

Biden to Blame for Ukraine's Failed Counteroffensive - John Bolton

By [Lucas Leiroz de Almeida](#)

Global Research, August 17, 2023

[InfoBrics](#) 16 August 2023

Region: [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

American experts and officials are desperately trying to "explain" the Ukrainian defeat. In a recent publication, former US security adviser [John Bolton](#) claimed that the Kiev counterattack failed due to President Joe Biden's inability to ensure adequate military assistance to the Ukrainian regime. Indeed, this type of opinion does not seem to reveal a really technical analysis, but a personal position on the part of Bolton in the current American political scenario.

Bolton [wrote](#) his analysis in an op-ed for the Wall Street Journal called "Blame Biden's Hesitancy for Stalling Ukraine's Offensive". He lamented the fact that Kiev is not succeeding in its long-awaited counterattack. According to him, Ukraine "isn't making the headway some proponents had forecast". Bolton also said that Ukrainian "inability to achieve major advances is the natural result of a US strategy aimed only at staving off Russian conquest" - instead of "vigorously working toward Ukrainian victory."

Despite focusing his criticism on the current US government, Bolton also made it clear that the entire NATO shares this responsibility. The former adviser believes that Russian nuclear deterrence power has generated a kind of "paralysis" in the West, with countries becoming unable to increase military aid to Ukraine "appropriately". For Bolton, this "fear" of Russia would be motivating the West to avoid increasing military aid, with the alliance no longer having a true commitment to Ukrainian "territorial integrity".

"Ukraine's offensive failures and Russia's defensive successes share a common cause: the slow, faltering, nonstrategic supply of military assistance by the West. The serial debates over whether to supply this or that weapons system, the perpetual fear that Russia will escalate to war against the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and occasional Kremlin nuclear saber-rattling have instilled a paralyzing caution in Western capitals. Although the UK under Boris Johnson wasn't deterred, NATO has seemed

unwilling to fulfill its commitment to restore Ukraine's full sovereignty and territorial integrity", he said.

As a "solution" to this problem, Bolton proposes a risky alternative: to ignore Russia and exponentially increase American military support to Ukraine. He said there is "no evidence" that Moscow has enough power to "threaten" NATO, which is why he does not think it is necessary to be "afraid" of the Russians. In the same sense, Bolton criticized the possibility of resuming the peace talks, rejecting any chance of a diplomatic resolution which would only benefit the Russian side. For the former advisor, the solution to the conflict will only be possible through the West's quest for Ukrainian military victory.

In addition, Bolton also advocates a revision of the sanctions policy - not in the sense of reducing them, but of escalating them further. He claims that it is necessary to include China in all sanctions imposed on Russia, as Beijing and Moscow are strategic partners - despite the fact that China is not helping Russia militarily in the special military operation.

These opinions are not surprising, considering Bolton's past. Seen as a "hawk" figure in American politics, he is known for advocating an aggressive and bellicose strategy, openly in favor of Washington financing regime change operations in enemy countries such as Iran, Syria, Libya, Venezuela, Cuba, and North Korea. With regard to the Ukrainian conflict, his radical thoughts continue to echo, as was possible to see with his recent praise for the sending of American cluster munitions to Kiev - what he [called](#) "an excellent idea".

However, it is curious to think that a professional security expert actually believes in the possibility of a "Ukrainian victory". Also, it is similarly unlikely that Bolton actually believes that the counteroffensive failed merely because of a lack of military assistance. These opinions do not seem to reflect his personal expertise as someone familiar with security and defense issues.

It is evident that Kiev would not have any success in its counteroffensive anyway, as its Armed Forces are weakened and with little capacity to replace casualties - which makes any counterattack impossible, regardless of the help received. Furthermore, a Ukrainian victory is virtually impossible for military analysts, with the regime's defeat being a mere matter of time. As someone close to the state, Bolton certainly has data which confirm this.

So, most likely Bolton is using the counteroffensive issue as simple political propaganda for personal purposes. Being a Republican, he has disagreements with the current administration and supports radical changes in the country. His warmongering stance reflects the interests of a more radical wing of American politics and shows how the proxy war against Russia tends to continue escalating, regardless of whether it is the Republicans or the Democrats in the government.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Lucas Leiroz is a journalist, researcher at the Center for Geostrategic Studies, geopolitical consultant. You can follow Lucas on [Twitter](#) and [Telegram](#).

Featured image: National Security Advisor John Bolton in April, 2018. By Evan El-Amin/Shutterstock

The original source of this article is [InfoBrics](#)
Copyright © [Lucas Leiroz de Almeida](#), [InfoBrics](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Lucas Leiroz de Almeida](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca