

Amnesty International Hangs Julian Assange Out to Dry — or Possibly Just Hang

By Alexander Rubinstein

Global Research, May 27, 2019

MintPress News 24 May 2019

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Law and Justice, Media

Disinformation

Assange's predicament and its broad implications for journalism and speech are evidently of little concern to Amnesty International, which wrote a letter to the Julian Assange Defence Committee (JADC) telling them that Amnesty is not actively working towards Assange's defense.

Journalist and WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange has been hit with 17 Espionage Act charges by the United States. If convicted, Assange could be sentenced to up to 170 years in prison or even face the death penalty.

A conviction would also set a dangerous precedent for journalists in the U.S. who publish classified material. National Security Agency whistleblower Edward Snowden wrote that "This case will decide the future of media."

The First Amendment to the United States Constitution prevents the government from "abridging the freedom of speech." Nonetheless, the prosecution of Assange continues undeterred.

Say what you want about Julian Assange but remember this: Wikileaks is about 10 years old. In that time, they have doled out more damage to empire through their publishing than possibly any newspaper in its entire history. That's why the US wants him in its prisons.

— Alex Rubinstein (@RealAlexRubi) April 11, 2019

With so much at stake for reporters and with Assange's life on the line, one would expect leading human-rights NGOs (non-governmental organizations) to be going to bat for Assange. Yet his predicament and its broad implications are evidently of little concern to Amnesty International, which wrote a letter to the Julian Assange Defence Committee (JADC) telling them that Amnesty is not actively working towards Assange's defense.

Assange, Manning just plain old prisoners?

"According to Amnesty International, neither Assange nor [Chelsea] Manning are 'prisoners of conscience,'" Laura Tiernan <u>reports</u>.

According to Wikipedia:

Prisoner of conscience is a term coined by Peter Benenson in a 28 May 1961 article for the London Observer newspaper. Most often associated with the human rights organisation Amnesty International, the term can refer to anyone imprisoned because of their race, sexual orientation, religion, or political views."

Peter Benenson was the founder and leader of Amnesty International until a scandal regarding Amnesty's direct cooperation with the U.K. Foreign Office and Colonial Office forced him to step down. *MintPress News* has <u>covered</u> the early days at Amnesty, when the NGO would receive "discreet support" from the U.K. government.

Another co-founder, Luis Kutner, informed for the FBI on Black Panther Deputy Chairman Fred Hampton — a move that got the young leader killed by the Bureau shortly afterwards.

Amnesty even called on the UN Security Council to send Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi to the International Criminal Court as the push for regime change was heating up, and the same month NATO ultimately invaded the country. And now Amnesty has also <u>toed</u> the U.S. imperial line on Venezuela.

Major human rights organizations ohrw and oamnesty helped build political support for war on Libya and Syria. Now they're declining to oppose the US-backed Saudi war on Yemen. https://t.co/zK1ro4D6lW Excellent reporting by osarahlazare

— Dan Cohen (@dancohen3000) March 13, 2018

Maxine Walker of the JADC wrote to Amnesty:

[Assange's] name appears not to have been mentioned in your material for World Press Freedom Day, an extraordinary omission given his current situation and that Julian Assange was awarded the 2009 Amnesty International U.K. Media Award for New Media."

The U.K. government has ignored, indeed poured scorn, on the UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention 2015 ruling that 'the deprivation of liberty of Mr. Assange is arbitrary and in contravention of articles 9 and 10 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.'"

When Tiernan inquired of Amnesty why they do not consider not just Assange, but also Manning, a 'Prisoner of Conscience,' she writes:

[Amnesty's] U.K. press officer contacted their U.S. office before explaining via email that 'detention for not testifying before a grand jury is not itself illegal.' And neither is chopping off heads in Saudi Arabia, which has not prevented Al from actively campaigning on that issue."

Manning perfectly fits the definition of a political prisoner. She is currently jailed for refusing

to testify before a grand jury in its investigation of Assange. Even prior to receiving a subpoena in the case, Manning opposed grand jury processes on political grounds. "I can either go to jail or betray my principles," Manning told reporters. "I would rather starve to death than change my opinion."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Alexander Rubinstein is a staff writer for MintPress News based in Washington, DC. He reports on police, prisons and protests in the United States and the United States' policing of the world. He previously reported for RT and Sputnik News.

The original source of this article is MintPress News
Copyright © Alexander Rubinstein, MintPress News, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Alexander

Rubinstein

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca