

Blueprint for World Domination

The US Space Program and its Military Industrial Complex

By [Bruce Gagnon](#)

Global Research, September 10, 2004

17 June 2005

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Militarization and WMD](#)

The Canadian government will soon make a historic decision about what role it will play in the new US Star Wars plan.

The Pentagon calls it “missile defence” but a quick review of US military documents reveals a long and clear record of moving toward the weaponization of space. In a 1997 US Space Command planning document entitled ‘Vision for 2020’, the military outlined its plan to control and dominate space. “Control of space,” the blueprint noted, “is the ability to assure access to space, freedom of operations within the space medium, and an ability to deny others the use of space.” The back cover of the document features a picture of the planet surrounded by space satellites and space-based laser weapons with the words “Space...the war fighters’ edge.”

The Canadian public is being reassured that its government would not enter an agreement to collaborate on Star Wars if there was any proof that it was designed for any purpose other than “defence.” But still, in spite of the overwhelming evidence that the US “missile defence” is a far more radical and ambitious programme, the Canadian government moves ever closer toward participation.

What are the forces driving Canadian Star Wars participation? All one has to do is look at the aerospace industry in Canada to find the answer. The Bush administration launched a public relations campaign immediately after its controversial “election” in 2000 to line up Star Wars partners. Why does Washington need such recruits? The weaponization of space will be one of the largest industrial projects in the history of the planet Earth, costing so much that even the US can’t afford it by itself.

Soon after taking office, President Bush sent Defence Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, Republican Senator John McCain and Democratic Senator Joseph Lieberman to an international security conference in Munich. Lieberman told the audience of military and political leaders: “Gentlemen, we have bi-partisan support for ‘missile defence’ in the Congress.” The message was clear. If you don’t want to miss out on the expected technology and profits, then your governments had better join the Star Wars train. So far this strategy has brought the UK, Australia, Italy, and Japan on-board. Will Canada be next?

In addition to financial gain, delusions of ‘influence’ are helping to drive the train forward. Since almost all warfare is now coordinated from space, the strategic implications of sole US control of the heavens are stark indeed. Some governments, fearing this advantage, mistakenly believe that by attaching themselves to the US programme they too will gain in

power and status, winning a seat at the decision-making 'table'. NATO had this belief when it joined with the US during the 1999 Kosovo war. NATO planes flew missions over Yugoslavia dropping bombs that hit military and civilian targets. When it came time to view the satellite pictures of battlefield damage, the NATO allies found out that the US military would not share the reconnaissance information with them. The allies were furious but also helpless; the US had no intention of being a full partner when it came to space technology utilization.

On August 2, 2004 the US Air Force quietly published a new doctrine called [Counterspace Operations](#). In the Foreword to the document, General John Jumper, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, stated: "The development of offensive counterspace capabilities provides combatant commanders with new tools for counterspace operations. ... These operations may be utilized throughout the spectrum of conflict and may achieve a variety of effects from temporary denial to complete destruction of the adversary's space capability."

This grandiose vision, however, includes a number of serious blindspots. Just as proposed US Earth-based missile defence technologies are largely unproven, fantastically expensive, and can be easily and cheaply countered, so its proposed space-based weapon systems will only exacerbate the ills they seek to cure: proliferation, instability, suspicion and conflict.

The US is poised to initiate a new, deadly, and costly arms race in space, guaranteed only to make the world and particularly North America more vulnerable to devastating attack. The best thing that could happen would be that nations like Canada refuse to join the programme. Canada now has a great opportunity to stand on the side of sanity: but the window is closing fast. Across the world there is a growing grassroots movement to save space for peace. From September 25-October 2, over 100 local protest actions were held in 10 nations opposing Star Wars. In Canada more than 18 local communities held protests calling on the Martin government to step back from the rush to embrace this latest US rush to disaster.

Future generations will remember those of us who speak out now to protect the heavens from the insanity of modern warfare. Let us insist that our precious tax dollars be used to give life here on Earth rather than new forms of death in the skies.

Bruce K. Gagnon Coordinator Global Network Against Weapons & Nuclear Power in Space PO Box 652 Brunswick, ME 04011 (207) 729-0517 (207) 319-2017 (Cell phone) globalnet@mindspring.com

<http://www.space4peace.org>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Bruce Gagnon](#), Global Research, 2004

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca