

Assange's Indictment Treats Journalism as a Crime

By <u>Prof. Marjorie Cohn</u> Global Research, April 14, 2019 Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Latin America &</u> <u>Caribbean</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Media</u> <u>Disinformation</u>

After living under a grant of asylum in London's Ecuadorian embassy for nearly seven years, WikiLeaks co-founder Julian Assange was forcibly ejected and arrested by British police on April 11. Ecuador's president, Lenin Moreno, <u>accused</u> Assange of "repeated violations to international conventions and daily-life protocols." After an anonymous source revealed the "INA Papers," a dossier that implicated Moreno in money laundering and contained personal photos of his family, WikiLeaks tweeted about it but denied any connection to the hacking.

Rafael Correa, who was president of Ecuador until 2017, had granted Assange asylum in 2012 to protect him from extradition to the United States to answer for *WikiLeaks*'s publication of evidence of U.S. war crimes. Ecuador's foreign minister at the time, Ricardo Patino, said that without this protection, <u>Assange could suffer</u> "political persecution" or extradition to the U.S. where he might face the death penalty.

In 2010, *WikiLeaks* published classified documentation of U.S. war crimes in Iraq and Afghanistan, which Army intelligence analyst Chelsea Manning had provided. It <u>included</u> the "Collateral Murder Video" that showed U.S. soldiers in an Army helicopter gunship kill 12 unarmed civilians walking down a street in Baghdad.

Sweden investigated Assange in fall 2010 for allegations of sexual assault. Assange was living in Britain at the time. Sweden issued an extradition warrant so Assange could face questioning about the investigation in Sweden. Assange fought extradition but lost in Britain's Supreme Court in June 2012. He sought and received refuge in the Ecuadorian embassy in London.

In spite of pressure from the British government, in August 2012, Correa granted asylum to Assange, who has remained in the Ecuadorian embassy ever since. Sweden dropped its investigation of Assange in 2017.

The Trump Administration Indicts Assange

Assange's arrest comes thanks to the Trump administration's decision to pursue *WikiLeaks*. The Obama administration refrained from indicting Assange for fear of establishing "a precedent that could chill investigative reporting about national security matters by treating it as a crime," according to Charlie Savage of *The NewYork Times*. Obama's government had difficulty distinguishing between what *WikiLeaks* did and what traditional news media organizations like the *Times* "do in soliciting and publishing information they obtain that the government wants to keep secret," Savage wrote. News organizations, including the *Times*, published articles that drew on documents *WikiLeaks* had published in 2010, including "logs of significant combat events in the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq."

But the Trump administration decided to come after Assange. In 2017, then-CIA Director Mike Pompeo <u>said</u>,

"WikiLeaks walks like a hostile intelligence service and talks like a hostile intelligence service."

An <u>indictment</u> filed on March 6, 2018, in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia charges Assange under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act. It alleges he was part of a conspiracy to access a computer without authorization in order to obtain classified information that "could be used to the injury of the United States." Assange faces five years in prison if convicted.

Assange's April 11 arrest was based on two grounds: failure to appear on a British warrant in 2012, and a warrant of extradition to face indictment in the United States. After his arrest, Assange was taken before a British judge and pleaded not guilty to failing to surrender to the court in 2012. District Judge Michael Snow convicted Assange, who now faces 12 months in prison in the U.K. for that offense. This is unrelated to the charges Assange would face in the United States. The indictment says Manning provided *WikiLeaks* with 90,000 "war-related significant activity reports" about Afghanistan, 400,000 about Iraq, 800 Guantánamo detainee "assessment briefs" and 250,000 U.S. State Department cables. *WikiLeaks* published the vast majority in 2010 and 2011. The indictment alleges Assange helped Manning attempt to crack a password to make it harder to identify Manning as the source of the classified information.

U.K. Should Deny Extradition of Assange to the U.S.

Meanwhile, Assange vows to fight extradition to the United States. Under the <u>2003</u> <u>extradition treaty</u> between the U.S. and the U.K., the U.K. can deny extradition if the offense sought is punishable by death. The U.S. Justice Department is apparently planning to file <u>new charges</u> against Assange, in addition to those listed in the 2018 indictment. But under the 2003 treaty, the United States cannot charge Assange with violation of the Espionage Act, because it carries the death penalty.

Moreover, the <u>Convention against Torture and Other Cruel</u>, <u>Inhuman or Degrading</u> <u>Treatment or Punishment</u> forbids extradition to a country where there are substantial grounds to believe the person would be in danger of being tortured.

The danger of torture in the U.S. is real. During the first 11 months of Manning's incarceration in 2010, she was held in solitary confinement and subjected to humiliating forced nudity during daily inspection. The former United Nations Special Rapporteur on Torture <u>called</u> Manning's treatment cruel, inhuman and degrading, possibly rising to the level of torture.

There is thus good reason to believe Assange might be subjected to such illegal treatment if he were extradited to the United States.

A few days before Assange's removal from the embassy and arrest, Nils Metzer, the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture, <u>warned</u> that extradition to the U.S. "could expose him to a real risk of serious violations of his human rights, including his freedom of expression, his right to a fair trial and the prohibition of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or

punishment."

Assange's Indictment Will Chill Freedom of the Press

Assange's prosecution is unprecedented.

"The Justice Department has never charged journalists with violating the law for doing their jobs," <u>Savage wrote</u>.

"Reporting on leaked materials, including reporting on classified information, is an essential role of American journalism," the Electronic Frontier Foundation said in a statement.

The ACLU's Ben Wizner <u>cautioned</u> that prosecuting Assange "would set an especially dangerous precedent for U.S. journalists, who routinely violate foreign secrecy laws to deliver information vital to the public's interest." He added that "while there is no First Amendment right to crack a government password, this indictment characterizes as 'part of' a criminal conspiracy the routine and protected activities journalists often engage in as part of their daily jobs, such as encouraging a source to provide more information."

Kristinn Hrafnsson, editor-in-chief of WikiLeaks, responded to Assange's indictment, saying,

"This is journalism. It's called 'conspiracy.' It's conspiracy to commit journalism."

Reporters Without Borders, an organization that protects freedom of the press, <u>called on</u> the U.K. to oppose extradition of Assange. It would "set a dangerous precedent for journalists, whistleblowers, and other journalistic sources that the U.S. may wish to pursue in the future."

The 2003 treaty between the U.S. and the U.K. prohibits extradition if the request is "politically motivated." That limitation is certainly at play here: Trump administration has made a political decision to single out *WikiLeaks* and make it an example. The administration wishes to send a message to other press organizations that they publish material critical of U.S. policy at their peril.

The U.K. must deny the extradition of Assange to the United States.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Copyright <u>Truthout</u>. Reprinted with <u>permission</u>.

Marjorie Cohn is professor emerita at Thomas Jefferson School of Law, former president of the National Lawyers Guild, deputy secretary general of the International Association of Democratic Lawyers and a member of the advisory board of Veterans for Peace. Her most recent book is <u>Drones and Targeted Killing: Legal, Moral, and Geopolitical Issues</u>. She is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: <u>Prof. Marjorie</u> <u>Cohn</u>

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca