

Belarus: UN Human Rights Council Endorses U.S. “Color Revolution” Plot

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, September 22, 2020

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)
Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [United Nations](#)

Time and again, the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) sides with Western interests against nations its officials want transformed into vassal states.

In response to the OHCHR’s support for the made-in-the-USA coup plot against Belarusian President Lukashenko, Russia’s Foreign Ministry denounced its action, saying:

“We assume that the (anti-Belarusian) UNHRC resolution was jammed through by the West in violation of principles and norms of the international law (making it) legally void.”

The largely pro-Western Human Rights Council voted 23 – 2 in favor of the unlawful resolution, 22 of the body’s 47 member states abstaining.

The session in Geneva last week unlawfully interfered in Belarus’ internal affairs, Russia’s Foreign Ministry adding:

“We note with regret that a group of countries led by the European Union imposed on the HRC another lopsided and politicized discussion, the goals of which were very far from the real concern for human rights.”

“This debate represents a blatant interference in the internal affairs of a sovereign state, incompatible with the principles of international law and the provisions of the UN Charter.”

“We view the debate and the adoption of the politically-driven draft resolution as an unacceptable attempt to influence, by gross political pressure, the results of the election in Belarus and its assessment in the international community.”

“We assume that neither the UN Human Rights Council nor individual countries or groups have the right or authority to evaluate electoral processes in UN member states” — especially when grossly distorting their right by considering the winner the loser in support of a US designated puppet it wants installed.

Russia also slammed the Austrian representative. Presiding over HRC proceedings, he allowed its gross violation of international law by failing to block what went on, saying:

“We strongly condemn such actions, which are incompatible with the neutral status of the presidency in the UN collective bodies.”

Though not a current HRC member, Belarus also denounced the unlawful meddling in its internal affairs.

On Monday, EU foreign ministers failed to agree on imposing (unlawful) sanctions on Belarus.

The action requires unanimity among its 27 member states.

Cyprus blocked what other EU states support in cahoots with the US coup plot against Belarusian sovereignty.

In mid-August, Cypriot envoy to the EU Nicholas Emiliou voted against imposition of bloc sanctions on Belarus because other member states failed back Nicosia's demand to sanction Turkey for oil and gas exploration in what the Cypriot government considers its own Mediterranean waters.

On Monday, Emiliou again voted the same way, saying:

"Cyprus remains committed to its original stance. The ministers failed to agree on a blacklist. The issue has now been postponed until the summit meeting due at the end of this week," adding:

"The ambassador level meetings over the past weeks showed that the situation is not simple."

"As you may know, the ambassadors' latest meeting was on Friday and it ended inconclusively, so it was very easy to predict there will be no agreement at the Council's session on Monday."

"Now the issue will be discussed at the summit meeting on Thursday and Friday" this week.

The unacceptable anti-Belarus blacklist includes 40 Lukashenko government officials.

Separately, Belarus' parliamentary Commission on International Affairs chairman Andrey Savinykh slammed unacceptable Western actions against the country's legitimate government, saying:

"(T)oday there are already sufficient grounds to assert that a multilateral policy...no longer provides the external conditions we need for the favorable development of Belarus."

The country's "top priority (should be) strengthening political, economic and military relations with Russia, within the framework of the Union State" between both countries.

He also stressed that Belarus should focus away from the West toward becoming part of a "Euroasian macroregion."

Lukashenko's current policy calls for "developing relations with all foreign partners."

Ahead of US designated puppet Svetlana Tikhanovskaya's meeting with EU foreign ministers

in Brussels, Belarus' Foreign Ministry's press secretary Anatoly Glaz slammed what he called "brazen and blatant interference in the internal affairs of our country and total disrespect for its citizens," adding:

"Why conduct an election if one from the outside can simply appoint a certain subject convenient in all respects and pretend to build a relationship with him or her?"

"After such steps...no one will have to prove that the course to undermine the sovereignty of Belarus is being implemented."

"Our principled and clear position on the matter has already been communicated to the EU representative in Minsk, as well as to the relevant persons in Brussels."

Days earlier, reciting remarks scripted by her US handlers, Tikhonovskaya called for the "international community" to intervene against Belarus' legitimate government — expressing support for breaching the UN Charter and other international law, how the US and its imperial partners operate globally.

Separately on Saturday, Russian Foreign Ministry spokeswoman Maria Zakharova slammed Brussels for failing to recognize Lukashenko's legitimate reelection last month, adding:

"We call on the European Union to reconsider this course, which leads to the erosion of the legal basis of the international order, and in the case of Belarus, hinders normalization in the country."

"Our position regarding the sanctions mechanism used by the European Union (and US) is well-known."

"It is illegitimate in terms of international law and represents unacceptable interference in internal affairs."

"And in the context of the situation in Belarus, it contradicts the goal of restoring stability, establishing a dialogue, launching the constitutional process, and easing tensions, which EU representatives have said so much about."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca