

Analysis of Top Secret NSA Report “Detailing Russian Hacking”

The Intercept Trumpets Time-Wasting News

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, June 06, 2017

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [FAKE INTELLIGENCE](#), [U.S. Elections](#)

The Intercept headlined on June 5th, [“TOP-SECRET NSA REPORT DETAILS RUSSIAN HACKING EFFORT DAYS BEFORE 2016 ELECTION”](#) and devoted 3,811 words to saying that America’s National Security Agency alleges that “a months-long Russian intelligence cyber effort against elements of the U.S. election and voting infrastructure” is the subject of a top-secret “report, dated May 5, 2017,” which “is the most detailed U.S. government account of Russian interference in the election that has yet come to light. While the document provides a rare window into the NSA’s understanding of the mechanics of Russian hacking, it does not show the underlying ‘raw’ intelligence on which the analysis is based. A U.S. intelligence officer who declined to be identified cautioned against drawing too big a conclusion from the document because a single analysis is not necessarily definitive.”

“The report indicates that Russian hacking may have penetrated further into U.S. voting systems than was previously understood. It states unequivocally in its summary statement that it was Russian military intelligence, specifically the Russian General Staff Main Intelligence Directorate, or GRU, that conducted the cyber attacks described in the document.”

If the NSA’s conclusions are true, then Russian President Vladimir Putin is lying to say that the Russian government was not involved in any attempt to manipulate the 2016 U.S. Presidential election.



National Security Agency

Russia/Cybersecurity: Main Intelligence Directorate Cyber Actors, [REDACTED] Target U.S. Companies and Local U.S. Government Officials Using Voter Registration-Themed Emails, Spoof Election-Related Products and Services, Research Absentee Ballot Email Addresses; August to November 2016 (TS//SI//OC/REL TO USA, FVEY/FISA)

(U//FOUO) INTELLIGENCE PURPOSES ONLY: (U//FOUO) The information in this report is provided for intelligence purposes only but may be used to develop potential investigative leads. No information contained in this report, nor any information derived therefrom, may be used in any proceeding (whether criminal or civil), to include any trial, hearing, or other proceeding before any court, department, agency, regulatory body, or other authority of the United States without the advance approval of the Attorney General and/or the agency or department which originated the information contained in this report. These restrictions apply to any information extracted from this document and used in derivative publications or briefings.

(U//FOUO) CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION: (U//FOUO) The unclassified data in this report is protected from public disclosure by Federal Law. This report includes sensitive technical information related to computer network operations that could be used against U.S. Government information systems. Any scanning, probing, or electronic surveying of IP addresses, domains, email addresses, or user names identified in this report is strictly prohibited. Information identified as UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY may be shared for cybersecurity purposes at the UNCLASSIFIED level once it is disassociated from NSA/CSS. Consult the originator prior to release of this information to any foreign government outside of the original recipients.

SUMMARY (U)

(TS//SI//OC/REL TO USA, FVEY/FISA) Russian General Staff Main Intelligence Directorate actors [REDACTED] executed cyber espionage operations against a named U.S. Company in August 2016, evidently to obtain information on elections-related software and hardware solutions, according to information that became available in April 2017. The actors likely used data obtained from that operation to create a new email account and launch a voter registration-themed spear-phishing campaign targeting U.S. local government organizations. The spear-phishing emails contained a Microsoft Word document trojanized with a Visual Basic script which, when opened, would spawn a PowerShell instance [REDACTED]

Declassify On: 20420505

Page 1

TOP SECRET//SI//ORCON/REL TO USA, FVEY/FISA

Source: The Intercept

However, The Intercept also provides allegations from its news-sources saying that the only entity that the alleged Russian government effort succeeded in penetrating was

“VR Systems, a Florida-based vendor of electronic voting services and equipment whose products are used in eight states. ... According to its website, VR Systems has contracts in eight states: California, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, New York, North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia.”

Consequently, according to the NSA's report, the only three states that were close enough in the vote-count for them to have switched the National election-result away from a Trump victory — which were Michigan, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin — weren't even possibly affected by this alleged penetration of VR Systems by the Russian government. ([Trump won Michigan by 10,704 votes; Wisconsin by 22,748; Pennsylvania by 44,292. All three would need to have switched.](#))

The news-report by The Intercept fails to note this key fact, that even if the report is accurate, it's irrelevant to the key question of whether there exists a possibility that Russian involvement in "hacking" the Presidential election might have affected the election's outcome. Apparently, the four-reporter team at The Intercept weren't interested in that question. All of their 3,811 words avoided mentioning it.

The NSA's allegation that "states unequivocally in its summary statement that it was Russian military intelligence, specifically the Russian General Staff Main Intelligence Directorate, or GRU, that conducted the cyber attacks described in the document," is the news, if there really is any that won't subsequently be found to have been false. Even taking the document at face value, it isn't alleging that any of the three states, **all three of which** would have had to be switched to Clinton's win-column in order for her to have won the election, was involved in this "news."

One might speculate that if this NSA report is accurate, then Russia's GRU botched rather stupidly to be penetrating into the computers of a vendor that had no contract in any of the battleground states, but The Intercept didn't even make note of that, either.

If you've wasted your time reading the present news-report, you've wasted reading only 597 words — less than 16% as many as are in The Intercept's article.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Featured image: [commdiginews.com](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca