

## **BP Deal: Path to a 'Cleaner Gulf'-or Guaranteed Future Disasters?**

By Janine Jackson

Global Research, July 10, 2015

**FAIR** 9 July 2015

Theme: Environment, Oil and Energy

The New York Times' depiction of the results of "careless behavior by the oil companies." (photo: Richard Perry/NYT)

"BP Deal Will Lead to a Cleaner Gulf" is the headline the New York Times puts over a <u>July 8</u> <u>editorial</u> that, in its tone and substance, makes a pretty good illustration of why it almost assuredly won't.

The jig is up, really, with the Times' first words: "Though no amount of money can ever compensate for the staggering damage caused by the 2010 BP oil spill...." Let's just pause a moment and travel to an alternate universe in which that sentence begins with a "since" instead of a "though," and goes on to ask whether that industry is worth maintaining, given the danger it poses to the planet.

Meanwhile, back on Earth, the story continues:

...last week's provisional \$18.7 billion settlement among five states, the federal government and the company will help make amends for one of the worst environmental disasters in American history.

The Times wants us to know that "even before this settlement, BP's costs have not been trivial." Those "costs" being, you know, penalties paid for committing crimes. "When all is said and done," the paper says, "the company estimates [and why not trust them?] it will have paid nearly \$54 billion, shedding major assets to pay the tab." (The "tab," again, being not for beer, but penalties for committing crimes of a "staggering" nature.) "If that isn't a deterrent to careless behavior by the oil companies, it's hard to know what is."

Here would be a great place to note BP's own assessment of these purportedly behavior-changing penalties: Chief financial officer, Brian Gilvary, said in a press release (cited by Antonia Juhasz in Rolling Stone), "The impact of the settlement on our balance sheet and cashflow will be manageable." You can almost feel the deterrence, can't you?

Nowhere does the Times evince awareness of federal Judge Carl Barbier's ruling (Extra!, 11/14), which implicated not just BP, but also Halliburton and by extension the entire offshore drilling industry, and blamed the Deepwater Horizon disaster not on an unforeseeable accident but on business as usual.

Instead, we get the rousing notion that the settlement gives the Gulf's ecosystem a "real shot at renewal." So maybe a more fitting headline would be: "Guaranteed Future Disasters

the Best We Can Hope For"?

Janine Jackson is FAIR's program director and the host of CounterSpin.

You can send a message to the New York Times at <u>letters@nytimes.com</u>, or to public editor Margaret Sullivan at <u>public@nytimes.com</u> (Twitter:<u>@NYTimes</u> or <u>@Sulliview</u>). Please remember that respectful communication is the most effective.

The original source of this article is <u>FAIR</u> Copyright © <u>Janine Jackson</u>, <u>FAIR</u>, 2015

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Janine Jackson

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>