

Article of Impeachment Against Trump

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, June 14, 2017

Region: <u>USA</u>

Undemocratic Democrat Brad Sherman represents California's 30th congressional district.

On June 12, he circulated an <u>article of impeachment</u> against Trump to all House members, "seeking their input and support," he said, issuing a <u>statement</u>, saying:

"(A)s set forth in my letter to my colleagues: As the investigations move forward, additional evidence supporting additional Articles of Impeachment may emerge."

"However, as to Obstruction of Justice and 18 U.S.C. § 1512 (b)(3), the evidence we have is sufficient to move forward now. And the national interest requires that we do so."

"I act not for partisan advantage. Having served with Mike Pence in the House for twelve years, I disagree with him on most issues of public policy."

"But we must move forward as quickly as possible to ensure a competent government that respects the Constitution and the rule of law, even if we end up with a President who is effective and dedicated to regressive policies."

"I have no illusions. Articles of Impeachment will not pass the House in the near future. But given the risk posed to the Republic, we should move things forward as quickly as possible."

Like virtually all other members of Congress, Sherman ignores international, constitutional and US statute rule of law principles.

RESOLUTION

Impeaching Donald John Trump, President of the United States, for high crimes and misdemeanors.

- Resolved, That Donald John Trump, President of the
- 2 United States, is impeached for high crimes and mis-
- 3 demeanors and that the following article of impeachment
- 4 be exhibited to the United States Senate:
- 5 Article of impeachment exhibited by the House of
- 6 Representatives of the United States of America in the
- 7 name of itself and of the people of the United States of
- 8 America, against Donald John Trump, President of the
- 9 United States of America, in maintenance and support of

g:\VHLC\061217\061217.071.xml June 12, 2017 (11:20 a.m.) (662438112)

An excerpt of the Resolution (Screenshot from sherman.house.gov)

Other than continuing US war on humanity Trump's predecessors began, supported by the vast majority of House and Senate members, no just cause exists to impeach and remove him from office.

The Constitution's Article I, Section 2 empowers House members to impeach a sitting president, Senate members with sole power to try them.

Article II, Section 4 states

"(t)he President, Vice President and all civil officers of the United States, shall be removed from office on impeachment for, and conviction of, treason, bribery, or other high crimes and misdemeanors."

Views on any issues aren't impeachable offenses, no matter how extreme, offensive or different from most others in government – only criminal acts too severe to ignore, open to interpretation, given how egregiously America operates at home and abroad with bipartisan support.

Other than continuing longstanding US naked aggression against sovereign independent states, threatening others, and supporting wealth, power and privilege exclusively at the expense of social justice, Trump isn't guilty of an impeachable offense.

Sherman lied claiming he "prevented, obstructed and impeded the administration of justice during a federal investigation" into Michael Flynn's contacts with Russian officials, along with (nonexistent) Moscow US election hacking - including "threatening, and then terminating, James Comey."

"...Donald John Trump has acted in a manner contrary to his trust as President and subversive of constitutional government, to the great prejudice of the cause of law and justice and to the manifest injury of the people of the United States," Sherman added.

If he's guilty of all of the above and more, virtually the entire Congress warrants impeachment and removal from office.

What a wonderful idea, a clean slate, a chance to start over for revolutionary change – ending debauched duopoly governance, the deplorable way things are now.

Trump is part of the dirty system, much like nearly all his predecessors.

Jack Kennedy was the most notable defender of good governance, assassinated for wanting peace, not war, nuclear disarmament and rapprochement with Soviet Russia – unforgivable high crimes, according to America's deep state.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image: Wikipedia

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived

programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca