

Attack of the Weather Balloons! The Yukon Cylindrical "Suspected Chinese Ballon"

Shut up, sit down, don't ask questions—and take whatever the state tells you at face value.

By Kurt Nimmo

Global Research, February 13, 2023

Region: <u>Canada</u> Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

On Saturday, President Biden sent a USG fighter aircraft to shoot down... something over the Yukon in Canada.

Trudeau disclosed the latest balloon incident, saying in tweets that Canadian and U.S. fighter aircraft had been scrambled and that a "U.S. F-22 successfully fired at the object" over the Yukon and that Canadian forces would retrieve the debris. https://t.co/e4buSWjR16

— Tom #JoeKnowsHowToPresident! [][][][][] (@spokanetom) February 12, 2023

This is the third such alleged shootdown. The second one is said to have occurred on Friday over Alaska, according to the stenographic media. It "reports" what the government wants you to know—and in the case of the attack of the weather balloons, they want you to know virtually nothing—except it is the sinister behavior of those darn spy-obsessed commies in China.

The "object" over the Yukon was "cylindrical" and "smaller than the suspected Chinese balloon shot down last weekend, Canadian Defense Minister Anita Anand said on Saturday evening," <u>CNN</u> relayed from the government.

The object shot down Saturday marks the third time in one week that US aircraft have shot down an object in North American airspace. Saturday's incident follows the downing of another unidentified object on Friday over Alaska, and the shoot-down of a suspected Chinese surveillance balloon on February 4 by a US F-22 fighter jet.

Forget the adjective "suspected" prior to "Chinese surveillance balloon." The state demands

you to believe "communist" China is spying on America, and now Canada. The government has produced zero evidence the original balloon was indeed a surveillance thingamajig.

Of course, you're expected to take whatever the state tells you at face value. Questions are not allowed, as the corporate media on occasion needs to be reminded. Even NPR makes a mistake on occasion.

Aboard Air Force One, NPR White House Correspondent Ayesha Rascoe asked White House Press Secretary Jen Psaki for evidence of the US's claim that Abu Ibrahim al-Hashimi al-Qurayshi, an ISIS leader killed along with numerous civilians (including children) during a US raid, had detonated a suicide bomb. Far from providing evidence, Psaki expressed surprise that anyone could doubt the US military's claims when it came to civilian casualties. She went a step further and accused such people of believing ISIS over the US military. (Emphasis added.)

Ned Price, the official storyteller for the State Department, did likewise, essentially accusing Matt Lee of the AP of being a Russian dupe-bot.

"If you doubt the credibility of the U.S. government, of the British government, of other governments and want to, you know, find solace in information that the Russians are putting out, that is for you to do," Price berated the out of line stenographer.

Prior to dodging rare questions of substance, Price worked as a CIA "analyst." He was taught by the best at Harvard's John F. Kennedy School of Government.

It's odd, the amnesia of Americans. It is possible, however, a few Americans remember the particularly deadly lie told by Lyndon Baines Johnson about the Gulf of Tonkin "incident" slash fairy tale of treacherous N. Vietnam commies attacking the "reconnaissance" destroyer Maddox, thus initiating a war in Southeast Asia (Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia). More than three million people were killed.

Or, more recently, the lies of George W. Bush and his perfidious neocons. It was said repeatedly Saddam Hussein (former <u>CIA operative</u>) had WMDs ready to attack innocent American children while they slept. Later, when the lies were exposed, Bush turned it into a <u>comedy routine</u>. No WMDs here... just a million and a half dead people.

Maybe a couple of people remember when Bush triumphantly announced the USG death machine had <u>wiped out the Taliban</u> (formerly on the <u>CIA payroll</u>). It was another bald-faced lie told by a pathological liar.

P.S., the Taliban won. The war will cost us—or, rather, our descendants—\$2.261 trillion. Forbes put the cost at \$300 million a day for 20 years.

Now they're telling big whoppers about Ukraine, a democracy with neo-nazis. It's a textbook example of Orwellian Doublethink.

Anyway, back to the invasion of commie balloons. I'm not sure the state will ever provide evidence demonstrating the origin and purpose of these balloons and the "cylindrical" unidentified object supposedly shot down over the Yukon, the last with the blessing of the <u>liberal authoritarian</u> leader of Canada, Justin Trudeau.

Americans will be on the hook, as usual. The USG F-22 Raptor's AIM 9X Sidewinder missile supposedly shot at the "object," which we are told was the size of a "small car," cost \$472,000.

The stockholders at Raytheon benefit greatly from these psychological ops.

Meanwhile, as intended, we are kept in the dark, and given zero evidence proving this "surveillance" thing even existed. Americans, who are forced to pay for this nonsense, are commie Chinese bots, dupes, and disinfo zombies if they dare ask for more details.

It's a CCP surveillance thing. How dare you ask questions.

Maybe we'll get additional UFO reports this week, more half-million dollar shoot downs (minus the cost of operating F-22s), and additional heaps of engineered paranoia as the state builds its case to confront its major competitors, China and Russia.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on the author's blog site, Kurt Nimmo on Geopolitics.

Kurt Nimmo is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Kurt Nimmo</u>, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Kurt Nimmo

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca