

# Biden's Phony Fiscal Policy: Cuts Corp Taxes & Infrastructure Spending

By [Dr. Jack Rasmus](#)

Global Research, June 04, 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg\\_globalresearch](#).

\*\*\*

*I don't often restate my twitter posts here on the blog, but today's development by Biden is so historic a betrayal and reversal to satisfy corporate friends and Republicans it deserves a repost. It's a 'double reversal' and so typical a Democrat party betrayal.*

Today Biden announced he was CUTTING corporate taxes to 15%. Trump had cut corporate tax rate from 35% to 21%. Biden ran for office pledging to raise that back to 28%. He then reneged and said it would be only 25%. He then agreed with European Union finance ministers to cut taxes on US multinationals to only 15%. Now he announces he'll CUT US corporate taxes to 15%-instead of raising to even 25%. This comes after talks with Republicans.

Not to outdo himself, Biden next CUTS his proposed infrastructure bill further as well. Starting out at \$2.25T, he last week reduced that to \$1.7T. Now, however, he offers to CUT that to \$1T!

In the past two weeks it was apparent that Biden and Republicans were engaging in a 'smoke and mirrors' negotiations on the so called American Jobs Act, aka Infrastructure bill: Biden had agreed to break out negotiations on a separate track on \$400B in the bill that was actually a subsidy to US auto and tech corporations, to pay for their R&D on electric car investments. It included as well \$50B subsidy to US auto corps for semiconductor chip production.

Auto companies had cut production (i.e. gone on strike) claiming a chip shortage and began laying off workers. All this just as Congress was taking up proposals on chip subsidy of \$50B. Once it appeared the \$50B would pass, however, somehow auto companies-despite their claimed chip shortage-now miraculously boosted production once again. The alleged chip shortage was clearly a lobbying pressure ploy by the companies. They'll now get the \$50B chip subsidy, plus another \$173B in the infrastructure bill to subsidize their electric car development and charging stations build out. The rest of the \$400B corp subsidy in the Infrastructure bill will benefit tech corps' R&D and manufacturers.

The \$400B subsidy has nothing to do with infrastructure. But will now fast track in passage

while the rest of the human investments in the infrastructure bill of \$2.25T, then \$1.7T, and now \$1T will lag.

Biden's cut of his infrastructure proposals to \$1T brings it in line with Republican counter proposals of roughly \$928B. However, McConnell & Co. \$928B includes moving \$350B in spending allocated in the already passed Covid Relief Act (aka American Rescue Plan) in March. It also includes \$308B also already allocated to be spent in transportation funding.

So the Republican bill is just agreeing to spend what has already been spent!

It's almost certain Biden will include the \$308B already allocated for transport spending in his reduced \$1T infrastructure offer. That's West Virginia blue dog Democrat Senator, Manchin's, proposal. So Biden's only proposing \$700B—a long way from his original \$2.25T.

Biden's dual move to CUT corp taxes even more than Trump had and to cut his proposed infrastructure spending by more than \$1.5T, represent unilateral moves by him without any real concessions by the Republicans. It all looks like a well orchestrated 'deal' from the beginning, overlaid with a public appearance that there are 'negotiations' under way

One is reminded of last summer, when Shumer-Pelosi reduced their proposed spending by \$1T on their 'Heroes Act', which McConnell and Republicans simply refused to counter. Democrats have a history of capitulation, poor negotiating strategy and ability, and a general capitulation to Republicans on fiscal policy.

Further back, one is also reminded of Obama's policy. In 2009 his fiscal stimulus was a mere \$787B (of which \$300B were tax cuts for businesses). He then CUT social program spending in August 2011 by twice that amount, \$1.5T.

Biden's latest moves are deja vu Democrat party perfidy. Biden reveals he-like Trump, Obama, Bush and Clinton before him—is intent on continuing the Neoliberal fiscal policy of cut, cut, cut corporate and investor taxes + promise social program spending, cut that back, and then take even more back in subsequent austerity proposals once the immediate crisis has passed.

In the interim, Neoliberalism means the Fed giving trillions of dollars in free money to banks, investors and corporations. In one year the Fed has pumped \$4T of virtually free money into these folks, matching in one year the amount Obama gave them in four years, 2009-13!

By these recent moves Biden and Democrats are on board, in other words, with the decades long policy of continual further corporate tax cutting, temporary fiscal program spending during a crisis, followed by eventual austerity take-backs.

Biden's just announced CUTS to corporate taxes and proposals for infrastructure spending are clearly Republican-McConnell demands. Biden and Dems once again clearly capitulate. Then again, maybe the capitulation was all planned out months ago!

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*This article was originally published on the author's blog site, [Jack Rasmus](#).*

*Dr. Jack Rasmus is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from [Newsmax.com](#)*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Dr. Jack Rasmus](#), Global Research, 2021

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Dr. Jack Rasmus](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)