

Attacking Syria: Israeli/Turkish Denials Ring Hollow

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, July 17, 2013

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Theme: US NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

A <u>previous article</u> cited two Russia Today reports. One suggested Israeli Dolphin-class submarines attacked Latakia, Syria.

At issue was targeting Russian-made S-300 air defense missile components and/or Yakhont P-800 missiles.

The second cited a "reliable source." Perhaps it's Russian intelligence. It said Israeli warplanes used Turkish territory to attack. They likely departed Incirlik Air Base. Satellite photos would confirm it.

Washington uses Incirlik jointly with Turkey. Unconfirmed reports suggest Israel has warplanes there. Ankara's a reliable imperial partner. So is Israel. It's highly likely RT's report is accurate.

It makes sense. Israel struck Syria multiples times before. It did so by air and cross-border ground attacks. Wisely, Assad didn't retaliate. He's got lots on its hands to handle. He wants peace, not war.

Targeting Israel involves Washington. Perhaps other NATO countries would respond supportively. Doing so assures more trouble than most countries can handle. For sure it exceeds Syria's capability.

Turkish Foreign Minister Ahmet Davutoglu denied reports about Israel using its territory against Syria. RT's report forced his hand.

True or false, he had to respond. He had to deny involvement. Prime Minister Erdogan's very unpopular. It's for good reason.

He heads a hugely repressive regime. He force-feeds neoliberal harshness. He does so when millions need help. Nationwide protesters want new elections. They want him replaced.

He's playing with fire. If clear evidence shows he let Israel attack a Muslim country from Turkish territory, popular anger might swell enough to oust him.

Arab street outrage would be palpable. Israel's the region's most hated country. Turkey wants to be a dominant Middle East power. Achieving it requires keeping its own house in order. It requires good relations with other regional states.

Erdogan likely took a huge risk. He did so at a very precarious time. Turkish news is carefully filtered. Denials only were reported.

Erdogan wants the story buried. Western media said virtually nothing. Israeli officials refused comment.

This writer's previous article suggested perhaps Israel used both one or more submarines and Turkish territory launched air strikes. Doing so enhanced chances for success.

Longstanding Israeli/Turkish ties have been largely cooperative. In May 2010, Israeli commandos murdered nine Turkish nationals in cold blood. They were attempting to deliver humanitarian aid to besieged Gazans.

Deteriorated relations between both countries followed. Ankara demanded an official apology. It wants fair compensation paid victims' families.

Until March, Israel remained unapologetic. A weak mea culpa followed. It was too little, too late to matter. Erdogan accepted anyway. Compensation issues remain unresolved.

Israel would like to use Turkish territory to attack Iran. Will Ankara permit doing so from Incirlik? Perhaps if Israel maintains warplanes there. If it used them against Syria, why not Iran.

Turkey may be biting off more than it can chew. Doing so may upset its longstanding plans. Erdogan risks being ousted. On the one hand, he wants good relations with Washington.

On the other, he needs to defuse popular anger. It continues for change he spurns. Crowds chant anti-government protests. "This is just the beginning," they say. "The fight is continuing."

Police respond violently. Doing so's counterproductive. It doesn't restore calm when it's most needed.

Unanswered questions remain. Erdogan's stonewalling. Allying with Israel against another Muslim state shows his alleged Palestinian support rings hollow. His concern about besieged Gazans is fake.

Strategic interests alone matter. Close ties to Washington further them. So does cooperating with Israel.

Ousting Assad's government tops Obama's regional agenda. Doing so isolates Iran. Attacking Hezbollah may be planned.

Turkey's a NATO country. Membership comes with strings. It requires cooperating with other members. Israel's a de facto one. It's a Mediterranean Dialogue partner.

Both countries normalized relations months earlier. They let bygones be bygones. Greater issues matter more. What else might they be jointly planning?

If anything, Washington's involved. Perhaps Libya 2.0. Obama wants Assad toppled. He's not about to guit trying now. Turkey's America's lead attack dog.

It means using all means at its disposal when asked. Permitting an Israeli attack from its territory seems highly likely.

Odds are that's precisely what happened. Mutual denials ring hollow. They're commonplace

roque state practice.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book is titled "Banker Occupation: Waging Financial War on Humanity."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network.

It airs Fridays at 10AM US Central time and Saturdays and Sundays at noon. All programs are archived for easy listening.

http://www.progressiveradionetwork.com/the-progressive-news-hour

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those

who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$