <u>used those weapons</u>.

Britain has also used chemical weapons <u>within the past 10 years</u>, and has been caught allowing its companies to <u>sell nerve gas chemical weapons</u> to Syria for years.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca