

The COVID Scam and the Lockdown Files: "Biggest Scandal of the Century?" Does It Qualify as a Crime Against Humanity?

Demanding Accountability

By Marcel de Graaff MEP

Global Research, March 16, 2023

FVD International 10 March 2023

Region: <u>Europe</u>
Theme: Law and Justice

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Back in 2021, we had the revelation of emails from the **German Interior Ministry** which showed that it enlisted scientists to scare the population.

Last year it was revealed that the Covid statistics had been falsified by **Dutch Health**Minister Hugo de Jonge by inflating the numbers.

And now we have the revelation of over 100,000 WhatsApp messages from former **British Health Minister, Matt Hancock**. The British "Daily Telegraph" has put them online as "The Lockdown Files".

From all these revelations, it has been shown time and again that the coronavirus was no more deadly than other cold and flu viruses. As we know from flu and colds, these can be fatal to vulnerable, very old people. For anyone under 80, these viruses are almost never fatal. So it was with Covid-19. It was a common cold virus.

Minister Matt Hancock had a discussion with then Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, Boris Johnson, via WhatsApp about this low mortality rate. He wrote that this was a problem because it meant that vaccination targets would not be met.

The Lockdown Files also show that in addition to the vaccines, other Covid measures were mainly intended to instil fear of the virus into the population.

The revelations in Germany, the Netherlands and now especially in the United Kingdom show that the same Covid policy was deliberately followed in all these countries – policy

which had nothing to do with the nature of the coronavirus. The Lockdown Files show that no special measures were needed, given the low mortality of the virus. The British government switched from publishing mortality rates to publishing the number of infections. The entire EU then switched and reported on Covid in the same way.

Pushing through the vaccines and introducing the corresponding digital Covid pass was the real goal. For this, no means were shunned.

Unvaccinated people were not simply portrayed as irresponsible but as anti-social, dangerous enemies of the state. There were threats of incarceration and forced vaccination. Unvaccinated people were fired. People were locked in their homes via curfews. Hospitals and nursing homes prohibited partners and children from visiting their sick spouses or parents, even when they were dying.

From the beginning, there were medical experts who scientifically argued that the imposed measures were futile and warned against the vaccinations.

Doctors who protested were silenced on social media. Doctors who prescribed effective drugs were punished with heavy fines and the revocation of their license.

The Lockdown Files show that the unvaccinated and Covid-critical doctors were right – and that there was absolutely **nothing right about government policy.**

What also emerges from the Lockdown Files is the involvement of Bill Gates. Matt Hancock literally messages about Bill Gates, "He owes me one." In other words, Bill Gates owes me one because Hancock had millions of his vaccines injected.

This so-called philanthropist bought shares in Big Pharma for \$50 million and after the Covid hype was over-sold those same shares for \$500 million.

The contracts with huge sums of money signed by governments with the pharmaceutical multinationals are still not public. The correspondence between Pfizer and EU President Ursula von der Leyen is still secret. The messages between Dutch minister Hugo de Jonge and Prime Minister Mark Rutte about Covid have supposedly been erased.

It looks like the **European Commission** and **the governments of EU member states** were as aware of Covid's low mortality as the **British government**. Their policies were almost identical, the **flawed PCR test** was accepted EU-wide, the Digital Covid pass became an international travel document, even the wording was almost identical. Several countries started using the same phrases at the same time: "from neighbourhood to neighbourhood, from house to house, from arm to arm."

The measures lacked any reasonable ground yet they violated basic human rights and fundamental freedoms. Physical and mental integrity were violated without justification.

There must be government accountability for this in every state. As a Member of the European Parliament, I demand that the European Commission come to Parliament to account for this.

Therefore, I ask the President of the European Parliament urgently to put on the agenda for the next Strasbourg session a debate on the Lockdown Files with Ursula von der Leyen. We will see whether the European Parliament wants to take up its controlling task or whether it is complicit in the biggest scandal of the century.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is <u>FVD International</u> Copyright © <u>Marcel de Graaff MEP</u>, <u>FVD International</u>, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Marcel de Graaff

MEP

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca