

Border Patrol International: "The American Homeland Is the Planet"

By <u>Todd Miller</u> Global Research, November 19, 2013 <u>TomDispatch</u> Region: Latin America & Caribbean Theme: Police State & Civil Rights

It isn't exactly the towering 20-foot wall that runs like a scar through significant parts of the U.S.-Mexican borderlands. Imagine instead the sort of metal police barricades you see at protests. These are unevenly lined up like so many crooked teeth on the Dominican Republic's side of the river that acts as its border with Haiti. Like dazed versions of U.S. Border Patrol agents, the armed Dominican border guards sit at their assigned posts, staring at the opposite shore. There, on Haitian territory, children splash in the water and women wash clothes on rocks.

One of those CESFRONT (Specialized Border Security Corps) guards, carrying an assault rifle, is walking six young Haitian men back to the main base in Dajabon, which is painted desert camouflage as if it were in a Middle Eastern war zone.

If the scene looks like a five-and-dime version of what happens on the U.S. southern border, that's because it is. The enforcement model the Dominican Republic uses to police its boundary with Haiti is an import from the United States.

CESFRONT itself is, in fact, an outgrowth of a U.S. effort to promote "strong borders" abroad as part of its Global War on Terror. So U.S. Consul-General Michael Schimmel told a group from the Columbia Law School Human Rights Clinic in the Dominican Republic back in 2008, according to an internal report written by the law students along with the Dominican immigrant solidarity organization Solidaridad Fronteriza. The U.S. military, he added, was training the Dominican border patrol in "professionalism."

Schimmel was explaining an overlooked manifestation of U.S. imperial policy in the post-9/11 era. Militarized borders are becoming ever more common throughout the world, especially in areas of U.S. influence.

CESFRONT's Dajabon commander is Colonel Juan de Jesus Cruz, a stout, Napoleonic figure with a booming voice. Watching the colonel interact with those detained Haitian teenagers was my first brush with how Washington's "strong borders" abroad policy plays out on the ground. The CESFRONT base in Dajabon is located near the Massacre River that divides the two countries. Its name is a grim reminder of a time in 1937 when Dominican forces slaughtered an estimated 20,000 Haitians in what has been <u>called</u> the "twentieth century's least-remembered act of genocide." That act ensured the imposition of a 227-mile boundary between the two countries that share the same island.

As rain falls and the sky growls, Cruz points to the drenched young Haitians and says a single word, *"ilegales,"* his index finger hovering in the air. The word "illegals" doesn't settle well with one of the teenagers, who glares at the colonel and replies defiantly, "We

have come because of hunger."

His claim is corroborated by every <u>report</u> about conditions in Haiti, but the colonel responds, "You have resources there," with the spirit of a man who relishes a debate.

The teenager, who will undoubtedly soon be expelled from the Dominican Republic like so many other Haitians (including, these days, people of Haitian descent <u>born</u>in the country), gives the colonel a withering look. He's clearly boiling inside. "There's hunger in Haiti. There's poverty in Haiti. There is no way the colonel could not see that," he tells Cruz. "You are right on the border."

This tense, uneasy, and commonplace interaction is one of countless numbers of similar moments spanning continents from Latin America and Africa to the Middle East and Asia. On one side, a man in a uniform with a gun and the authority to detain, deport, or sometimes even kill; on the other, people with the most fundamental of unmet needs and without the proper documentation to cross an international boundary. Such people, uprooted, in flight, in pain, in desperate straits, are today ever more commonly dismissed, if they're lucky, as the equivalent of criminals, or if they aren't so lucky, labeled "terrorists" and treated accordingly.

In a seminal <u>article</u> "Where's the U.S. Border?," Michael Flynn, founder of the Global Detention Project, described the expansion of U.S. "border enforcement" to the planet in the context of the Global War on Terror as essentially a new way of defining national sovereignty. "U.S. border control efforts," he argued, "have undergone a dramatic metamorphosis in recent years as the United States has attempted to implement practices aimed at stopping migrants long before they reach U.S. shores."

In this way, borders are, in a sense, being both built up and torn down. Just as with the drones that, from Pakistan to Somalia, the White House <u>sends</u> across national boundaries to execute those it has identified as our enemies, so with border patrolling: definitions of U.S. national "sovereignty," including where our own borders end and where our version of "national" defense stretches are becoming ever more malleable. As Flynn wrote, although "the U.S. border has been hardened in a number of ways — most dramatically by building actual walls — it is misleading to think that the country's efforts stop there. Rather the U.S. border in an age dominated by a global war on terrorism and the effects of economic globalization has become a flexible point of contention."

In other words, "hard" as actual U.S. borders are becoming, what might be called our global, or perhaps even virtual, borders are growing ever more pliable and ever more expansive extending not only to places like the Dominican Republic, but to the edges of our vast military-surveillance grid, into cyberspace, and via spinning satellites and other spying systems, into space itself.

Back in 2004, a single <u>sentence</u> in the 9/11 commission report caught this changing mood succinctly: "9/11 has taught us that terrorism against American interests 'over there' should be regarded just as we regard terrorism against Americans 'over here.' In this same sense the American homeland is the planet."

New World Border

Washington's response to the 2010 Haitian earthquake provides one example of how quickly

a mobile U.S. border and associated fears of massive immigration or unrest can be brought into play.

In the first days after that disaster, a U.S. Air Force cargo plane circled parts of the island for five hours repeatedly <u>broadcasting</u> in Creole the prerecorded voice of Raymond Joseph, Haiti's ambassador to the United States.

"Listen, don't rush [to the United States] on boats to leave the country," he said. "If you do that, we'll all have even worse problems. Because I'll be honest with you: if you think you will reach the U.S. and all the doors will be wide open to you, that's not at all the case. And they will intercept you right on the water and send you back home where you came from."

That disembodied voice from the heavens was addressing Haitians still stunned in the wake of an earthquake that had killed up to 316,000 people and left an additional one million homeless. State Department Deputy Spokesman Gordon Duguid <u>explained</u> the daily flights to CNN this way: "We are sending public service messages... to save lives." Meanwhile, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) quickly dispatched 16 Coast Guard cutters to patrol Haitian waters, blocking people from leaving their devastated island. DHS authorities also cleared space in a 600-bed immigration detention center in Miami, and at the <u>for-</u> profitGuantanamo Bay Migrations Operation Center (run by the GEO Group) at the infamous U.S. base in Cuba.

In other words, the U.S. border is no longer static and "homeland security" no longer stays in the homeland: it's mobile, it's rapid, and it's international.

Maybe this is why, last March, when I asked the young salesmen from <u>L-3 Communications</u>, a surveillance technology company, at the <u>Border Security Expo</u> in Phoenix if they were worried about the sequester — Congress's across-the-board budget cuts that have taken dollars away from the Pentagon and the Department of Homeland Security — one of them simply shrugged. "There's the international market," he said as if this were almost too obvious to mention.

He was standing in front of a black globular glass eye of a camera they were peddling to security types. It was draped with desert camouflage, as if we were out in the Arizona borderlands, while all around us you could feel the energy, the synergy, of an emerging border-industrial complex. Everywhere you looked government officials, Border Patrol types, and the representatives of private industry were meeting and dealing in front of hundreds of booths under the high ceilings of the convention center.

On the internationalization of border security, he wasn't exaggerating. At least 14 other countries ranging from Israel to Russia were present, their representatives browsing products ranging from miniature drones to Glock handguns. And behind the bustle of that event lay estimates that the global market for homeland security and emergency management will reach \$544 billion annually by 2018. "The threat of cross-border terrorism, cyber-crime, piracy, drug trade, human trafficking, internal dissent, separatist movements has been a driving factor for the homeland security market," the market research company MarketsandMarkets reported, based on a study of high-profit security markets in North America, Europe, and Asia.

This booming business thrives off the creation of new border patrols globally. The Dominican Republic's CESFRONT, for instance, did not exist before 2006. That year, according

to *Dominican Today*, a group of "U.S. experts" <u>reported</u> that there were "a series of weaknesses that will lead to all kinds of illicit activities" on the Haitian-Dominican border. The U.S. team recommended that "there should be helicopters deployed in the region and [that] there be a creation of a Border Guard." A month after their report appeared, that country, by Dominican presidential decree, had its own border patrol.

By 2009, the new force had already received training, funding, and resources from a number of U.S. agencies, <u>including</u> the Border Patrol itself. Somehow, it seems that what the U.S. consulate calls "strong borders" between the Dominican Republic and the hemisphere's poorest country has become an integral part of a terror-obsessed world.

When I met with Colonel Orlando Jerez, a CESFRONT commander, in the border guard agency's headquarters in the Dominican capital Santo Domingo, I noticed that on his desk he had a U.S. Border Patrol model car, a replica of the one that agency <u>sponsored</u> on the NASCAR circuit from 2006 to 2008 in an attempt to recruit new agents. Along the side of the shiny box that held it was this mission statement: "We are the guardians of the nation's borders, we are America's frontlines."

When I asked Jerez whether CESFRONT had a relationship with our Border Patrol, he replied without a second's hesitation, "Of course, they have an office in the U.S. embassy."

Jerez is not alone. Washington's global boundary-building, its promotion of those strong borders, and its urge to preempt "terrorism against American interests 'over there,'" as the 9/11 commission report put it, are spreading fast. For example, the Central American Regional Security Initiative, a \$496 million U.S. counter-drug plan launched in 2008, identifies "border security deficiencies" among Central American countries as a key problem to be dealt with ASAP. So the U.S. Border Patrol has gone to Guatemala and Honduras to help train new units of border guards.

As in Central America, border patrolling's most vibrant markets are in places that Washington sees as far too chaotic, yet where its economic and political interests reside. For six years now, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) has sent its agents, <u>clad</u> in brown jumpsuits, to Iraq's borderlands to assist that government in the creation of a force to police its "porous" borders (where chaos has indeed been endemic since the 2003 U.S. invasion and subsequent occupation of the country). U.S. boundary-building efforts began there in 2004 with an operation labeled<u>"Phantom Linebacker"</u> in which 15,000 border guards were trained to patrol in — as the name of the operation indicates — the spirit of American football.

In 2012, agent Adrian Long told *Frontline*, the CBP's in-house magazine, that his agency trains Iraqis "in Border Patrol techniques like cutting sign, doing drags, setting up checkpoints and patrols." Long was repeating the same lingo so often heard on the U.S.-Mexican border, where agents "cut sign" to track people by their trail marks and do "drags" to smooth out dirt roads so they can more easily see the footprints of any "border intruders." In Afghanistan, Border Patrol agents are similarly training forces to police that country's 3,436 miles of frontiers. In 2012, during one training session, an Afghan policeman even turned his gun on two CBP agents in an "insider attack," killing them and seriously injuring a third.

Around soccer's World Cup, which South Africa hosted in 2010, CBP assisted that government in creating a Customs and Border Control Unit tasked with "securing South

Africa's borders while facilitating the movement of goods and people," <u>according</u> to CBP's Africa and Middle East branch country manager for South Africa Tasha Reid Hippolyte. South Africa has even brought its <u>military special forces</u> into the border patrolling process. Near the Zimbabwean border, its militarized guards were using a triple barrier of razor wire and electric fencing that can be set to offer shocks ranging from mild to deadly in their efforts to stop border crossers. Such equipment had not been used in that country since the apartheid-era.

In many cases, the U.S. is also training border forces in the use of sophisticated surveillance systems, drones, and the construction of fences and barriers of various kinds, largely in attempts to clamp down on the movement of people between poorer and richer countries. More than 15,000 foreign participants in more than 100 countries have <u>taken part</u> in CBP training sessions since October 2002. It is little wonder, then, that an L-3 Communications sales rep would shrug off the constraints of a shrinking domestic national security budget.

Meanwhile, U.S. borders are functionally being stretched in all sorts of complex ways, even across the waters. As Michael Schmidt <u>wrote</u> in the *New York Times*in 2012, for example, "An ocean away from the United States, travelers flying out of the international airport here on the west coast of Ireland are confronting one of the newest lines of defense in the war on terrorism: the United States border." There, at Shannon International Airport, Department of Homeland Security officials set up the equivalent of a prescreening border checkpoint for air travelers.

Whether it is in your airports or, as in Haiti's case, in the international waters around your country, the U.S. border is on its way to scrutinize you, to make sure that you are not a threat to the "homeland." If you don't meet Washington's criteria for whatever reason, you will be stopped, forcibly if necessary, from entering the United States, or even in many cases from travelling anywhere at all.

CBP attachés are now detailed to U.S. embassies in Brazil, Mexico, Kenya, South Africa, Italy, and Canada, among many other countries. According to an agency publication, *Customs and Border Protection Today*, they have been <u>tasked</u> with the mission of keeping "terrorists and their weapons from our shores," as well as providing technical assistance, "fostering secure trade practices, and strengthening border authority principles." The anonymous writer then typically, if floridly, describes "our country's border" as "the armor of the body politic; it protects the systems and infrastructures that function within. Knives pierce armor and can jeopardize the body — so we sheath them; keep them at bay; and demand accountability from those who use them."

As CBP Commissioner Robert Bonner <u>put it</u> in 2004, the U.S. is "extending our zone of security, where we can do so, beyond our physical borders — so that American borders are the last line of defense, not the first line of defense."

Perhaps this is why few here batted an eye when, in 2012, Assistant Secretary of International Affairs and Chief Diplomatic Officer for the Department of Homeland Security Alan Bersin flatly <u>declared</u>, "The Guatemalan border with Chiapas is now our southern border."

On the Edge of Empire

As dusk falls and the rainstorm ends, I walk along the river's edge where those Dominican

border patrol agents are still sitting, staring into Haiti. Considering that U.S. forces occupied the Dominican Republic and Haiti numerous times in the previous century, it's easy to imagine why Washington's border chieftains consider this sad, impoverished spot part of our "backyard." Not far from where I'm walking is the Codevi industrial free trade zone that straddles the border. There, Haitian workers churn out jeans mainly for Levi Strauss and the North American market, <u>earning</u> less than three dollars a day.

I approach one of the CESFRONT guards in his desert camouflage uniform. He's sitting with his assault rifle between his legs. He looks beyond bored — no surprise since being suspicious of people who happen to be on the other side of a border can be deadly tedious work.

Diaz, as his name patch identifies him, tells me that his shift, which runs from 6 p.m. to midnight, is normally eventless because Haitians rarely cross here. When I explain where I'm from, he wants to know what the U.S.-Mexico border looks like. I tell him about the fencing, the sensors, the cameras, and the agents everywhere you look. I ask if he has ever met agents of the U.S. Border Patrol.

"Of course!" he says in Spanish, "there have been training sessions."

Then I ask if terrorists are crossing this border, which is the reason the U.S. consulate in Santo Domingo gives for supporting the creation of CESFRONT.

Diaz looks at me as if I'm nuts before offering an emphatic "No!"

No surprise there either. CESFRONT, like similar outfits proliferating globally, isn't really about terrorism. It's all about Haiti, one of the poorest countries on the planet. It is a response to fears of the mass movement of desperate, often hungry, people in the U.S. sphere of dominance. It is the manifestation of a new vision of global geopolitics in which human beings in need are to be corralled, their free movement criminalized, and their labor exploited.

With this in mind, the experimental border control technologies being tested along the U.S.-Mexican boundary line and the border-industrial complex that has grown up around it are heading abroad in a major way. If Congress finally passes a new multi-billion dollar borderpolicing package, its effects will be felt not only along U.S. borders, but also at the edges of its empire.

Todd Miller, a <u>TomDispatch regular</u>, has researched and written about U.S.-Mexican border issues for more than 10 years. He has worked on both sides of the border for BorderLinks in Tucson, Arizona, and Witness for Peace in Oaxaca, Mexico. He now writes on border and immigration issues for NACLA Report on the Americas and its blog "<u>Border Wars</u>," among other places. His first book, <u>Border Patrol Nation</u>, will be published in spring 2014 for the Open Media Series of City Lights Books.

Follow TomDispatch on Twitter and join us on <u>Facebook</u> or <u>Tumblr</u>. Check out the newest Dispatch Book, Ann Jones's <u>They Were Soldiers: How the Wounded Return From America's</u> <u>Wars — The Untold Story</u>.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Todd Miller

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca